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FOREWORD

The need for uniform navigational guidance signals and consistent system performance for radio navigation aids used in the international aeronautical services has been recognized as an important adjunct to safety and regularity in civil aviation. ICAO continuing air navigation policies, and associated practices of the Organization in their part concerning ground and flight testing of radio navigation aids, call attention to this need and encourage improvements in radio navigation ground equipment, including associated testing and monitoring facilities, with the view to minimizing, to the extent practicable, the more demanding requirements of flight testing. Annex 10, Volume I, (clause 2.7) & PCAA’s ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 (clause D2.7) provides an international Standard on the ground and flight testing of radio navigation aids.

The purpose of this Manual is to provide general guidance on the extent of testing and inspection normally, carried out to ensure that radio navigation systems meet the Standards and Recommended Practices (SARPs) in accordance with ICAO Annex 10 & PCAA’s ANO-002-DRTS-1.0. The guidance is representative of practices existing in a number of States with considerable experience in the operation and maintenance of navigation systems.

This document describes the ground and flight testing to be accomplished for a specific radio navigation aid, and provides relevant information about special equipment required to carry out certain major tests. It is not intended to recommend specific models of equipment, but rather to provide general details relative to the systems under consideration.

Throughout this document, measurements have been given in SI units and non-SI approximate equivalents; the accuracy of conversion depends upon the general requirements of each specific stage.

Comments on this Manual would be appreciated from PCAA’s Flight Calibration Unit & CNS Service Providers and other parties concerned with radio navigation systems. Comments, if any, should be addressed to:

General Manager,
Technical Standards,
Directorate of AAR, HQCAA
Terminal # 1, JIAP, Karachi
Pakistan.

Dated: 31 August 2014

[Signature]

(MUHAMMAD SALEEM ATHAR)
Director
Airspace & Aerodrome Regulations
Pakistan Civil Aviation Authority
# Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations / Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADF</td>
<td>Automatic Direction Finder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFC</td>
<td>Automatic Frequency Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGC</td>
<td>Automatic Gain Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM</td>
<td>Amplitude Modulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANO</td>
<td>Automatic Navigation Order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATC</td>
<td>Air Traffic Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATIS</td>
<td>Automatic Terminal Information Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARS</td>
<td>Civil Aviation Rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATV</td>
<td>Cable Television</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS</td>
<td>Communication, Navigation &amp; Surveillance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVOR</td>
<td>Conventional VOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
<td>Continuous Wave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAAR</td>
<td>Directorate of Air Space and Aerodrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDM</td>
<td>Difference in Depth of Modulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGCAA</td>
<td>Director General of Civil Aviation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DME</td>
<td>Distance Measuring Equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVOR</td>
<td>Doppler VOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIRP</td>
<td>Equivalent Isotropic Radiated Power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM/EMC</td>
<td>Electromagnetic Interference/Compatibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM</td>
<td>Frequency Modulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMS</td>
<td>Flight Management System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM</td>
<td>General Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNSS</td>
<td>Global Navigation Satellite System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HQCAA</td>
<td>Headquarters Civil Aviation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAP</td>
<td>Instrument Approach Procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICAO</td>
<td>International Civil Aviation Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF</td>
<td>Intermediate Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFR</td>
<td>Instrument Flight Rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILS</td>
<td>Instrument Landing System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IM/MM/OM</td>
<td>Inner/Middle/Outer Marker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INS</td>
<td>Inertial Navigation System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISM</td>
<td>Industrial Scientific Medical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITE</td>
<td>Information Technology Equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF/MF/HF</td>
<td>Low/Medium/High Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDS</td>
<td>Minimum Discernible Signal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEA</td>
<td>Minimum En-Route Altitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHA</td>
<td>Minimum Holding Altitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLS</td>
<td>Microwave Landing System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOCA</td>
<td>Minimum Obstacle Clearance Altitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRA</td>
<td>Minimum Reception Altitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSL</td>
<td>Mean Sea Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTBF</td>
<td>Mean Time Between Failures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTBO</td>
<td>Mean Time Between Outages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDB</td>
<td>Non Directional Beacon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOTAM</td>
<td>Notice to Airmen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR</td>
<td>Precision Approach Radar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCAA</td>
<td>Pakistan Civil Aviation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD (R)</td>
<td>Principal Director Regulatory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLC</td>
<td>Power Line Carrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>Phase Modulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POP</td>
<td>PROOF OF PERFORMANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRF</td>
<td>PULSE REPETITION FREQUENCY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDH</td>
<td>RECOMMENDED DATUM HEIGHT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>RADIO FREQUENCY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS</td>
<td>ROOT MEAN SQUARE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNAV</td>
<td>AREA NAVIGATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROC/MOC</td>
<td>REQUIRED OR MINIMUM OBSTACLE CLEARANCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARPS</td>
<td>STANDARDS AND RECOMMENDED PRACTICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDM</td>
<td>SUM OF MODULATION DEPTHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI</td>
<td>SCIENTIFIC INTERNATIONAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SID</td>
<td>STANDARD INSTRUMENT DEPARTURE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRE</td>
<td>SURVEILLANCE RADAR ELEMENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAR</td>
<td>STANDARD ARRIVAL ROUTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFR</td>
<td>VISUAL FLIGHT RULES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMC</td>
<td>VISUAL METEOROLOGICAL CONDITIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOR</td>
<td>VHF OMNIDIRECTIONAL RADIO RANGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSWR</td>
<td>VOLTAGE STANDING WAVE RATIO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 1

GENERAL

1.1 INTRODUCTION:

1.1.1 D2.2.7 of ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 states, “Radio navigation aids of the types covered by the specifications in D3 of ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 and available for use by aircraft engaged in international air navigation shall be the subject of periodic ground and flight tests”.

1.1.2 This Manual MNL-002-ARTS-1.0 addresses ground-based radio navigation systems. This document contains “guidance material” only. The texts and procedures outlined do not have the status of Standards and Recommended Practices (SARPs) except for identified quotations from ANO-002-DRTS-1.0.

1.2 PURPOSE OF THE DOCUMENT:

1.2.1 This document is intended to provide general guidance on the extent of testing and inspection normally carried out to ensure that radio navigation systems meet the SARPs in ANO-002-DRTS-1.0. The guidance is representative of practices existing in a number of States with considerable experience in the operation and maintenance of these systems.

1.3 SCOPE OF THE DOCUMENT:

1.3.1 This document describes the ground and flight-testing to be accomplished for a specific radio navigation aid, and provides relevant information about special equipment required to carry out certain major tests. It is not intended to recommend certain models of equipment, but rather to provide general details relative to the systems under consideration.

1.3.2 System testing is addressed in this document in general terms. System testing is normally done as part of design and development activities, prior to volume production and individual site installations. System testing includes design qualification testing, operational testing and evaluation, and “shakedown” tests.

1.3.3 In this document, the terms “testing” and “inspection” have the following meanings:

1.3.3.1 TESTING:
A specific measurement or check of facility performance that may form a part of an inspection when integrated with other tests.

1.3.3.2 INSPECTION:
A series of tests carried out by a State authority or an organization as authorized by the State to establish the operational classification of the facility.

1.4 GROUND VERSUS FLIGHT TESTING/INSPECTION:

1.4.1 Ground tests are carried out by a trained specialist using appropriate test equipment at the facility or at a point on the ground remote from the site. Flight tests are those carried out in the air by a trained flight crew using a suitably equipped aircraft. Serious consideration should be given to the relative merits of these two methods taking into account both technical and economic factors.
1.4.2 Ground tests are usually more appropriate and less costly for accurate and quick evaluation of the facility performance. Flight tests are required to examine the signals-in-space as received at the aircraft after being influenced by external factors such as site conditions, ground conductivity, terrain irregularities, metallic structures, propagation effects, etc. Certain tests that appear to be ground-based may be more appropriate as flight tests or vice versa.

1.4.3 Ground tests are normally carried out more frequently because they are less expensive and can be used as indicators to determine when flight inspection is required. It is important to establish correlation between ground and flight tests for this reason. Correlation will allow intelligent decisions to be made based on experience. It is often worthwhile to expend considerable effort in developing accurate and meaningful ground tests, as costs of flight tests are high.

1.4.4 Flight testing will continue to be important in the proof of facility performance because it represents in-flight evaluation and provides a sampling of the radiated signals in the operating environment.

1.4.5 Where the small number of radio navigation aids in a State, or other reasons, make the establishment of a flight inspection unit uneconomical or impractical, it may be possible to obtain services through other States or a commercial company. Information regarding these flight inspection services can usually be obtained from the appropriate ICAO Regional Office.

1.5 CATEGORIES AND PRIORITIES OF TESTS AND INSPECTIONS:

1.5.1 It is difficult to define requirements for intervals between various types of testing/inspections due to many associated factors specific to different States. Factors such as stability of equipment, extent of monitoring, weather, quality of maintenance crews, standby equipment, etc., are all related. The period between tests/inspections of a new facility should be short during the early months of operation and may be extended as satisfactory experience is gained.

1.5.2 This document contains suggested schedules for each radio navigation aid, which should be considered (and modified, if necessary), based on the conditions relevant to each State and each site. The manufacturer’s instruction manual will usually contain recommendations that are useful in this regard. Facility testing can be considered in the following general categories.

1.5.1.1 GROUND TESTING/INSPECTION:

1.5.1.1.1 SITE PROVING:
Tests carried out at proposed sites for the ground element of radio navigation aids to prove suitability. Portable ground installations are used for this purpose.

1.5.1.1.2 INITIAL PROOF OF PERFORMANCE:
A complete inspection of the facility after installation and prior to commissioning to determine whether the equipment meets the Standards and specifications.

1.5.1.1.3 PERIODIC:
Regular or routine inspections carried out on a facility to determine whether the equipment continues to meet the Standards and specifications.
1.5.1.4 **SPECIAL:**
Tests after a failure of the facility or other circumstances that indicate special testing is required. Special tests will often result in appropriate maintenance work to restore the facility and in a special flight inspection, if required.

1.5.1.2 **FLIGHT TESTING/INSPECTION:**

1.5.1.2.1 **SITE PROVING:**
A flight test conducted at the proposed site at the option of the responsible Authority to determine the effects of the environment on the performance of the planned radio navigation aid.

1.5.1.2.2 **COMMISSIONING:**
An extensive flight inspection following ground proof-of-performance inspection to establish the validity of the signals-in-space. The results of this inspection should be correlated with the results of the ground inspection. Together they form the basis for certification of the facility.

1.5.1.2.3 **PERIODIC:**
Flight inspections to confirm the validity of the signals-in-space on a regular basis or after major scheduled facility maintenance.

1.5.1.2.4 **SPECIAL:**
Flight inspections required as a result of suspected malfunctions, aircraft accidents, etc. Typically, it is necessary to test only those parameters which have or might have an effect on facility performance. However, it may be economically advantageous in many cases to complete the requirements for a periodic inspection.

1.5.1.3 **PRIORITY OF INSPECTIONS:**
Flight inspections should be scheduled and conducted using a priority system. The following is a suggested grouping:

1.5.1.3.1 **PRIORITY 1:**
Accident investigation, restoration of established facilities after unscheduled outages, and investigation of reported malfunctions; and

1.5.1.3.2 **PRIORITY 2:**
Periodic inspections, commissioning of newly installed facilities, associated instrument flight procedures, and evaluations of proposed sites for new installations.

1.6 **OPERATIONAL STATUS:**
Facility status can be identified as follows:

1.6.1 **USABLE:**
Available for operational use.
1.6.1.1 UNRESTRICTED:
Providing safe, accurate signals in-space conforming to established Standards within the coverage area of the facility.

1.6.1.2 LIMITED OR RESTRICTED:
Providing signals-in space not conforming to establish Standards in all respects or in all sectors of the coverage area, but safe for use within the restrictions defined. The facility that may be unsafe should not be classified as limited or restricted under any circumstances.

1.6.2 UNUSABLE:
Not available for operational use as providing (potentially) unsafe or erroneous signals, or providing signals of an unknown quality.

1.7 AUTHORITY FOR FACILITY STATUS DETERMINATION:
The responsibility for determining facility status rests with the appropriate State authority or the organization authorized by the State. The status determination should include all factors involved. This includes judgment (by the pilot) of the fly ability of the instrument procedures supported by the facility, analysis of airborne measurements of the facility (by the flight inspection technician/engineer), and a statement of readiness (by ground maintenance personnel).

1.8 NOTIFICATION OF CHANGE OF STATUS:
1.8.1 Notification of a change of the facility status is to be done through appropriate Aeronautical Information Publications; differences from Standards are to be notified to ICAO and in a NOTAM.

1.8.2 Day-to-day changes in the status of facilities are to be promptly and efficiently advertised. A change in the status of a commissioned facility as a direct result of ground or flight inspection procedures, and resulting in a “usable” (“unrestricted”, “limited”, or “restricted”) or “unusable” designation, should be advertised immediately by air traffic control (ATC) personnel, and promptly by a NOTAM.

1.8.3 A facility having an “unusable” status is normally removed from service and can operate only for test or troubleshooting purposes.

1.8.4 Particular attention should be given to periodic or corrective maintenance procedures that involve false guidance signals being temporarily radiated. These conditions should be coordinated with ATC and promulgated to users by NOTAM, before the procedures commence. Additional guidance on special measures preventing the operational use of ILS-radiated test signals is given in Chapter 4, 4.1.

1.9 AIRBORNE AND GROUND TEST EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS:
The selection and utilization of special ground or flight inspection equipment used to determine the validity of navigation information should minimize the uncertainty of the measurement being performed. This equipment should be periodically calibrated to ensure traceability of measurements to appropriate standards.

1.10 COORDINATION BETWEEN GROUND AND FLIGHT TESTING/INSPECTION:
1.10.1 Comparison of the results, obtained during successive tests on the ground and in the air, can determine the extent of degradation in the performance of the installation as monitored on the ground. These results can also be used to determine the choice of the periodicity of the flight test/inspection.
10.2 Flight test/inspection may involve a coordinated effort with ground specialists who may make adjustments or participate in the flight test/inspection. Efficient two-way communications should be established between ground and air. An additional VHF transceiver is often installed in the flight inspection aircraft and a portable unit is employed at the facility to provide these communications without interfering with the air traffic control communications.

1.11 FLIGHT INSPECTION UNIT:
This document considers the flight inspection unit to be comprised of three parts: the flight inspection crew, the flight inspection aircraft and the position-fixing system.

1.11.1 FLIGHT INSPECTION CREW:
The flight inspection crew normally consists of two pilots and one or two technicians or engineers. The members of the flight inspection crew should be experts in their individual fields, have sound knowledge and experience in flight testing/inspection procedures and requirements, and be capable of working as a team.

The State authority or flight inspection organization, as authorized by the State authority, should formally certify flight inspection personnel. The objectives are to:

1.11.1.1 grant authority to the flight crew member who ensures the satisfactory operation of air navigation facilities;
1.11.1.2 provide a uniform method for examining employee competence; and
1.11.1.3 issue credentials that authenticate inspection authority.

1.11.2 FLIGHT INSPECTION AIRCRAFT:
Many factors should be considered when selecting an aircraft as a vehicle for flight inspection. The number of aircraft required will be determined by the qualities of the aircraft chosen and factors such as the number of facilities to be flight inspected, their relative geographical locations, periodicity of inspections, and other duties of the aircraft. More guidance on the flight inspection aircraft instrumentation, antennas and other aspects is provided in Attachment 1 to this chapter.

1.11.3 POSITION-FIXING SYSTEMS:
1.11.3.1 Position reference information for all types of flight testing/inspection is required for the determination of the accuracy of the navigation signal.

1.11.3.2 The position-fixing system is independent from the facility under testing/inspection. The position-fixing system and the flight testing/inspection receiver contribute to the error budget. The overall error budget should be five times better than the published performance of the navigation signal.

1.11.3.3 The position-fixing system generates position reference information using the same coordinate system as the navigation system under testing, e.g. a reference distance for a DME, a reference localizer deviation, or a reference glide path signal. A great variety of technical solutions have been developed, either using position-fixing equipment, which provide information already in the correct coordinate system, or using computer systems, which calculate the reference information from one or more sensors.

1.11.3.4 POSITION-FIXING SYSTEMS FOR APPROACH AND LANDING AIDS:
1.11.3.4.1 Theodolites with electric read-outs have traditionally been used as a position reference for ILS testing. The output signal is either recorded on the ground, which requires post-flight evaluation, or transmitted to the flight inspection aircraft. ILS testing requires two
different theodolite sites for azimuth and elevation data. The addition of ranging equipment allows ILS testing from a single site. The theodolite-based position fixing requires minimum visibility of 11 km (6 NM). A skilled theodolite operator is required to minimize manual tracking errors.

1.11.3.4.2 Manual tracking may result in significant contribution to the overall error budget of the flight inspection; therefore caution should be exercised when approach and landing aids, particularly Category III facilities, are evaluated using theodolite. Automatic tracking systems have been developed to optimize the error budget. The operator should set the tracking equipment to acquire the flight inspection aircraft, and initiate automatic tracking. Tracking data is transmitted to the aircraft.

1.11.3.4.3 Modern systems combine different sensor inputs for position fixing. This improves the accuracy, reliability and availability of position reference data. Inertial navigation systems (INSs) integrated with other sensors are the basis for these systems. Accuracy is aided by various sensor inputs such as global navigation satellite system (GNSS) and on-board camera systems which provide independent reference update information. With introduction of these technologies, flight inspection operations can be conducted under limited visibility conditions.

1.11.3.4.4 Additional information on position-fixing systems may be found in chapters specific to each navigation aid.

1.11.3.5 POSITION-FIXING SYSTEMS FOR EN-ROUTE NAVIGATION AIDS:

1.11.3.5.1 The basic solution of a position-fixing system for flight inspection of en-route navigation aids is the use of charts. Aeronautical charts should be used if possible. Large scale charts that provide the greatest possible amount of detail are desirable so that ground reference points can be better defined. The charts are to be marked for preparation of the flight inspection mission. Typically, charts provide reference information only for some parts of the flight path. Information has to be evaluated manually by the flight crew.

1.11.3.5.2 The equipment described in 1.11.8 to 1.11.11 (1.11.4.4) may be used for the inspection of en-route navigation aids if better accuracy or continuous reference data are required.

1.11.3.6 POSITION REFERENCE SYSTEM:

A more general approach is the use of a position reference system that provides information for all phases of the flight inspection. A state-of-the-art solution is the combination of different sensors for the testing, including INSs, barometric altimeters, tracking of several DME facilities, and GNSS augmented as necessary. A high degree of automation can be achieved for the flight inspection since continuous position reference information is available.

1.11.4 HUMAN-MACHINE INTERFACE ASPECTS:

The operator’s console should be designed and located in such a way as to offer the proper interface between the flight inspection crew and test and data-processing equipment. The console location should be determined based on noise and vibration levels, lighting, outside visibility, proximity of the center of gravity of the aircraft, air conditioning, and forward-facing orientation.
1.12 **ORGANIZATION AND QUALITY:**

1.12.1 The management of organizational features that can cause a risk to safety should be conducted systematically. The effective management of quality should be achieved by the derivation of policy and application of principles and practices designed to prevent the occurrence of factors that could cause accidents.

1.12.2 The minimum requirements for the quality system should include written procedures that document all of the actions necessary to ensure the safe operation of navigation aids. The ISO 9000 quality management model provides a useful framework, and particular note has to be made of the following features expected in the quality management system.

1.12.2.1 **ORGANIZATIONAL AND INDIVIDUAL ACCOUNTABILITY:** Accountability and responsibility should be documented, traceable, and verifiable from the point of action through to the accountable manager (in most cases the Chief Executive).

1.12.2.2 **MANAGEMENT REVIEW:** The system for management review should be effective and should ensure that senior management is fully cognizant of the systems and features that affect safety.

1.12.2.3 **EXPOSITION OR COMPANY DOCUMENTATION:** An exposition or company documentation should be provided to clearly describe the organizational structure, personnel, accountabilities, responsibilities, resources, facilities, capabilities, policies, and purposes of the organization.

1.12.2.4 **RECORD KEEPING:** Records should be accurate, legible, and capable of independent analysis. The retention period for records should be defined. Commissioning records and those documenting system modifications (e.g. changes to ILS antenna configuration from sideband reference to capture effect) should be kept for the entire life cycle of the facility.

1.12.2.5 **DOCUMENTATION CONTROL:**

1.12.2.5.1 All procedures should be controlled so that the correct version of any procedure can be easily identified and used.

1.12.2.5.2 Retention of data is required in order to permit trend analysis of the ground and airborne flight inspection equipment. Such analysis will assist in the identification of fault conditions or substandard performance before development of any safety hazard. Examples of items that might be identified in this way are: a decreasing mean time between outages (MTBO); a slow drift in one or more radiated parameters; or a specific component that may appear to have a high failure rate.

1.12.2.5.3 More guidance on documentation and data recording is provided in Attachment 2 to this chapter.

1.12.2.6 **BUILD STATE AND MODIFICATION CONTROL:** The build state of all equipment, including test equipment, should be recorded and the records should be updated whenever modifications or changes are made. All modifications should be accurately documented and cross-referenced to modification strikes or numbers on the equipment. After making any modification, tests and analyses should ensure that the modification fulfils its intended purpose and that it has no undesired side effects.
1.12.2.7 **PERSONNEL TRAINING AND QUALIFICATION:**

The organization should establish methods for determining required job competencies:

1.12.2.7.1 all personnel directly engaged in the flight inspection, maintenance, or installation of an aeronautical navigation aid should be adequately qualified and trained, as well as experienced in their job functions;

1.12.2.7.2 the management system should include a written procedure for ensuring the continued competence of personnel through regular assessment; and

1.12.2.7.3 initial and recurrent training programmes for aeronautical navigation aid specialists should include a detailed explanation of maintenance procedures and their effect on the integrity of the radiated signal.

1.12.2.8 **CALIBRATION OF TEST EQUIPMENT:**

1.12.2.8.1 All test equipment used for calibration, test or maintenance of an aeronautical navigation aid should be listed and subject to regular calibration checks. Each item of test equipment should have a documented calibration procedure and calibration records. Test equipment should be calibrated at the manufacturer's recommended intervals, unless otherwise indicated by objective evidence or operational conditions.

1.12.2.8.2 The conditions of use of individual items of test equipment should be fully considered and the manufacturer's recommended interval should be queried if the utilization profile may be outside of the specified environmental conditions.

1.12.2.8.3 Regular calibration of the flight inspection receivers and position-fixing system is to be performed in order to ensure a back tracing of data to international or national standards. The calibration may be performed either on board the flight inspection aircraft or in a laboratory. In both cases, a test transmitter is connected to the radio frequency (RF) input of the receiver in order to input simulated signals. The receiver output is compared with the nominal signals; deviations are recorded either in a test protocol or in the memory of a computer. Calibration data are applied either on-line by the computer or during off-line data evaluation.

1.12.2.9 **CONTROL OF SPARES:**

Equipment spares should be stored under suitable environmental conditions. Spares having a limited lifetime, or requiring regular maintenance or calibration should be suitably identified to that effect. Procedures should exist for the control, repair, and return-to-service of equipment or modules. The procedures should show which modules may be repaired on-site and which should be returned to the manufacturer or recognized repair facility.

1.12.2.10 **DESIGN QUALIFICATION OF GROUND EQUIPMENT:**

A new design of equipment is subject to design qualification tests. These tests ensure that the equipment meets its design requirements. These tests are normally made on the "first production equipment" or on the first batch of equipment. If no serious problems are encountered, those tests are not
repeated for future installations of similar equipment. Items to be addressed during these tests include:

1.12.2.10.1 Environmental performance. These tests show that the equipment meets the tolerances under the range of environmental conditions specified by the manufacturer and purchaser. Environmental tests include all parts of the equipment, both internal and external.

1.12.2.10.2 Mean time between failures (MTBF). Before commencing such tests, it is essential to define the test conditions; for example, what constitutes a failure, what confidence level will be used during the demonstration, will modifications be permitted during the tests (see Annex 10, Volume I, Attachments C, F and G, for additional guidance on reliability aspects).

1.12.2.10.3 Manufacturer's quality system. The equipment is manufactured under an effective quality management system. There should be traceability from modules and components back through to system design requirements.

1.12.2.10.4 Integrity: The manufacturer should have made an in-depth study of system integrity. Safety critical components of the system are to be identified and all components used in these areas are to be traceable to their source. The integrity analysis should also define the maintenance and test intervals for the safety critical components of the system. Where a system is claimed to have automatic integrity checks, it is important to fully understand the depth of tests made by the automatic procedure.

1.12.2.10.5 Monitor correlation tests. Many systems use integral monitors or monitors in the near field area of the antenna array. Tests should show that simulated faults in the system produce the same response on monitors as in the far field. This investigation should concentrate mainly on simulated antenna faults, including individual elements and the signal distribution equipment.

1.13 ELECTROMAGNETIC INTERFERENCE:

1.13.1 Electromagnetic interference to a navigation aid is a rare occurrence, but the possibility of it happening should not be excluded. All reports of suspected interference should be investigated. During a flight inspection the signals from the navigation aid being inspected or it might affect the signals used for some types of position fixing, such as GNSS.

1.13.2 Attachment 3 to this chapter gives guidance on this subject, including types of interference, possible sources, methods of detection, and steps which can be taken to eliminate or mitigate the effects.

1.14 SPECTRUM ANALYSIS:

1.14.1 The use of a spectrum analyzer on the flight inspection aircraft and on the ground at navigation aid sites can be an effective means of resolving problems with radio navigation aids. The following are some of the applications for spectrum analysis as it relates to testing of radio navigation systems.

1.14.2 Spectrum measurements at specific points in the service volume should be accomplished on a flight inspection aircraft. It is recommended that the spectrum analyzer set-up information, aircraft antenna position, and measurement time be recorded with spectrum measurements. At remote sites, the spectrum analyser on a flight inspection aircraft may be used for verification of the radiated signal spectrum from the ground system when the required test equipment is not available at the site.
1.14.3 The spectrum analyzer can be used to measure carrier frequency, sideband modulation levels and spurious emission levels. Residual frequency or phase modulation components on ILS transmitters can be identified from the radiated spectrum components. If present, frequency or phase modulation may affect the AM sideband amplitudes as measured on the spectrum analyzer. Care should be taken to account for the Doppler shift in signals as the aircraft moves at high speed toward or away from the transmitter. Computer-aided acquisition and set-up of the spectrum analyzer will be of great advantage in the air.

1.14.4 The spectrum analyzer can be used in the periodic flight inspection for dual frequency ILS to measure the power ratio between the references and capture transmitters. The reference and the capture signal frequencies can be measured simultaneously and any error in frequency alignment of the ground facility can be detected. This technique greatly improves the effectiveness and accuracy of the measurement, eliminating the need to switch between the two transmitters on the ground and position the aircraft at exactly the same position in space for two sequential measurements. Course/clearance power ratio can be checked simultaneously with the normal clearance procedure using this technique.

1.14.5 The spectrum analyzer can also be used to identify the frequency and relative power of the interfering source when interference is detected through loss or erratic behavior of the cross-pointer, audio or automatic gain control (AGC) signal. Information of the types of sources and testing techniques is provided in Attachment 3 to this chapter.

1.15 GROUND AND FLIGHT INSPECTION PERIODICITY:

1.15.1 GENERAL:

1.15.1.1 This document contains nominal schedules for each radio navigation aid that should be considered in the light of conditions relevant to each State and each site.

1.15.1.2 The nominal schedules should be used by States as a basis for determining the appropriate inspection intervals for specific facilities. In some cases, it may be necessary to carry out more frequent inspections, e.g. following initial installation. It may also be possible to extend the inspection intervals in some circumstances, if the factors outlined in this section have been taken into account.

1.15.1.3 The manufacturer’s instruction manual usually contains recommendations which are also useful in this regard.

1.15.2 DETERMINATION OF TEST/INSPECTION INTERVALS

1.15.2.1 Many factors influence the choice of appropriate intervals for both ground and flight tests. These include the reliability and stability of operation of the equipment, the extent of ground monitoring, the degree of correlation between ground and flight measurements, changes in the operating environment, manufacturer recommendations, and the quality of maintenance. The complete programme of ground and flight inspections should be considered when determining test intervals.

1.15.2.2 Reliability and stability of equipment is related to age, design technology, and the operational environment. Stability of operation may also be affected by excessive maintenance adjustments attributable to either human factors or variation in test equipment performance. This is particularly true with some older test equipment where the accuracy and stability of the test equipment is not significantly better than the equipment under test. A major contribution to the demonstration of stability of navigation aids in recent years is the design of
modern flight inspection systems and ground facility test equipment, where the standard resolution and accuracy are very high.

1.15.2.3 Ground maintenance activity and its frequency is dependent upon the design, reliability and stability of a particular equipment and the quality of the test equipment employed as a transfer standard. It has been shown that equipment reliability may be adversely affected by frequently scheduled major maintenance activity. It is, therefore, desirable to limit such activity to essential testing only, particularly for tests that require the disconnection of cables. There is a requirement for additional supplementary flight inspection when some engineering activities, such as glide path antenna changes or adjustments are made. Further investigation may be initiated if the independent monitor calibration indicates any adjustments are required.

1.15.2.4 The correlation of air and ground measurement records and historic demonstration of equipment stability have allowed some States to extend the intervals between flight inspections. This is supported by the use of routine monitor readings, strict environmental safeguarding and closer tolerances on flight inspection results to ensure operational stability is maintained. Example criteria for the extension of ILS flight inspection intervals are given in 1.15.8 and 1.15.9.

1.15.3 EXAMPLE OF CRITERIA FOR THE EXTENSION OF ILS FLIGHT INSPECTION INTERVALS:

1.15.3.1 This section gives an example of criteria applied to extend the nominal interval between flight inspections on selected ILS facilities. The procedure requires:

1.15.3.1.1 an initial demonstration of stability over four consecutive periodic flight inspections with no transmitter adjustments. The tolerance applied to inspection results for glide path angle and displacement sensitivity, localizer alignment and displacement sensitivity is 75 per cent of the normal acceptance standards. Glide path clearance below the path at 0.3 of the nominal glide path angle should be greater than 220 µA;

1.15.3.1.2 good correlation between concurrent ground and airborne results;

1.15.3.1.3 a record of independent monitor calibration results;

1.15.3.1.4 a record of equipment monitor readings taken at least at monthly intervals;

1.15.3.1.5 evidence that the quality of the maintenance is high; and

1.15.3.1.6 that the facility is adequately safeguarded against changes in the operational environment, e.g. building development.

1.15.3.2 The nominal inspection interval should be resumed if these criteria are no longer met.

1.15.4 CORRELATION AS THE BASIS FOR EXTENDING PERIODICITY:

A typical basis for extending the interval between required measurements without degrading ILS integrity is correlation. Any individual measurement is normally expected to be repeatable over time without adjustments to the equipment. Correlation between ILS measurements made both on the ground and in the air at the same or nearly the same time is also expected. This places equal responsibility on ground and airborne personnel and helps identify common-mode measurement errors. An additional requirement to extend flight inspection intervals is the influence of near- and far-field environments on the signals. These effects can be determined with a flight inspection aircraft. The following paragraphs give illustrations of the correlation technique.
1.15.4.1 PRELIMINARY REQUIREMENTS:
Certain fundamental requirements should be met prior to any measurement activity if correlation between ground and airborne measurements over time can be expected. Typical requirements include functionally similar training for personnel, appropriate calibrated test equipment, completion of all prescribed ground maintenance tasks & availability of commissioning reports and recent periodic inspection reports, and frequent use of measurement skills by both ground and airborne personnel.

1.15.4.2 TECHNIQUES:
Achieving good correlation places the same or similar weight on both ground and airborne testing, and demands that both be conducted with great care. Initial or commissioning-type flight measurements should be made with special care, as the corresponding ground measurements will be used as references for ground maintenance personnel. The portable maintenance receiver is readily used in the far-field for localizer facilities, while glide path facilities may require measurements in the near- or mid-field with an auxiliary antenna placed near the transmitting antennas.

1.15.4.3 TOLERANCES:
New tolerances may be developed to define acceptable correlation between measurements. A rigorous application of correlation principles might include the following types:

1.15.4.3.1 Setting tolerance — defines the exact value for a parameter, which should be achieved (within the measurement uncertainty) when adjustment is required.

1.15.4.3.2 Adjustment/maintenance tolerance — defines the limit within which a parameter may vary without requiring adjustment.

1.15.4.3.3 Operational tolerance — defines the ICAO Standard for a parameter.

1.15.4.3.4 Discrepancy tolerance — defines, for certain parameters only, the limits of divergence between various measurements:

1.15.4.3.4.1 Ground / ground discrepancy — applies to a divergence over time, or between different methods of measuring the same parameter (e.g. alignment monitor, portable ILS receiver, and far-field monitor).

1.15.4.3.4.2 Ground / air discrepancy — applies to a divergence between measurements of the same parameter at the same or nearly the same time by ground and airborne testing personnel.

1.15.4.4 ACTIVITIES DURING FLIGHT INSPECTION:
Typical correlation activities begin with a confirmation that airborne and ground test equipment is operating within tolerances. This may be achieved by comparing ground and flight test generators and receivers. (If the tolerances are not met, the flight inspection is delayed until the cause of the problem is eliminated.) If the ground or airborne results are out of discrepancy tolerances during the flight inspection and the cause cannot be determined, then the ground monitor alarm limits should be tightened, the facility
declassified appropriately or removed from service. The successful completion of the flight inspection (all tolerances are met) establishes that the ground maintenance activities are effective and the interval between inspections may be maintained at the optimum periodicity.

1.16 FLIGHT INSPECTION AT NIGHT:

1.16.1 Certain areas have high densities of air traffic during daylight hours. Conducting flight inspections in these areas during daylight can cause delays to normal traffic if safety is not to be compromised. It is possible to make many of the flight inspections, described in this manual, during the night to avoid interfering with normal flight operations.

1.16.2 Several additional factors need to be considered for night-time flight inspection. These are detailed in the following paragraphs.

1.16.1.1 **EFFECT OF THE ENVIRONMENT ON THE RADIATED SIGNAL:**
The signals radiated by some types of radio navigation aids are affected by propagation which differs between day and night. For example, the level of background radio noise over a city may be different.

1.16.1.2 **EFFECT OF ENVIRONMENT ON THE NAVIGATION AID:**
The ground facility maintenance engineer should inform the flight inspector of any equipment variations, such as monitor performance which may change at night. The effect of the local environment, such as changes in the position of reflecting obstacles should be considered.

1.16.1.3 **POSITION REFERENCE:**
Flight inspection at night will normally use an independent reference system but the use of ground tracking equipment is not excluded.

1.16.1.4 **EVALUATION OF RESULTS:**
The flight inspector should decide whether differences from measurements made during the daytime are due to night conditions, problems with the equipment or making the measurements at different positions.

1.16.1.5 **FLIGHT INSPECTION REPORTS**
The flight inspection report should indicate whether the inspection was made at night.

1.16.1.6 **TYPES OF FLIGHT:**
The inspection flights should be made in accordance with the guidance given in this manual, with the exception of measurements that specifically need low-level flights. It is recommended that at specific intervals an inspection is made under the same conditions as prevailed at the time of commissioning.

1.16.1.7 **SAFETY OF FLIGHT:**
Flights should be conducted 300 m (1 000 ft) above the level normally used for daytime flight inspection in areas having obstructions. It will be necessary to change some horizontal distances in order to retain the same vertical angle from the navigation aid, where this is important to the measurements. Low-level below path (safety approach) glide path inspection flights should not be made during the night or when the level of natural light is low. Flights should normally be carried out in accordance with VFR.
Chapter 2

VERY HIGH FREQUENCY
OMNIDIRECTIONAL RADIO RANGE (VOR)

2.1 INTRODUCTION:

2.1.1 GENERAL:

This chapter provides guidance on the ground and flight inspection requirements applicable to both conventional (CVOR) and Doppler (DVOR) type VHF omnidirectional radio range (VOR), as specified in ANO-002-DRTS-1.0, D3.3.

2.1.2 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION:

2.1.2.1 The VOR is a short-range radio navigation aid that produces an infinite number of bearings that may be visualized as lines radiating from the beacon. The number of bearings can be limited to 360, one degree apart, known as radials. A radial is identified by its magnetic bearing from the VOR.

2.1.2.2 The radials are generated in space by comparing the phase angle of two equal frequencies radiated from the beacon. One signal, called the reference, radiates omni-directionally so that its phase is equal in all directions. The second signal, called the variable, radiates from a directional array.

2.1.2.3 The phase of the variable signal received at the aircraft is dependent upon the radial on which the receiver lies with respect to magnetic north.

2.1.2.4 Both signals are in-phase at magnetic north. The phase of the variable signal lags that of the reference signal by an amount equal to the azimuth angle around the beacon.

2.1.3 TESTING REQUIREMENTS:

A summary of testing requirements is given in Table I-2-1.

2.2 GROUND TESTING:

2.2.1 GENERAL:

The following paragraphs contain information and guidance for establishment of an orderly maintenance programme for VOR facilities. A maintenance programme consists of standardized:

2.2.1.1 periodic performance tests to determine if the facility is operating in accordance with established criteria;

2.2.1.2 equipment adjustment procedures;

2.2.1.3 periodic formal facilities inspections;

2.2.1.4 logistic support procedures; and

2.2.1.5 equipment modification as required.

Note: Since the means by which VOR signals are produced vary from one manufacturer to the other, it would be impracticable to include detailed
procedures in this manual for the different equipment employed in the various States. For this reason, broad guidelines are provided and adaptation to specific equipment will be required.

2.2.2 GROUND PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS:
Ground test requirements are listed in Table I-2-2.

2.2.3 GROUND TEST PROCEDURES:
The VOR should be inspected in accordance with the manufacturer’s recommended procedures. The following procedures provide guidance for testing of VOR specific parameters specified in ANO-002DRTS-1.0. The manufacturer’s procedures should include at least these tests.

2.2.3.1 ROTATION:
Correct rotation should be confirmed. This can be performed during the measurement of a ground error curve to determine antenna pattern accuracy.

2.2.3.2 SENSING:
Correct sensing should be verified by checking a radial other than 0° or 180°.

2.2.3.3 FREQUENCY:
Using the frequency counter determines the transmitter carrier frequency in accordance with procedures in the equipment instruction book. If the frequency is out of tolerance, adjust it in accordance with the equipment instruction book.

2.2.3.4 PATTERN ACCURACY:
A ground check is a means for determining course alignment errors. The actual courses produced by the VOR are compared (using monitor circuits) with simulated courses produced by a VOR test generator. Data recorded during the ground check are used to prepare a ground check error curve. Establishment of a ground check capability will enable maintenance personnel to restore a VOR to normal operation, following most repairs to the facility without a flight inspection. It is desirable to maintain the ground check error curve (maximum positive error to maximum negative error) within approximately 2.0°. If the facility cannot provide this level of performance, a broader value should be considered. The stability of the error curve spread is considered more important to the facility performance analysis than the magnitude of the error spread.

2.2.3.4.1 Example of procedure for conducting a ground check for a conventional VOR:

2.2.3.4.1.1 Place a field detector into the 0° positioner bracket and feed signals to the monitor in the normal manner.

2.2.3.4.1.2 Rotate the azimuth selector of the monitor for an “on course” indication (reference and variable signals in phase).

2.2.3.4.1.3 Substitute signals from the test generator. This can be accomplished by temporarily switching the field detector and test generator cables.

2.2.3.4.1.4 Without changing monitor adjustments, rotate the
test generator dial until an “on course” is again established. Read and record test generator dial reading. The difference between the dial reading of the test generator and the location of the field detector is the amount of course error at that location.

2.2.3.4.1.5 Repeat steps a) through d) for all bracket locations.

2.2.3.4.2 Plot a ground check error curve (amount of error versus azimuth) on rectangular co-ordinate graph paper.

Note-1: Positioner brackets are installed on the edge of the counterpoise at every 22.5 ± 0.1° beginning at 0 E. Alternatively, brackets could be mounted on poles appropriately spaced around the facility.

Note-2: Course error is either plus or minus. Plus error means the course is clockwise from where it should be, minus error means the course is counterclockwise from where it should be.

Note-3: An alternative method is to rotate the antenna through 360° and to plot the antenna characteristic from a single field monitor against the rotation angle.

2.2.3.4.3 ESTABLISHMENT OF REFERENCE CURVE AT COMMISSIONING:

It is desirable to prepare a reference ground check error curve immediately following the commissioning flight inspection. This curve is no different from that described above except that it is an average of three separate ground checks conducted on the same day, if possible. The reference error curve serves as a standard for comparing subsequent ground checks. The reference error curve is updated whenever courses are realigned during a flight inspection.

2.2.3.5 COVERAGE:

The coverage of the facility is established at the commissioning flight inspection. The standard operating condition of the facility should be established at this time including the carrier power level. Measure the RF power output using the wattmeter in accordance with the procedure in the equipment instruction book. Compare the level measured with the established standard operating condition at the periodic test.

2.2.3.6 MODULATION:

The preferred method is the use of a modulation meter. If a modulation meter is not available, an oscilloscope may be used instead.

2.2.3.6.1 9960 HZ DEVIATION:

The deviation in a CVOR may be measured at the output of the FM modulation stage or by direct measurement of the radiated signal using a modulation analyser. The deviation is determined using an oscilloscope by displaying the 9 960 Hz signal and measuring the difference, $\Delta f$, in periods between the minimum frequency (9960 Hz - 480 Hz) and the maximum frequency (9960 Hz + 480 Hz). The modulation index is determined by the following equation:
Modulation Index = $\Delta t/60T^2$

Where $T = 1/9960$

In a DVOR, the deviation of the 9 960 Hz subcarrier is determined by the rotation speed of the switched antennas and the physical characteristics of the array.

2.2.3.6.2 9960 HZ MODULATION DEPTH OF THE RADIO FREQUENCY CARRIER:

2.2.3.6.2.1 The CVOR 9960 Hz modulation depth of the carrier frequency can be measured by directly using a modulation meter, modulation analyser, or an oscilloscope. All other modulation should be inhibited unless the characteristics of the modulation analyser allow individual separation of the modulating signals.

2.2.3.6.2.2 In the oscilloscope method, a portion of the RF carrier (modulated by one frequency at a time) is coupled to the oscilloscope synchronized at the modulating frequency. An amplitude modulated waveform is produced from which the high ($E_{\text{max}}$) and low ($E_{\text{min}}$) points are measured. These values may be substituted in the following formula and the modulation percentage determined.

$$M = \frac{E_{\text{max}} - E_{\text{min}}}{E_{\text{max}} + E_{\text{min}}} \times 100\%$$

The modulation of the carrier for a DVOR is achieved in space by the combination of the reference signal and the switched 9 960 Hz variable signal. The modulation depth should be checked using a signal derived from a field monitor. A tuned modulation analyser is required due to the lower signal strength available.

2.2.3.6.3 30 HZ MODULATION DEPTH OF THE RADIO FREQUENCY CARRIER:

2.2.3.6.3.1 The CVOR variable signal modulation level (space modulation) is a function of the ratio of sideband energy to carrier energy radiated. The procedure in the equipment instruction book should be followed for adjusting the variable signal modulation level because different means (i.e. antenna systems) are employed in producing the rotating figure-of-eight radiation pattern.

2.2.3.6.3.2 A procedure for adjusting the variable signal level that can be adapted to most VOR facilities is as follows:

2.2.3.6.3.2.1 Stop rotation of the figure-of-eight pattern.

2.2.3.6.3.2.2 Measure and record the relative field intensity (using monitor field intensity...
2.2.3.6.3.2.3 Compute the modulation percentage by substituting the Max and Min quantities obtained by applying 2.2.3.6.3.2.2 above in the formula in 2.2.3.6.3.2.

2.2.3.6.3.2.4 Vary sideband power until the desired modulation level is attained.

2.2.3.6.3.3 Accuracy will require corrected field intensity readings obtained from a calibration curve (transmitter power output versus field detector meter indication) either furnished with the equipment or prepared by field maintenance personnel. The final setting of the 30 Hz variable signal level (course width) is determined by flight inspection.

2.2.3.6.3.4 DVOR carrier modulation depth by the 30 Hz can be measured directly using a modulation meter, modulation analyser, or an oscilloscope. All other modulation should be inhibited unless the characteristics of the modulation analyser allow individual separation of the modulating signals.

2.2.3.6.4 **30 Hz Modulation Frequency:**

Measure the 30 Hz modulation frequency using the frequency counter.

2.2.3.6.5 **9 960 Hz Subcarrier Frequency:**

Measure the 9960 Hz subcarrier frequency using the frequency counter.

2.2.3.6.6 **CVOR AM Modulation of 9 960 Hz Subcarrier:**

Observe the 9 960 Hz subcarrier using an oscilloscope at the output of the FM modulator or after detection from a field monitor. Use the method described above to determine the AM modulation of the subcarrier with all other modulation off.

2.2.3.6.7 **DVOR AM Modulation of 9 960 Hz Subcarrier:**

Observe the composite signal with an oscilloscope connected to a test receiver or monitor and all other modulation off. Determine the percentage of amplitude modulation using the method described above.

**Note:** The limit for AM on the subcarrier in the far field, further than 300 m (1 000 ft) away, is less than 40 per cent. This limit corresponds to a limit of 55 per cent when the signal from a monitor antenna at the 80 m (260 ft) distance is used. Refer to the manufacturer's equipment instruction book for additional guidance on particular equipment.
2.2.3.6.8 SIDEBAND LEVEL OF THE HARMONICS OF THE 9 960 HZ COMPONENT:
The level of the 9 960 Hz harmonics can be determined by using a spectrum analyser and observing the radiated signal of the VOR from a field monitor probe. CVOR measurements can also be made at the antenna feed point of the reference signal.

2.2.3.7 VOICE CHANNEL:
2.2.3.7.1 PEAK MODULATION OF VOICE CHANNEL:
Connect an audio generator set to the nominal line level to the audio input of the VOR. Measure the peak modulation using a modulation meter or the oscilloscope method described above.

2.2.3.7.2 AUDIO FREQUENCY CHARACTERISTICS:
Select a frequency of 1000 Hz using an audio generator and establish a reference modulation level. Maintain the same output level from the audio generator and vary the audio frequency.

2.2.3.7.3 SPEECH EFFECT ON NORMAL NAVIGATION FUNCTION:
Operate the VOR in normal mode with all navigation modulation present. Apply the normal audio programme and observe the station monitor for any effect on the navigation performance.

2.2.3.8 IDENTIFICATION:
2.2.3.8.1 SPEED:
Observe the identification signal envelope using an oscilloscope. The code transmission speed can be established by measuring the period of a "dot".

2.2.3.8.2 REPETITION:
The repetition rate can be established by counting the repetition of the code cycle over a fixed period or by measuring the time required for the completion of several cycles.

2.2.3.8.3 TONE:
The identification tone can be measured directly using a frequency counter.

2.2.3.8.4 MODULATION DEPTH:
Measure the modulation depth using a modulation meter or the oscilloscope method with the identification tone continuously on and no other modulation present.

2.2.3.9 MONITORING:
Two methods are available to test the monitor performance. The first method is the simulation of the monitor input signal by the use of test equipment; and the second method is the adjustment of the transmitter to provide the required test signals. The use of discrete test equipment is the preferred method. Additional monitors may be provided in different equipment types. The manufacturer’s test procedures should be followed in such cases.

2.2.3.9.1 BEARING:
Generate a VOR signal that equates to the monitored radial. Vary the phase of the variable signal relative to the reference signal to
generate a positive and negative bearing alarm. Record the phase difference.

2.2.3.9.2 **MODULATION:**

Apply a standard monitor input signal and vary the modulation of the 9 960 Hz and the 30 Hz signals to cause alarm conditions for either or both of the navigation tones.

2.2.3.10 **POLARIZATION:**

2.2.3.10.1 This parameter is normally measured by flight inspection, but may be measured on the ground if suitable equipment is available.

2.2.3.11 **SPURIOUS MODULATION:**

Spurious (unwanted) modulation should be as low as possible (0.5 per cent or less) to prevent possible course errors. This modulation level may be determined by comparing AC voltage indications required to produce a known modulation level (only one modulation frequency applied) with the AC voltage indications, while audio input level controls (1020 Hz, 10 kHz, and voice) are adjusted to zero. The modulation output meter may be used for these readings. Record the modulation value obtained.

2.2.3.12 **SITE INFRINGEMENT:**

The site surrounding the VOR should be inspected at each maintenance visit for infringements of the clear area surrounding the facility.

2.2.3.13 **MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES THAT REQUIRE FLIGHT INSPECTION**

2.2.3.13.1 Flight inspection is not required for all maintenance procedures or modifications to the transmitting and monitoring equipment if field measurement and monitoring indications can be restored to the conditions that existed at commissioning or during the last satisfactory flight test.

2.2.3.13.2 A flight test is required in the following situations before returning the VOR to service:

- 2.2.3.13.2.1 realignment of magnetic north reference;
- 2.2.3.13.2.2 replacement of the antenna;
- 2.2.3.13.2.3 repositioning the field monitor antenna;
- 2.2.3.13.2.4 replacement of transmission lines of critical length;
- 2.2.3.13.2.5 change of operating frequency; and
- 2.2.3.13.2.6 environmental changes.

2.2.3.14 **COURSE ERROR ANALYSIS:**

2.2.3.14.1 Improper equipment adjustments or faulty equipment can result in a ground check error curve having periodic variations. These variations approximate the shape of sine waves and depending upon the total number of positive and negative peaks above and below the zero course error line, are called duantal, quadrantal, or octantal error. These errors can appear singly or simultaneously in any combination. The Fourier analysis technique can be employed to determine the type and amount of error in an error curve if desired. The following examples apply for CVOR only.
2.2.3.14.2 Duantal error (two peaks, one positive and one negative) is caused by unwanted 30 Hz amplitude modulation of the RF carrier and/or improper RF phase relationship between sideband antenna currents of a pair. Possible causes of duantal error in a four-loop array are:

2.2.3.14.2.1 unequal electrical line lengths of paired transmission lines;
2.2.3.14.2.2 improper location of figure-of-eight radiation pattern Min points;
2.2.3.14.2.3 amplitude modulation of the 10 kHz signal at a 30 Hz rate; and/or
2.2.3.14.2.4 dissimilar antennas or antenna members elements.

2.2.3.14.3 Quadrantal error (four peaks, two positive and two negative) is caused by unwanted 60 Hz modulation of the RF carrier and/or antenna system faults. Possible causes of quadrantal error in a four-loop array are:

2.2.3.14.3.1 inequality of antenna pair currents;
2.2.3.14.3.2 miphasing of RF currents between antenna pairs;
2.2.3.14.3.3 unequal attenuation of sideband antenna feed lines; and/or
2.2.3.14.3.4 improper adjustment of the power amplifier stage of the transmitter.

2.2.3.14.4 Octantal error (eight peaks, four positives and four negatives) is found primarily in VOR facilities employing four (loop) antennas. This error results when they do not produce a true figure-of-eight radiation pattern. End-plates on loops should be adjusted to reduce octantal error.

2.2.3.14.5 Reserved.

2.2.3.15 TEST EQUIPMENT:

The following is a suggested list of test equipment for use in maintaining VOR facilities:

2.2.3.15.1 oscilloscope — a bandwidth of 400 MHz is recommended;
2.2.3.15.2 audio oscillator;
2.2.3.15.3 VOR test generator;
2.2.3.15.4 frequency counter;
2.2.3.15.5 modulation analyser or modulation meter;
2.2.3.15.6 wattmeter, voltage standing wave ratio indicator or through-line wattmeter;
2.2.3.15.7 probe detector, VHF;
2.2.3.15.8 spectrum analyser.
2.3  FLIGHT TESTING:

2.3.1  GENERAL:

VORs should meet all requirements to be classified as unrestricted. The operating agency may, after proper coordination, prescribe the use of the facility on a restricted basis and issue Notice to Airmen (NOTAM) accordingly when a specific area of a facility does not meet these operating tolerances.

2.3.2  FLIGHT TEST PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS:

Flight testing requirements are listed in Table I-2-3.

2.3.3  FLIGHT TEST PROCEDURES:

2.3.3.1  SENSING:

This check is required at the beginning of the flight inspection and need not be repeated. The bearing of the aircraft from the station must be known. Select an appropriate radial and when the cross-pointer is centered, the indicator should indicate “FROM”.

2.3.3.2  ROTATION:

Begin an orbit. The radial bearing as indicated should continually decrease for a counterclockwise orbit, or increase for a clockwise orbit. Sensing should be checked before rotation. Incorrect sensing might cause the station rotation to appear reversed.

2.3.3.3  POLARIZATION EFFECT:

2.3.3.3.1  ATTITUDE EFFECT METHOD:

The vertical polarization effect should be checked when flying directly to, or from, the facility, at a distance of 18.5 to 37 km (10 to 20 NM). The aircraft should be rolled to a 30° bank, first to one side, then to the other, and returned to a straight level flight. Track and heading deviations should be kept to a minimum. Course deviation, as measured on the recording, is the indication of vertical polarization effect.

2.3.3.3.2  30° BANK, 360° TURN METHOD:

Vertical polarization may be checked by executing a 30° bank, 360° turn, 18.5 to 37 km (10 to 20 NM) from the antenna. The turn should begin from an “on-course” (toward the station) position over a measured ground checkpoint.

The recording should be marked at the start of the turn and at each 90° of heading change until the turn is completed. The turn should be completed over the starting point and the recording marked. The recording should show a smooth departure from
and return to the “on-course” position, deviating only by the amount that the aircraft is displaced from the original starting point when the vertical polarization effect is not present. Other excursions of the cross-pointer may be attributed to the vertical polarization effect. The effect of the wing shadowing the aircraft antenna should be considered in evaluating the recording.

2.3.3.4 PATTERN ACCURACY:

2.3.3.4.1 ALIGNMENT:

2.3.3.4.1.1 Alignment can be determined by flying an orbit or by flying a series of radials. The altitude selected for the flight should place the aircraft in the main lobe of the VOR.

2.3.3.4.1.2 The orbit should be flown at a height and range that allows the position reference system to accurately determine the position of the aircraft. This will require low, close-in orbits for theodolite-based position systems. Other automated systems will require the orbits to be conducted at a greater range to achieve the required accuracy. The orbit should have sufficient overlap to ensure that the measurement covers the complete 360°. The alignment of the VOR is determined by averaging the error throughout the orbit. Judgment may be exercised where the tracking of the orbit is interrupted to determine the effect of the lost information on the average alignment.

2.3.3.4.1.3 Alignment can also be determined by flying a series of radial approaches. These approaches should be conducted at equal angular displacements around the facility. A minimum of eight radials is considered necessary to determine the alignment of the VOR.

2.3.3.4.2 BENDS:

A bend is determined by flying a radial pattern and comparing the indicated course against a position reference system. The error is measured against the correct magnetic azimuth of the radial. Deviations of the course due to bends should not exceed 3.5° from the computed average course alignment and should remain within 3.5° of the correct magnetic azimuth.

2.3.3.4.3 ROUGHNESS AND SCALLOPING ERROR:

Scalloping is a cyclic deviation of the course line. The frequency is high enough so that the deviation is averaged out and will not cause aircraft displacement. Roughness is a ragged irregular series of deviations. Momentary deviations of the course due to roughness, scalloping or combinations thereof should not exceed 3.0° from the average course.

2.3.3.4.4 FLYABILITY:

Flyability is a subjective assessment by the pilot flying the inspection. Assessment of flyability should be performed on
operational radials and during procedures based on the VOR.

2.3.3.5 COVERAGE:

2.3.3.5.1 Coverage of the VOR is the usable area within the operational service volume and is determined during the various checks of the VOR. Additional flight checks are required to determine the distance from the facility at which satisfactory coverage is obtained at the specified altitudes.

2.3.3.5.2 The coverage of a VOR can be affected by factors other than signal strength. Where out-of-tolerance roughness, scalloping, bends, alignment, and/or interference render the facility unusable in certain areas, a restriction should result which should be handled in the same manner as restricted coverage due to lack of signal strength.

2.3.3.6 MODULATION:

The modulation of the 30 Hz reference, 30 Hz variable and 9960 Hz subcarrier should be measured during the flight inspection. Note that the roles of the FM and AM signals are reversed between the CVOR and the DVOR.

2.3.3.7 VOICE CHANNEL:

2.3.3.7.1 Voice communications on the VOR frequency should be checked for clarity, signal strength, and effect on the course structure in the same manner as described for identification checks. The audio level of voice communications is the same as the level of the voice identification feature. Flight inspection personnel should maintain surveillance of the quality and coverage of recorded voice transmissions (automatic terminal information service (ATIS) or other transcribed voice service) and ensure that there is no detrimental effect on the performance of the VOR. Comments and deficiencies should be included in the appropriate flight inspection reports.

2.3.3.7.2 SPEECH EFFECT ON NORMAL NAVIGATION FUNCTIONS

Observe the indicated bearing information during a stable approach flight and determine if the bearing information is affected by the voice transmission.

2.3.3.8 IDENTIFICATION:

2.3.3.8.1 The identification signal should be inspected for correctness, clarity, and possible detrimental effect on the course structure. This check should be performed while flying on-course and within radio line-of-sight of the station. Observe the course recording to determine if either code or voice identification affects the course structure. If course roughness is suspected, the identical track should be flown again with the identification turned off. Maintenance personnel should be advised immediately if it is determined that the course characteristics are affected by the identification signal.

2.3.3.8.2 The audible transmission of simultaneous voice/code identification signals should appear to be equal in volume to the user. The voice identification is not utilized during ground-to-air
broadcasts on the VOR frequency, but the coded identification should be audible in the background.

2.3.3.9 BEARING MONITOR:

2.3.3.9.1 The requirements for checking the monitor are as follows:

2.3.3.9.1.1 during commissioning inspections; and

2.3.3.9.1.2 during subsequent inspections, if the alignment at the reference checkpoint has changed more than one degree from the alignment last established and the monitor has not alarmed.

2.3.3.9.2 The check is made over the reference checkpoint at the same altitude as that used to establish the reference checkpoint. Position the aircraft inbound or outbound and activate the event mark exactly over the checkpoint while the following course conditions exist:

2.3.3.9.2.1 with the course in the normal operating condition;

2.3.3.9.2.2 with the course shifted to the alarm point;

2.3.3.9.2.3 with the course shifted to the alarm point to the opposite direction from 2.3.3.9.2.2 above; or

2.3.3.9.2.4 with the course returned to the normal operating condition.

2.3.3.9.3 The course alignment should be compared, in each of these conditions, by reference to the recordings to determine the amplitude of shift to the alarm point and to verify the return to normal.

2.3.3.9.4 Check both transmitters in the same manner when dual monitors are installed. Both should be checked on a systematic basis. Follow the procedure for single monitor check above, except in steps 2.3.3.9.2.2 and 2.3.3.9.2.3 the course should be shifted in each direction until both monitors alarm. Determine the amplitude of course-shift required to alarm both monitors.

2.3.3.10 REFERENCE CHECKPOINT:

2.3.3.10.1 A checkpoint should be selected during the commissioning inspection on or close to the monitor radial (usually 090 or 270 degrees) and located within 18.5 to 37 km (10 to 20 NM) of the antenna. This checkpoint should be used in establishing course alignment and should serve as a reference point for subsequent inspections of alignment, monitors, course sensitivity and modulation measurements. Course alignment and sensitivity should normally be adjusted with reference to this checkpoint. Adjustments made elsewhere will require a recheck of these parameters at this reference checkpoint.

2.3.3.10.2 The flight inspector should record a description of the reference checkpoint that includes the azimuth to the nearest tenth of a degree, the distance from the facility, and the mean sea level (MSL) altitude, which is usually 460 m (1 500 ft) above the antenna. This data should be revised any time the reference checkpoint is re-established. The final course alignment error, measured at the reference checkpoint, should be recorded on the facility data sheet for subsequent reference in order to determine
the necessity for a complete monitor check as specified in 2.3.3.9.

2.3.3.11 STANDBY POWER:

2.3.3.11.1 Standby power, when installed, should be checked during the commissioning inspection. This is not necessary for some types of standby power installations, e.g. float-charged battery supplies where there is no possibility of performance variation when operating on standby power. Subsequent inspections should not be required unless there is reported evidence of facility deterioration while this source of power is in use. The following items should be evaluated while operating on standby power:

- 2.3.3.11.1.1 course alignment (one radial);
- 2.3.3.11.1.2 course structure; and
- 2.3.3.11.1.3 modulations.

2.3.3.11.2 The inspections are to be performed when flying a portion of a radial with the station operating on normal power, and then repeating the check at the same altitude and over the same ground track with the station operating on standby power.

2.3.3.12 STANDBY EQUIPMENT:

Both transmitters should be checked against each required item of Table I-2-3. These checks may be performed sing radial flights and a single alignment orbit.

2.3.3.13 COMPLEMENTARY FACILITIES:

Facilities associated with the VOR that complement operational use (such as marker beacons, DME, lighting aids that support the visibility minima of an approach procedure, communications, etc.) should be inspected concurrently with the VOR and in accordance with applicable procedures.

2.3.3.14 EVALUATION OF OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES:

2.3.3.14.1 RADIALS:

Radials used, or proposed for use, for IFR should be inspected to determine their capability to support the procedure. On commissioning inspections, a selection of radials proposed for IFR use should be inspected. The selection should be based on the following criteria:

- 2.3.3.14.1.1 All radials supporting instrument approach procedures should be selected.
- 2.3.3.14.1.2 Radials should be selected from areas of poor performance indicated by the orbit inspection.
- 2.3.3.14.1.3 Any radials where the coverage may be affected by terrain should be selected.
- 2.3.3.14.1.4 At least one radial should be selected from each quadrant, if appropriate. In general, this should include the longest and lowest radials.

Routine inspection requirements are contained in the following paragraphs.
2.3.3.14.2 **EN-ROUTE RADIALS (AIRWAYS, OF-AIRWAY ROUTES, SUBSTITUTE ROUTES):**

2.3.3.14.2.1 En-route radials should be flown either inbound or outbound, along their entire length from the facility to the extremity of their intended use, at the minimum altitude for the associated airway or route as published. The minimum altitude for flying en-route radials, predicated on terminal facilities, is 300 m (1000 ft) above the highest terrain or obstruction along the radial to a distance of 46.3 km (25 NM). The aircraft should be flown on the electronic radial and the position of the aircraft should be recorded using a position reference system.

2.3.3.14.2.2 Reference, variable and 9960 Hz modulations and the vertical polarization effect should be checked at least once on each airway and direct-route radial. Signal strength, course deviation and aircraft position should be recorded throughout the radial flight.

2.3.3.14.2.3 Course structure and alignment should be determined by analysis of the recordings. The recordings should also be analysed for possible undesirable close-in or over-station characteristics to determine that use of the facility for approach, holding, etc., is not adversely affected.

2.3.3.14.3 **TERMINAL RADIALS (APPROACH, MISSED APPROACH, STANDARD INSTRUMENT DEPARTURE (SID):**

Approach radials should be evaluated at a distance that includes the procedure turn, holding pattern and missed approach on commissioning inspections. The approach radial should be flown 30 m (100 ft) below specified altitudes. Site and commissioning inspections require two additional radials 5° either side of the approach radial to be flown and analysed with the same criteria as the approach radial. Radials used to support SID procedures should be evaluated to the extent to which they are used.

2.3.3.14.4 **INTERSECTIONS:**

2.3.3.14.4.1 Adjacent facilities that provide intersections should be inspected to determine their capability to support the intersection. Reliable facility performance and course guidance at the approved minimum holding altitude (MHA) should exist. Minimum signal strength should exist for the radial(s) forming the intersection within 7.4 km (4 NM) or 4.5°, whichever is greater, each side of the geographical location of the intersection fix.

2.3.3.14.4.2 Identification from each facility forming the intersection should be clear and distinct. Voice communications should be adequate at the minimum holding altitude. The signal from each facility should be free from interference at all altitudes below the maximum authorized altitude for holding. A minimum reception altitude should be established for
the intersection, which is normally determined by the facility providing the weakest signal.

**Note:** All minimum en-route altitudes are to be corrected to and reported as true altitudes above mean sea level. All intersections prior to being published and authorized for use are to be flight inspected against the requirements stated above. Routine inspections of inter-sections can be accomplished adequately by recording an airway radial of one facility and the transition from other facilities forming the fix. Routine inspections can therefore be conducted concurrently with airway radials. Departure from the airway radial that is being inspected to evaluate another radial which is part of the fix is not required, unless detailed investigations become necessary.

### 2.3.3.14.5 CROSS-CHECK RADIALS:
Commissioning and routine flight inspections of cross-check radials are not required provided there is sufficient flight inspection data to support the certification of these radials. The radial(s) should be inspected prior to being authorized for use if cross-check radials are requested for use in areas outside of the operational service volume of the facility(ies) for which supporting flight inspection data is not available. Thereafter, flight inspections are not required.

### 2.3.4 TEST EQUIPMENT:

2.3.4.1 The aircraft should be fitted with a typical VOR receiver and antenna system. The power level into the receiver is used as the normal reference parameter for the determination of field strength. The power level into the receiver can be converted to absolute field strength if the antenna factor and cable losses are known. Refer to Chapter 1, Attachment 1, for guidance on determining antenna performance.

### 2.3.5 ANALYSIS:

#### 2.3.5.1 COURSE STRUCTURE:

2.3.5.1.1 Roughness, scalloping, and bends are displayed as deviations of the cross-pointer. Roughness will show as a series of ragged irregular deviations; scalloping, as a series of smooth rhythmic deviations. The frequency of each is such that it is not flyable and must be averaged out to obtain a course. Modern flight inspection systems can automatically carry out the analysis of a course structure.

2.3.5.1.2 A manual method to measure the amplitude of roughness and scalloping, or combinations thereof, is to draw two lines on the recording which are tangential to and along each positive and negative peak of the course deviation. The number of degrees, or microamperes, between these lines will be the total magnitude of course deviations; one half of this magnitude will be the plus and minus deviation. A third line is drawn equidistant from these lines to obtain the average “on-course” from which alignment is measured. The alignment error may be computed from the
course recordings at any point where an accurate checkpoint has been marked on the recording. An alignment error should be referred to the nearest tenth of a degree. Misalignment in the clockwise direction is considered positive. The error is positive when the magnetic azimuth of the measured (ground) checkpoint is greater than the electronic radial.

2.3.5.1.3 A bend is similar to scalloping except that its frequency is such that an aircraft can be maneuvered throughout a bend to maintain a centered cross-pointer. A bend might be described as a brief misalignment of the course. It is therefore important to the analysis of a bend to consider aircraft heading and radial alignment deviations. Bends are sometimes difficult to discern, especially in those areas where good ground checkpoints or other means of aircraft positioning are not available. A smooth deviation of the course over a distance of 3.7 km (2 NM) two miles would manifest itself as a bend for a flight inspection aircraft at a ground speed of 140 knots. An aircraft of greater speed would not detect such smooth deviations of the course as a bend, unless it was over a much greater distance. The analysis of bends should further consider the flight levels and speeds of potential users.

2.3.5.1.4 These various course aberrations are usually caused by reflections of the RF signal from terrain, trees, power lines, hangars, fences, etc. The character of the deviation can indicate the type of reflecting objects, i.e. rough objects such as trees may cause roughness, smooth objects such as power lines and hangars may cause scalloping and bends. A study of flight inspection recordings and the surrounding terrain will often disclose the source of the course aberrations. These conditions (roughness, scalloping, bends) can occur alone or in any combination.

2.3.5.2 APPLICATION OF TOLERANCES:

2.3.5.2.1 The application of bend criteria should consider the navigation system accuracy, which is based partly on a maximum course displacement of 3.5° (bend tolerance) and the maximum distance an aircraft is expected to depart from an established course. The displacement of the course by a bend should not exceed 3.5° from either the correct magnetic azimuth or the on-course average, as provided by the facility, in order to satisfy these factors. The following two examples are offered for clarification:

2.3.5.2.1.1 A radial that has zero alignment error — the maximum bend tolerance of 3.5° is allowable on both sides of the “on-course” line whether the bend occurs singly or in series.

2.3.5.2.1.2 A radial that has an alignment error of +2.0° — further displacement of the course by a bend of +1.5° is allowable. This results in a +3.5° displacement from the correct magnetic azimuth. Since a bend displacement of the course of -3.5° from the “on-course” average is allowable; this results in a -1.5° displacement from the correct magnetic azimuth.
2.3.5.2.2 When roughness, or scalloping, or a combination is superimposed on the bend, the average “on-course” should be determined by averaging the total amplitude of such aberrations. This can result in a momentary displacement of the course of 6.5° where ±3.0° of roughness is superimposed on a bend of 3.5°. Such a condition is highly unlikely; however, consideration should be given to the suitability of the facility in the areas of such occurrence.

2.3.5.2.3 The criteria for roughness and scalloping should not be applied strictly as a plus and minus factor, but as a maximum deviation from the course. Roughness and scalloping normally occur in a series. Where it is apparent that a rapid deviation occurs only on one side of the course, rather than in a series, the criteria should be applied as a plus factor, or a minus factor, as applicable. (See Figures I-2-1 and I-2-2.)

![Figure I-1-1. Roughness, scalloping, bends and combinations](image)

![Figure I-2-2. Bend tolerance envelope](image)
### TABLE I-2-1  SUMMARY OF TESTING REQUIREMENTS -- VOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER</th>
<th>ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 REFERENCE</th>
<th>TESTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rotation</td>
<td>D3.3.1.1</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensing</td>
<td>D3.3.1.3</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>D3.3.2</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polarization</td>
<td>D3.3.3.1</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pattern Accuracy</td>
<td>D3.3.3.2</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage</td>
<td>D3.3.4</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9960 Hz deviation</td>
<td>D3.3.5.1</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9960 Hz modulation depth</td>
<td>D3.3.5.2</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Hz modulation depth</td>
<td>D3.3.5.3</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Hz modulation frequency</td>
<td>D3.3.5.4</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9960 Hz subcarrier frequency</td>
<td>D3.3.5.5</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVOR AM modulation of 9960 Hz subcarrier</td>
<td>D3.3.5.6</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVOR AM modulation of 9960 Hz subcarrier</td>
<td>D3.3.5.6</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sideband level of the harmonics of the 9960 Hz</td>
<td>D3.3.5.7</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak modulation of voice channel</td>
<td>D3.3.6.2</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio frequency characteristic</td>
<td>D3.3.6.3</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification speed</td>
<td>D3.3.6.5</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification repetition</td>
<td>D3.3.6.5</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification tone</td>
<td>D3.3.6.5</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification modulation depth</td>
<td>D3.3.6.6</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech effect on normal navigation function</td>
<td>D3.3.6.7</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bearing monitor</td>
<td>D3.3.7.1</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation monitor</td>
<td>D3.3.7.1</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend  
F = Flight test/inspection  
G = Ground test
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 reference</th>
<th>MNL-002-ARTS-1.0 reference</th>
<th>Measurand</th>
<th>Tolerance</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
<th>Periodicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rotation</td>
<td>D3.3.1.1</td>
<td>2.2.3.1</td>
<td>Clockwise</td>
<td>Correct</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensing</td>
<td>D3.3.1.3</td>
<td>2.2.3.2</td>
<td>Correctness</td>
<td>Correct</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier frequency</td>
<td>D3.3.2</td>
<td>2.2.3.3</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>±0.002%</td>
<td>0.0004%</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polarization</td>
<td>D3.3.3.1</td>
<td>2.2.3.10</td>
<td>Deviation</td>
<td>±2.0°</td>
<td>0.3°</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pattern accuracy</td>
<td>D3.3.3.2</td>
<td>2.2.3.4</td>
<td>Alignment</td>
<td>±2.0°</td>
<td>0.4°</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage</td>
<td>D3.3.4</td>
<td>2.2.3.5</td>
<td>Field strength</td>
<td>90 µV/m</td>
<td>3 dB</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9960 Hz deviation</td>
<td>D3.3.5.1</td>
<td>2.2.3.6.1</td>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>16 ±1</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9960 Hz modulation depth</td>
<td>D3.3.5.2</td>
<td>2.2.3.6.2</td>
<td>Modulation depth</td>
<td>28 to 32%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Hz modulation depth</td>
<td>D3.3.5.3</td>
<td>2.2.3.6.3</td>
<td>Modulation depth</td>
<td>28 to 32%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Hz modulation frequency</td>
<td>D3.3.5.4</td>
<td>2.2.3.6.4</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>30 Hz ±1%</td>
<td>0.06 Hz</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9960 Hz subcarrier frequency</td>
<td>D3.3.5.5</td>
<td>2.2.3.6.5</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>9960 Hz ±1%</td>
<td>20 Hz</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVOR AM modulation of 9960 Hz subcarrier</td>
<td>D3.3.5.6</td>
<td>2.2.3.6.3</td>
<td>Modulation depth</td>
<td>≤5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVOR AM modulation of 9960 Hz subcarrier</td>
<td>D3.3.5.6</td>
<td>2.2.3.6.7</td>
<td>Modulation depth</td>
<td>≤40%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sideband level of harmonics of 9960 Hz</td>
<td>D3.3.5.7</td>
<td>2.2.3.6.8</td>
<td>Modulation depth</td>
<td>9960 Hz = 0 dB ref. ≤ -30 dB ≤ -50 dB ≤ -60 dB</td>
<td>1 dB</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak modulation of voice channel</td>
<td>D3.3.6.2</td>
<td>2.2.3.7.1</td>
<td>Modulation depth</td>
<td>≤ 30%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio frequency characteristics</td>
<td>D3.3.6.3</td>
<td>2.2.3.7.2</td>
<td>Power</td>
<td>±3 dB</td>
<td>1 dB</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification speed</td>
<td>D3.3.6.5</td>
<td>2.2.3.8.1</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>7 words/minute</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification repetition</td>
<td>D3.3.6.5</td>
<td>2.2.3.8.2</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>≥ 2 times/min</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification tone frequency</td>
<td>D3.3.6.5</td>
<td>2.2.3.8.3</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>1020 ±50 Hz</td>
<td>10 Hz</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification modulation depth</td>
<td>D3.3.6.6</td>
<td>2.2.3.8.4</td>
<td>Modulation depth</td>
<td>≤ 10%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech effect on navigation function</td>
<td>D3.3.6.7</td>
<td>2.2.3.7.3</td>
<td>Deviation</td>
<td>≤ 1.0°</td>
<td>0.3°</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bearing monitor</td>
<td>D3.3.7.1</td>
<td>2.2.3.9.1</td>
<td>Deviation</td>
<td>±1.0°</td>
<td>0.3°</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation monitor</td>
<td>D3.3.7.1</td>
<td>2.2.3.9.2</td>
<td>Volts</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spurious modulation</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2.2.3.11</td>
<td>Modulation depth</td>
<td>≤ 0.5%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site infringement</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>2.2.3.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## TABLE I-2-3 SUMMARY OF FLIGHT INSPECTION REQUIREMENT --- VOR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 reference</th>
<th>MNL-002-ARTS-1.0 reference</th>
<th>Measurand</th>
<th>Tolerance</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
<th>Inspection type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rotation</td>
<td>D3.3.1.1</td>
<td>2.3.3.2</td>
<td>Clockwise</td>
<td>Correct</td>
<td></td>
<td>C, P, S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensing</td>
<td>D3.3.1.3</td>
<td>2.3.3.1</td>
<td>Correctness</td>
<td>Correct</td>
<td></td>
<td>C, P, S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polarization</td>
<td>D3.3.3.1</td>
<td>2.3.3.3.1</td>
<td>Deviation</td>
<td>±2.0°</td>
<td>0.3°</td>
<td>C, P, S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pattern accuracy</td>
<td>D3.3.3</td>
<td>2.3.3.4</td>
<td>Deviation</td>
<td>±2.0°</td>
<td>±3.5°</td>
<td>C, P, S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment</td>
<td>2.3.3.4.2</td>
<td>2.3.3.1</td>
<td>Deviation</td>
<td>±3.0°</td>
<td>0.6°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bends</td>
<td>2.3.3.4.3</td>
<td>2.3.3.4.4</td>
<td>Deviation</td>
<td>±3.0°</td>
<td>0.3°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roughness and scalloping</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Flyable</td>
<td>±2.0°</td>
<td>0.3°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flyability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Subjective</td>
<td>±2.0°</td>
<td>0.3°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage</td>
<td>D3.3.4</td>
<td>2.3.3.5</td>
<td>Field strength</td>
<td>90 µV/m</td>
<td>3 dB</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation 9 960 Hz modulation</td>
<td>D3.3.5</td>
<td>2.3.3.6</td>
<td>Modulation depth</td>
<td>28 to 32%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>C, P, S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Hz modulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voice channel</td>
<td>D3.3.6.2</td>
<td>2.3.3.7.1</td>
<td>Clarity</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td></td>
<td>C, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification</td>
<td>D3.3.6.5</td>
<td>2.3.3.8</td>
<td>Clarity</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td></td>
<td>C, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech effect on navigation</td>
<td>D3.3.6.7</td>
<td>2.3.3.7.2</td>
<td>Deviation</td>
<td>No effect</td>
<td>0.3°</td>
<td>C, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Modulation</td>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bearing monitor</td>
<td>D3.3.7.1</td>
<td>2.3.3.9</td>
<td>Deviation</td>
<td>±1.0°</td>
<td>0.3°</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference checkpoint</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3.3.10</td>
<td>As required</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standby power</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3.3.11</td>
<td>Normal operation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standby equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3.3.12</td>
<td>As required</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complementary facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3.3.13</td>
<td>As required</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C, P</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:  
C = Commissioning  
P = Periodic. Normal periodicity is 12 months. Some states have extended this interval, particularly for DVORs, based on the improved immunity of the Doppler equipment to multipath interference. Intervals up to 5 years are applied by some states.  
S = Site proving
Chapter 3

DISTANCE MEASURING EQUIPMENT (DME)

3.1 INTRODUCTION:

3.1.1 GENERAL:

This chapter provides guidance on flight and ground testing requirements applicable to the standard distance measuring equipment (DME), as specified in **ANO-002-DRTS-1.0, D3.5**. The basic radar principles, upon which the DME functions, are such that the accuracy of the distance indications is essentially independent of the ground equipment-radiated field pattern. Consequently, the determination of correct ground equipment performance can largely be made with the ground monitoring and maintenance equipment in accordance with the procedures outlined in the manuals of the individual DME transponder manufacturers. While ground checks are important in ensuring the quality of a DME system, it is good practice to confirm these results by flight inspection. Many of the **ANO-002-DRTS-1.0** parameters can be tested in an aircraft with an adequate airborne system.

*Note:* Guidance concerning testing requirements for precision DME (DME/P) may be found in Part 2 (Microwave Landing System) of this volume.*

3.1.2 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION:

The DME system provides continuous distance information to an aircraft during approach, departure, or en-route procedures according to the location of the DME. The signals can be interpreted either by the pilot from the display or input directly into the flight management system (FMS).

3.1.3 TESTING REQUIREMENTS:

A summary of testing requirements is given is Table I-3-1.

3.2 GROUND TESTING:

3.2.1 GENERAL:

The parameters of the ground equipment that should be regularly checked are indicated in Table I-3-2. The frequency with which such tests should be performed should be based on experience with each type of equipment and the quality of maintenance. The suggested periodicities are given only as general guidance and may require modification based on the manufacturer’s advice or practical experience. The procedures and test equipment to be employed in ground testing a DME transponder vary according to the commercial product involved. The appropriate manufacturer’s technical manuals should be used as guidance.

3.2.2 GROUND PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS:

Ground test requirements are listed in Table I-3-2.

3.2.3 GROUND TEST PROCEDURES:

Recommended general instructions for testing of DME specific parameters are provided in the following paragraphs. The DME should be checked in accordance with the test procedures proposed in the manufacturer’s equipment instruction book.

*Available from the ICAO Air Navigation Bureau (English only).*
3.2.3.1 **TRANSMITTER FREQUENCY STABILITY:**
Use the frequency counter to measure the transmitter frequency in accordance with the procedure in the equipment instruction book. Adjust the frequency as required.

3.2.3.2 **PULSE SPECTRUM:**
Use the spectrum analyzer to measure the spectrum of the output pulse according to the procedure in the equipment instruction book. Check and correct the modulation level (pedestal and Gaussian pulse) and adjust the transmitter stages if provided. Note the output power and pulse shape during adjustments.

3.2.3.3 **PULSE SHAPE:**
Use the oscilloscope to measure the shape of the output pulse according to the procedure in the equipment instruction book. If setting is necessary, refer to the adjustments of the output pulse spectrum in the paragraph above. After adjusting the pulse shape, it is very important to recheck the time decay. Check the pulse peak (refer to ANO-002-DRTS-1.0, D3.5.4.1.3 d)).

3.2.3.4 **PULSE SPACING:**
Use the oscilloscope to measure the spacing of the output pulse according to the procedure in the equipment instruction book. Adjustments are generally not provided.

3.2.3.5 **PEAK POWER OUTPUT:**
Use the peak power meter and the calibrated load, or the variable attenuator when available, to measure the peak power output of the transmitter according to the procedure in the equipment instruction book. Refer to the adjustments of the Gaussian modulation pulse shape and transmitter stages in the previous paragraphs if adjustment is necessary. After adjustment, the time delay and pulse shape should be checked. Tolerances up to ±1dB of the power output are acceptable because these variations result in a change of the operational range by only 10 per cent. It is more important to obtain the output pulse spectrum and pulse shape within the requirements. Check the reflected power of the facility using the directional coupler.

3.2.3.6 **PEAK VARIATION:**
Measure the power drop of the output pulse using the oscilloscope. The variation in power level at the peak of any pair should not deviate from the average peak power by more than ±1 dB.

3.2.3.7 **TRANSMITTER PULSE REPETITION FREQUENCY (PRF):**
The DME is set to a variable duty cycle or, if provided, to a constant duty cycle at commissioning. Measure the transponder reply pulse rate using the frequency counter, following the procedure of the equipment instruction book. If the system is set to variable duty cycle, the measured reply pulse rate depends on the manufacturer’s design, which will be described in the detailed technical characteristics of the equipment. In any case, it should not be less than 700 pulse pairs per second (pps), or more than 1350 ± 90 pps in the absence of interrogations.

3.2.3.8 **RECEIVER FREQUENCY STABILITY:**
Use the frequency counter to measure the receiver frequency in accordance with the procedure in the equipment instruction book. The accuracy of the
receiver frequency depends on the accuracy of the transmitter frequency, and
if provided with crystals, from their tolerances. Note that the transmitter
frequency is always separated from the receiver frequency by ±63 MHz. The
sign depends on operating channel mode.

3.2.3.9 RECEIVER SENSITIVITY:
Use the calibrated built-in or external DME test equipment to measure the on-
channel sensitivity to 70 per cent reply efficiency at an interrogation rate of 30
to 40 pulse pairs per second. The receiver sensitivity can be set at
commissioning to different values depending on the required output power.
Use the procedures and settings of the test equipment as described in the
instruction book.

3.2.3.10 RECEIVER SENSITIVITY VARIATION WITH LOAD:
Use the calibrated built-in or external DME test equipment to measure the on-
channel sensitivity to 70 per cent reply efficiency at an interrogation rate from
0 to 90 per cent of the maximum transponder transmission rate (depends on
the requirements).

3.2.3.11 RECEIVER BANDWIDTH:
Use the calibrated built-in or external DME test equipment to measure the
receiver sensitivity, as described in the paragraph “receiver sensitivity”,
except:

3.2.3.11.1 with an incoming frequency drift of ±100 kHz from the centre
frequency. Check the loss in sensitivity; and/or

3.2.3.11.2 with an incoming frequency drift of ±900 kHz from the centre
frequency and with a level of 80 dB above receiver threshold.
Check the interrogation pulse rejection.

3.2.3.12 DECODER:
Use the calibrated built-in or external DME test equipment to measure the
receiver sensitivity as previously described, except:

3.2.3.12.1 with a shift of 0.4 µs in the pulse spacing of the interrogation
signal. Check that there is no change in sensitivity;

3.2.3.12.2 with a shift between 0.5 µs and 2 µs in the pulse spacing of the
interrogation signal. Check that the loss in sensitivity is less than
1 dB; and

3.2.3.12.3 with a shift of more than 2 µs in the pulse spacing of the
interrogation signal. Check the interrogation pulse rejection.

3.2.3.12.4 the standby transponder, or switching off the transponder, if any
alarm occurs

3.2.3.13 TIME DELAY
Use the calibrated built-in or external DME test equipment and the
oscilloscope to measure the time between the first pulse of the interrogation
to the first pulse of the reply using the 50 per cent point of the leading edge.
Follow the settings of the test equipment and the procedures of the
manufacturer’s instruction book to make sure that the measurement is made
precisely. The nominal transponder time delay is:

X-Mode: 50 µs
Y-Mode: 56 µs
Operational requirements at commissioning may justify setting the time delay to another value. It is recommended that the time delay variation be checked with different interrogation levels (from the receiver sensitivity threshold to 80 dB above the threshold) to verify that the slant distance accuracy is not dependent upon the level. Follow the procedure of the instruction book.

*Note:* The above figures are for first-pulse timing. If the transponder is set to second-pulse timing, the nominal time delay is 50 µs for both X-Mode and Y-Mode.

### 3.2.3.14 IDENTIFICATION:

The identification signal consists of a series of paired pulses transmitted at a repetition rate of 1350 pps. The identification keying is pre-settable for associated or independent facilities. Use the frequency counter and a stopwatch to measure the time of the dots, the dashes, the spacing between dots and/or dashes and the spacing between consecutive letters or numerals. Check the total period of transmission of one identification code group. Check the repetition time between the code groups.

### 3.2.3.15 THE AUTOMATIC MONITOR CONTROL:

Check and verify, using the milliwatt meter, the oscilloscope and the frequency counter that the monitor RF pulse peak output signal is correct (reference calibrated level: 0 dBm). Follow the test procedures of the instruction book. Use the calibrated built-in or external DME test equipment and the oscilloscope, and the test procedures in the equipment instruction book, to confirm the parameter alarm circuits operate within the tolerances. Check the indications and automatic functions for changing over to

### 3.2.4 TEST EQUIPMENT:

The following is a suggested list of test equipment for use in maintaining DME facilities:

- 3.2.4.1 oscilloscope, with adequate time base;
- 3.2.4.2 UHF peak power meter;
- 3.2.4.3 UHF mill watt meter;
- 3.2.4.4 UHF load, suitable for at least 1.3 GHz and 1.3 kWp;
- 3.2.4.5 UHF frequency counter;
- 3.2.4.6 UHF directional coupler with calibrated outputs;
- 3.2.4.7 calibrated attenuator, 20 Wp, 10 dB;
- 3.2.4.8 calibrated attenuator, 20 Wp, 20 dB;
- 3.2.4.9 UHF spectrum analyser;
- 3.2.4.10 Built-in or external DME test equipment (supplied from manufacturer);
- 3.2.4.11 Recommended: variable UHF attenuator with calibration chart.

### 3.3 FLIGHT TESTING:

#### 3.3.1 GENERAL:

- 3.3.1.1 The flight inspection aircraft should be equipped with a precision three-dimensional reference system, a high quality DME interrogator, an oscilloscope with good timing capability, and a signal processing capability. The flight inspection of DME can be performed separately or in parallel with the more
detailed check of the associated ILS, MLS, or VOR facility.

3.3.2 Important DME parameters will normally be checked on the ground. However, since DME is normally installed in association with an ILS, MLS, or VOR facility, it is good practice to check satisfactory DME operations when the collocated aid is being flight inspected. It is not necessary to establish a schedule of flight tests for DME, other than to specify that DME should be checked in accordance with the guidance material given in 3.3 whenever the associated aid is checked.

3.3.1.3 In many cases, a DME is installed at the site of a VOR or ILS facility that is already operational. The DME should not be brought into unrestricted operational use until a commissioning flight inspection has been performed.

3.3.2 FLIGHT TEST PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS:
Flight test requirements are listed in Table I-3-3.

3.3.3 FLIGHT TEST PROCEDURES:
3.3.3.1 COVERAGE:
The coverage is measured by recording the automatic gain control (AGC) level of the airborne DME receiver. When combined with the reference system, a horizontal and vertical pattern can be plotted. A high assurance of continuous coverage should be established for all flight procedures based on the use of DME.

3.3.3.1.1 HORIZONTAL COVERAGE:
The aircraft is flown in a circular track with a radius depending on the service volume of the associated facility around the ground station antenna at an altitude corresponding to an angle of elevation of approximately 0.5º above the antenna site, or 300 m (1000 ft) above intervening terrain, whichever is higher. If there is no associated facility, the orbit may be made at any radius greater than 18.5 km (10 NM). Since this flight is performed close to the radio horizon, it is possible to evaluate variations in field strength by recording the AGC voltage. Flight inspection of the coverage at maximum radius and minimum altitude, as prescribed by the operational requirements for the selected transponder, is usually necessary only on commissioning checks, when major modifications are made in the ground equipment, or if large structures are built in the vicinity of the antenna. The signal strength at the aircraft is generally adequate to maintain the interrogator in the tracking mode. Thus, the equipment itself can be used by the pilot for the desired orbit track guidance.

*Note: Checking of the associated VOR can be performed on the same flight. For a terminal class VOR, an orbit of 46.3 km (25 NM) can be flown.*

3.3.3.1.2 VERTICAL COVERAGE
3.3.3.1.2.1 The following flight inspection may be made to evaluate the lobing pattern of a DME transponder. The flight test aircraft is used to perform a horizontal flight at approximately 1500 m (5000 ft) on a bearing found suitable. The flight inspector records the RF-level or the AGC from the airborne receiver. Airspace procedures based on the use of DME are
evaluated at the minimum flight altitude. The flight inspector verifies that the distance information is properly available in the aircraft at ATC reporting points, along air routes.

3.3.3.1.2.2 It is possible to check that the interrogator-transponder system is operating properly at every point of the airspace under consideration by recording the AGC voltage. The measurements made in flight provide data for plotting a graph showing the range in relation to the altitude. This graph makes it possible to:

3.3.3.1.2.2.1 form a clear picture of the different lobes of the radiation pattern and thus evaluate the characteristics of the antenna and its environment;

3.3.3.1.2.2.2 show the cone as seen from directly overhead; and

3.3.3.1.2.2.3 foresee any limitations of the transponder coverage and their operational implications.

3.3.3.2 ACCURACY:

The accuracy of the system can be evaluated by comparing the measured DME distance with a three-dimensional reference. It is good practice to make the calculations in three-dimensional space to avoid errors based on differences between slant range and the range on the ground. The accuracy can be checked on both orbital and radial flights. The DME transponder’s contribution to the total error budget is principally the main delay. The most accurate calibration of this parameter is by ground measurement.

3.3.3.3 PULSE SHAPE:

It is not easy to measure the pulse shape of the DME transponder signal in orbital or radial flight due to multipath effects. The amplitude of the RF signal will vary along the flight path. The preferred method is to store a waveform of the pulse pair on a digital oscilloscope and use the timing functions of the instrument to average the calculated parameters over a series of samples.

3.3.3.4 PULSE SPACING:

The same technique applies for the measurement of the pulse space as for the pulse shape.

3.3.3.5 PULSE REPETITION FREQUENCY (PRF):

The PRF contains replies from interrogations, identification pulses and squitter. The PRF can be counted with the oscilloscope to test that the values are those set at commissioning. The aircraft may be positioned in orbital or radial flight.

3.3.3.6 IDENTIFICATION:

The identification signal should be checked for correctness and clarity, with the aircraft in orbital or radial flight. A DME associated with an ILS localizer or VOR should be checked for correct synchronization of the two identification signals.
3.3.3.7  **REPLY EFFICIENCY:**
Throughout the flight inspection, the reply efficiency should be monitored and recorded. This provides data on the service provided by the ground transponder to the aircraft within the service area. It can be used to indicate problem areas due to multipath and interference.

3.3.3.8  **UNLOCKS:**
Areas where persistent unlocks occur should be investigated by further flight inspection to determine whether engineering action or promulgation is necessary.

3.3.3.9  **STANDBY EQUIPMENT:**
The standby DME transponder should be spot-checked to ensure that it meets the same tolerances as the primary equipment. This should be done at the most critical points during the facility check in order to obtain the comparison. These points are normally at the maximum orbit or radial distances. There should be no appreciable difference in the characteristics of the transponder (spectrum of pulses, energy radiated, etc.) between the primary and standby equipment.

3.3.3.10  **STANDBY POWER:**
The standby power check can normally be performed satisfactorily on the ground. During commissioning and periodic inspections, this provision may be checked by observing operation and noting any appreciable differences in radiated signal characteristics that result from a changeover to standby power. The transponder characteristics (spectrum of pulses, energy radiated, etc.) should not be degraded when switched to standby power.

3.3.4  **CHARTS AND REPORTS:**
The parameters from a DME inspection should be plotted on a graph relative to the distance or azimuth from the DME under test. When the DME is associated with ILS, MLS, or VOR, the DME details can be added to the report of this facility. In other cases, a separate report can be issued.

3.3.5  **TEST EQUIPMENT:**
3.3.5.1  **EQUIPMENT:**
In addition to the test equipment required to perform the VOR and ILS flight inspection, the following equipment is needed for a DME.

3.3.5.1.1  **A DME INTERROGATOR OR, IF POSSIBLE, TWO:**
Having a second interrogator in the aircraft provides standby equipment and makes it possible to compare the information given by the two interrogators in case of difficulties. It is desirable for the interrogators to have a certain number of outputs in order to:

- measure and record digital output with distance, and AGC voltage, from which the signal strength at the receiver input may be deduced. (Signal level errors of the order of 3 dB may be expected from the interrogator receiver and this should be taken into account when evaluating data from this source); and
3.3.5.1.2 make observations on an oscilloscope of the video signal before and after decoding; the suppression pulses, indicating that the transmitter is operating; and the coding signals of the interrogator, a particularly useful observation in case of anomalies during flight inspection.

3.3.5.2 THE CORRESPONDING ANTENNA, THE CHARACTERISTICS OF WHICH SHOULD BE KNOWN, PARTICULARLY ITS RADIATION PATTERN:

Accurate calibration of the antenna radiation pattern may be arduous, and determination of the antenna gain with an accuracy better than 3 to 5 dB may be difficult to achieve.

3.3.5.3 AN OSCILLOSCOPE WITH GOOD PERFORMANCE FOR TIME MEASUREMENT:

Digital oscilloscopes have the capability to store waveforms and built-in functions for calculating the pulse shape parameters. Parameters and graphs should be recorded and documented.

3.3.5.4 SPECTRUM ANALYSER:

If it is desirable to measure the pulse spectrum with the flight inspection aircraft, UHF spectrum analyser should be carried on board. The increased pollution of the electromagnetic environment at or near our airports provides many good reasons for having an airborne spectrum analyser. Refer to Chapter 1 of this document for further information on this subject.

3.3.5.2 CALIBRATION:

Airborne DME equipment should be maintained in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions and should conform to ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 Standards and Recommended Practices. The following calibration instructions may be helpful:

3.3.5.2.1 INTERROGATOR PULSE REPETITION RATE:

The pulse transmission should be repeated at a rate of 30 pairs per second, 5 per cent of the time spent in the SEARCH mode and 95 per cent in the TRACK mode. The variation in time between successive pairs should be sufficient to prevent false lock-on.

3.3.5.2.2 FREQUENCY STABILITY:

The centre frequency of the radiated signal should not vary more than ±100 kHz from the assigned frequency.

3.3.5.2.3 PEAK POWER OUTPUT:

The peak power output measured at the interrogator should be at least 100 watts. The constituent pulses of a pulse pair should have the same amplitude within 1 dB. Special care should be taken when using GPS reference systems with phase measurements and, in particular, when using the GPS L₂ frequency. This frequency is close to the DME band and the maximum output power of the interrogator and the separation of the antennas should be kept in mind.
3.3.5.2.4 **SPURIOUS RADIATION:**

Spurious radiation between pulses on any DME interrogation or reply frequency measured in a receiver having the same characteristics of a DME transponder receiver should be more than 50 dB below the peak radiated power of the desired pulses. The spurious continuous wave (CW) power radiated from the interrogator on any DME interrogation or reply frequency should not exceed 20 micro-watts (-47 dBW).

3.3.5.2.5 **SENSITIVITY:**

The signal level required at the input terminals to effect a successful end-of-search nine out of ten cycles should not exceed -82 dBm when the input signal is a DME test signal having a 70 per cent reply efficiency. The required signal level should not exceed -79 dBm when the test signal contains 6000 random pulses 10 dB above the test signal level. The minimum signal levels are -85 and -82 dBm respectively to maintain tracking under the above conditions.

3.3.5.2.6 **SELECTIVITY:**

The level of the input signal required to produce a successful end-of-search nine out of ten cycles should not vary in excess of 6 dB over the band 120 kHz above and below the assigned reply frequency. This includes receiver frequency stability requirements. The level of the input signal required to produce an average of not more than one successful end-of-search out of ten cycles (and that one to track for not more than five seconds) should be at least 30 dB greater than the on-frequency signal described above, and nine out of ten successful end-of-search cycles when the off-frequency signal is displaced by 940 kHz either side of the assigned channel frequency. Over the frequency range of 960 MHz to 1215 MHz, excluding frequencies within 1 MHz of the desired channel, the equipment should not respond to nor be adversely affected by an undesired frequency DME signal having a level 50 dB above the level of the signal on the desired channel.

**Note – 1:** In operational use, an adjacent channel transponder would provide at least 80 dB rejection of adjacent channel interrogations. Since the transponder effectively prevents replies to adjacent channel interrogations, no lock-on can occur.

**Note – 2:** Spurious responses. Over the frequency range of 90 kHz to 10000 MHz, excluding frequencies within 3 MHz of the desired channel, a CW signal having a level of -30 dBm should not adversely affect the receiver sensitivity.

3.3.5.2.7 **DECODER SELECTIVITY:**

The equipment should be calibrated to indicate distance satisfactorily when the spacing of the received pulses is varied from 11.5 to 12.5 microseconds for X-channel or from 29.5 to 30.5 microseconds for Y-channel, over the input signal level range from -48 dBm to the minimum tracking level. If the spacing between pulses is less than 10 microseconds or more than 14 microseconds for X-channel, or less than 28 microseconds or more than 32 microseconds for Y-channel, and the signal level is below -48 dBm, that signal should not be decoded.
3.3.5.2.8 **SEARCH SPEED:**
Search speed should be at least 10 NM per second.

3.3.5.2.9 **MEMORY:**
To enable the detection of unlocks, the memory time of the equipment should be approximately 5 seconds upon the loss of the signal. The information displayed during this period should be that information which was being displayed at the time of the loss of the signal ±1.85 km (1 NM).

3.3.5.2.10 **CALIBRATION:**
The indication “Distance = 0 NM” should correspond to a time delay in responding to an interrogation of 50 µs ±1 µs.

3.3.5.2.11 **MEASURING ACCURACY:**
Measuring accuracy should be 20 m (65 ft).

3.3.5.2.12 **IDENTIFICATION SIGNAL:**
The equipment should be capable of providing an intelligible and unambiguous aural identification signal at all usable receiver input levels.

3.3.5.2.13 **AIRBORNE ANTENNA:**
The radiation pattern should be as omnidirectional as possible in the horizontal plane. It should be sited in such a way as to be free from masking effects of the aircraft structure. The use of two antennas may be a good solution. The characteristics of the antenna and associated feeder line should be taken into account when interpreting the results of measurements.

3.3.6 **POSITIONING:**
The increased accuracy requirements of the DME system require a reference system with accuracy better than 20 m (65 ft). A three-dimensional reference system suitable for calibration of the ILS will be adequate for DME calibration.

3.4 **DME/DME RNAV PROCEDURES:**

3.4.1 There is an increasing use of en-route DME to support area navigation (RNAV) procedures, either using DME/DME positioning alone or as an input to multi-sensor RNAV airborne equipment. DMEs supporting RNAV in the en-route phase of flight are normally subject to flight inspection in accordance with ANO-002-DRTS-1.0, D3.5 down to the minimum en-route level; such inspections are sufficient to validate the use of DME for such RNAV operations. An area of more concern is where en-route DMEs are used for DME/DME positioning to support approach and departure procedures. These DMEs have not generally been flight inspected at the altitudes used in these procedures, although, DME performance can be expected to be degraded due to effects such as multipath, terrain and building masking the closer the aircraft is to the ground.

3.4.2 Thus, compared with traditional applications of DME with VOR, some additional measures are considered to be necessary to ensure that the DME infrastructure is adequate to support the RNAV procedure, i.e. that sufficient DMEs are available to support the procedure and that their locations provide adequate geometry to meet the accuracy requirements. For approach and departure procedures, it is also necessary to confirm that there is adequate signal strength and that there are no false locks or unlocks.
due to multipath. In addition, it is important to identify any DMEs that must be operational for the procedure to be used.

3.4.3 Computer models may be used to determine if sufficient DMEs are available, with suitable geometry, to support the RNAV procedure. These models include a terrain database so that the effect of terrain masking can be taken into account. Such models give a good indication of whether a proposed RNAV procedure is feasible and which DME facilities are essential for the procedure. However, they do not guarantee that there is adequate signal coverage or that there are no adverse multipath effects. It is therefore highly desirable to conduct a flight inspection of the RNAV procedure.

3.4.4 In this flight inspection, several DME interrogators, or a scanning DME interrogator, may be used to reduce the required flight time. If a scanning DME interrogator is used, sufficient information must be available to indicate adequate signal coverage and no unlocks or other multipath effects. If problems are indicated by the flight inspection of the procedure it may be necessary to carry out additional flight inspection to investigate the performance of individual DMEs.

Table I-3.1  Summary of testing requirements — DME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER</th>
<th>ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 REFERENCE</th>
<th>TESTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coverage</td>
<td>D3.5.3.1.2</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>D3.5.3.1.3</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmitter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency stability</td>
<td>D3.5.4.1.2</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse spectrum</td>
<td>D3.5.4.1.3</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse shape</td>
<td>D3.5.4.1.3</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse spacing</td>
<td>D3.5.4.1.4</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak power output</td>
<td>D3.5.4.1.5</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variation of peak power in any pair of pulses</td>
<td>D3.5.4.1.5.4</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse repetition frequency (PRF)</td>
<td>D3.5.4.1.5</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiver</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency stability</td>
<td>D3.5.4.2.2</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity (reply efficiency)</td>
<td>D3.5.4.2.3</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth</td>
<td>D3.5.4.2.6</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decoder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decoder rejection</td>
<td>D3.5.4.3.3</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time delay</td>
<td>D3.5.4.4, D3.5.4.5</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification</td>
<td>D3.5.3.6</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor</td>
<td>D3.5.4.7.2</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: F = Flight test/inspection  
G = Ground test
### TABLE 1-3-2 SUMMARY OF GROUND TEST REQUIREMENTS – DME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER</th>
<th>ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 REFERENCE</th>
<th>MNL-002-ARTS-1.0, REFERENCE</th>
<th>MEASURAND</th>
<th>TOLERANCE</th>
<th>UNCERTAINTY</th>
<th>PERIODICITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transmitter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Frequency stability</td>
<td>D3.5.4.1.2</td>
<td>3.2.3.1</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Assigned channel frequency, ±0.002%</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Pulse spectrum</td>
<td>D3.5.4.1.3</td>
<td>3.2.3.2</td>
<td>Power</td>
<td>Output radiated within each 0.5 MHz band centred at ±0.8 MHz from the nominal frequency is not more than 200 mW; output radiated within each 0.5 MHz band centred at ±2 MHz from the nominal frequency is not more than 2 mW. Amplitude of successive lobes decreases in proportion to their frequency separation from the nominal frequency.</td>
<td>1 dB</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Pulse shape</td>
<td>D3.5.4.1.3</td>
<td>3.2.3.3</td>
<td>Time, amplitude</td>
<td>Rise time ≤3 s Duration 3.5 s, ±0.5 s Decay time ≤3.5 s Amplitude, between 95% rise/fall amplitudes, ≥95%</td>
<td>0.1 s</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Pulse spacing</td>
<td>D3.5.4.1.4</td>
<td>3.2.3.4</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>X-channel: 12 ±0.25 s Y-channel: 30 ±0.25 s</td>
<td>0.1 s</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Peak power output (see Note 1)</td>
<td>D3.5.4.1.5</td>
<td>3.2.3.5</td>
<td>Power</td>
<td>Peak EIRP such that field density ≥-89 dBW/m² at service volume limits</td>
<td>1 dB</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Peak variation</td>
<td>D3.5.4.1.5.4</td>
<td>3.2.3.6</td>
<td>Power</td>
<td>Power difference between pulses of a pair #1 dB</td>
<td>0.2 dB</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Pulse repetition frequency</td>
<td>D3.5.4.1.5.6</td>
<td>3.2.3.7</td>
<td>Rate</td>
<td>≥700 pps</td>
<td>10 pulse pairs</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Receiver

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency stability</td>
<td>D3.5.4.2.2</td>
<td>3.2.3.8</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Assigned channel frequency, ±0.002%</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity (see Note 2)</td>
<td>D3.5.4.2.3.1</td>
<td>3.2.3.9</td>
<td>Power</td>
<td>Such that power density at antenna ≥-103 dBW/m²</td>
<td>1 dB</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity variation with load</td>
<td>D3.5.4.2.3.5</td>
<td>3.2.3.10</td>
<td>Power</td>
<td>&lt;1 dB for loadings between 0 and 90% of maximum transmission rate</td>
<td>0.2 dB</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth</td>
<td>D3.5.4.2.6</td>
<td>3.2.3.11</td>
<td>Power</td>
<td>Such that sensitivity degrades ≤3 dB for interrogation frequency drift of ±100 kHz.</td>
<td>0.5 dB</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Decoder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>D3.5.4.3</td>
<td>3.2.3.12</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td>No response to interrogations with pulse spacing more than 2 s from nominal</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 pulse pairs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Time delay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X-channel</td>
<td>D3.5.4.4</td>
<td>3.2.3.13</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>50 s</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-channel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>56 s</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Identification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identification</td>
<td>D3.5.3.6</td>
<td>3.2.3.14</td>
<td>Identification</td>
<td>1350 pulse pairs during key down periods proper Morse code sequence</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dot length = 0.1 to 0.16 s; dash = 0.3 to 0.48 s; spacing between dot and dash = dot length ±10%; spacing between letters ≥3 dots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>total length of one code sequence ≤10 seconds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Monitor action

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monitor alarms when: Reply delay varies by more than 1µs (0.5 µs for DME associated with a landing aid)</td>
<td>D3.5.4.7.2.2</td>
<td>3.2.3.15</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Monitor alarms when: Reply delay varies by more than 1µs (0.5 µs for DME associated with a landing aid)</td>
<td>0.2 µs</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Monitor action delay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Duration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delay ≤ 10 seconds</td>
<td>D3.5.4.7.2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Delay ≤ 10 seconds</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. Peak power output should be as set at commissioning.
2. Receiver sensitivity should be as set at commissioning.
### Table I-3-3  Summary of flight test requirements – DME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER</th>
<th>ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 REFERENCE</th>
<th>MNL-002-ARTS-1.0, REFERENCE</th>
<th>MEASURAND</th>
<th>TOLERANCE</th>
<th>UNCERTAINTY</th>
<th>INSPECTION TYPE (SEE NOTES 1-3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coverage (see Note 4)</td>
<td>D3.5.3.1.2</td>
<td>3.3.3.1</td>
<td>AGC Level</td>
<td>Signal strength such that field density ≥-89 dB/m² at limits or operational requirements (see Note 4).</td>
<td>1 dB</td>
<td>S, C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>D3.5.4.5</td>
<td>3.3.3.2</td>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>≤150 m for DME associated with landing aids ≤75 m</td>
<td>20 m</td>
<td>S, C, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse shape</td>
<td>D3.5.4.1.3</td>
<td>3.3.3.3</td>
<td>Time, Amplitude</td>
<td>Rise time ≤3 s Duration 3.5 s ±0.5 s Decay time ≤3.5 s Amplitude, between 95% rise/fall amplitudes, ≥95% of maximum amplitude</td>
<td>0.1 s 1%</td>
<td>S, C, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse spacing</td>
<td>D3.5.4.1.4</td>
<td>3.3.3.4</td>
<td>Time, Amplitude</td>
<td>X channel: 12 ±0.25 s Y channel: 30 ±0.25 s</td>
<td>0.05 s</td>
<td>S, C, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification</td>
<td>D3.5.3.6</td>
<td>3.3.3.6</td>
<td>Identification</td>
<td>Correct, clear, properly synchronized</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>S, C, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reply efficiency</td>
<td>3.3.3.7</td>
<td>Change in efficiency, position</td>
<td>Note areas where this changes significantly</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>S, C, P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unlocks</td>
<td>3.3.3.8</td>
<td>Unlocking, position</td>
<td>Note where unlocking occurs</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>S, C, P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standby equipment</td>
<td>3.3.3.9</td>
<td>Suitability</td>
<td>Same as primary transmitter</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>S, C, P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standby power</td>
<td>3.3.3.10</td>
<td>Suitability</td>
<td>Should not affect transponder parameters</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>S, C, P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
1. Site proving tests (S) are usually carried out to confirm facility performance prior to final construction of the site.
2. Commissioning checks (C) are to be carried out before the DME is initially placed in service. In addition, re-commissioning may be required whenever changes that may affect its performance (e.g. variations or repairs to the antenna system) are made.
3. Periodic checks (P) are typically made annually.
4. The uncertainty of 1 dB in coverage refers to the repeatability of equipment calibration, not to absolute accuracy.
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Chapter 4

INSTRUMENT LANDING SYSTEM (ILS)

4.1 INTRODUCTION:

4.1.1 GENERAL:
The purpose of this chapter is to provide guidance on flight and ground inspection requirements applicable to the standard instrument landing system (ILS), as specified in ANO-002-DRTS-1.0, D2.7 and D3.1.

4.1.2 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION:
The ILS provides precision guidance to an aircraft during the final stages of the approach. The signals can either be interpreted by the pilot from the instruments or be input directly into the autopilot and flight management system. ILS performance is divided into three categories depending on the reliability, integrity and quality of guidance, with Category III having the strictest requirements. An ILS comprises the following elements:

4.1.2.1 the localizer, operating in the frequency band from 108 to 112 MHz, providing azimuth guidance to a typical maximum range of 46.3 km (25 NM) from the runway threshold;

4.1.2.2 the glide path, operating in the frequency band from 328 to 336 MHz, providing elevation guidance to a typical maximum range of 18.5 km (10 NM) from the runway threshold; and

4.1.2.3 the marker beacons operating on the frequency of 75 MHz, providing position information at specific distances from the runway threshold.

Note: On certain runways, a DME provides the distance information in place of marker beacons.

4.1.3 GROUND AND FLIGHT TESTING:
Adequate monitoring, ground testing and maintenance on a routine and continuing basis should be the normal means of ensuring that the ILS signal-in-space performs within the specified tolerances and that the operational integrity and serviceability of the ILS facility is maintained. Flight testing is required to confirm the correctness of the setting of essential signal-in-space parameters, determine the operational safety and accept-ability of the ILS installation, and periodically correlate signal patterns observed in flight and from the ground. Both types of testing provide awareness of long-term changes in the operational environment caused by effects such as multipath from on-airport construction activities. In practice, it has been found that certain ILS performance parameters can be determined more accurately and with greater reliability by ground measurements than through flight inspection. If the ground and flight measurements show different results, the reason for the divergence should be investigated.

4.1.4 TESTING REQUIREMENTS:
A summary of testing requirements for ILS localizer, glide path and markers is given in Tables I-4-1, I-4-2 and I-4-3. Where measurement uncertainties are given, they are the two-sigma or 95 per cent confidence level values.

4.1.5 SPECIAL MEASURES PREVENTING THE OPERATIONAL USE OF TEST SIGNALS:
4.1.6.1 Some ground and flight test procedures, as described in this chapter, involve false guidance signals being temporarily radiated by ILS or the executive monitoring function of the equipment being inhibited. Such signals, particularly those radiated for phasing and modulation balance testing, may be perceived on board the aircraft as “on-course” and/or “on-glide-path” indications regardless of the actual position of an aircraft within the ILS coverage and with no flag or alarm indication in the cockpit. The operational use of these signals for approach guidance can therefore result in false indications to the flight crew and has the potential to cause a controlled flight into terrain (CFIT) accident.

4.1.6.2 Accordingly, the appropriate State authority (or the organization authorized by the State) should develop measures to ensure that ILS test signals will not be used during normal flight operations when these signals are being radiated or the executive monitoring function of the facility is inhibited for testing/maintenance purposes. Coordination of testing procedures with ATC and the timely promulgation and distribution of relevant information by a NOTAM before the procedures commence are of paramount importance.

4.1.6.3 It is highly desirable to eliminate the possibility of any operational use to be made of the ILS guidance during the testing by administratively (e.g. by a NOTAM) removing the localizer and the glide path from service simultaneously. If this is not feasible for operational reasons, a deferral of testing should be considered. However, in case the localizer needs to remain in service while the glide path undergoes testing and the testing cannot be delayed, sufficient measures should be implemented to ensure that users are aware of the potential for false indications from the glide path facility.

4.1.6.4 In all circumstances, the basic protective measures should include as a minimum:

4.1.6.4.1 NOTAM phraseology that is specific about the possibility of false indications to the flight crew from the radiated test signals and clearly prohibits their use (suggested NOTAM wording — “RUNWAY XYZ ILS NOT AVBL DUE MAINTENANCE (or TESTING); DO NOT USE; FALSE INDICATIONS POSSIBLE”);

4.1.6.4.2 confirmation by maintenance personnel that such a NOTAM has been issued by the Aeronautical Information Service before the testing procedures begin;

4.1.6.4.3 prior to beginning the tests, suspension or alteration to an unusual tone/sequence of the transmission of the unique Morse Code facility identification on the localizer, if the localizer should radiate solely for testing purposes; and

4.1.6.4.4 a requirement that ATC advise, by the automatic terminal information service (ATIS) and/or by a voice advisory, each pilot on an approach to the affected runway, emphasizing the possibility of false indications.

4.1.6.5 Additional protective measures may be appropriate, especially during phasing and modulation balance conditions for the localizer or the glide path (4.2.3.2.7, 4.2.3.3.7, 4.3.3.2.3.1, 4.3.3.2.12.1, 4.3.3.10.4 and 4.3.3.10.1 refer). Accordingly, when the phasing and modulation balance tests are being performed, the following options may be exercised:

4.1.6.5.1 when the tests are being performed on the localizer, remove the glide path from service by turning the signals off (to provide a glide path flag indication to the pilot);
4.1.6.5.2 when the tests are being performed on the glide path, remove the localizer from service by turning the signals off (to provide a localizer flag indication to the pilot); and/or

Note: If option 4.1.6.5.2 is exercised, the ATC advisories indicated in 4.1.6.4.4) above become redundant.

4.1.6.5.3 minimize the time radiating in a ground phasing condition by performing the testing with two or more technicians and radio communications.

4.1.6.6 In addition, it is essential to ensure that protective measures (in addition to the coordination and promulgation processes) are put in place to guard against single points of failure. One highly desirable measure is the installation of remote ILS status-indicating equipment such that it is visible to the air traffic controller issuing approach clearances.

4.2 GROUND TESTING:

4.2.1 GENERAL:

The primary purposes of ground testing are to ensure that the ILS radiates a signal meeting the requirements of ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 and to confirm correct monitor operation. Since ILS equipment varies greatly, it is not possible to define detailed tests applicable to all types. Therefore, only a high-level description of the tests are provided below, and manufacturer’s recommendations should be used for additional tests and detailed procedures of specific equipment. The periodicity shown for ground tests may be extended based on appropriate considerations as discussed in Chapter 1, such as the use of continuous monitoring techniques or good correlation between ground and airborne measurements of the same parameters.

4.2.2 GROUND PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS:

Ground test requirements for localizers, glide paths and ILS marker beacons are listed in Tables I-4-4, I-4-5, and I-4-6.

4.2.3 GROUND TEST PROCEDURES:

4.2.3.1 GENERAL:

The procedures for conducting the ground testing of the parameters listed in Tables I-4-4, I-4-5 and I-4-6 are intended to provide basic guidance in the method of measuring the various parameters. These procedures should not be construed as the only means of accomplishing the intended purpose; individual administrations might find modified or new methods which better suit their requirements or local situation.

4.2.3.1.1 INDEPENDENCE OF GROUND MEASUREMENTS AND MONITOR EQUIPMENT:

In most cases, these measurements will be made using equipment other than the monitors that are a part of the normal installation. This is because a primary value of ground tests is to confirm overall monitor performance, and it is therefore desirable to make corroborative checks on monitor indications using independent equipment. However, especially where large aperture antenna systems are used, it is often not possible to place the monitor sensors in such a position that the phase relationship observed in the far field could be observed at the monitor.
sensing point. Therefore, it is recommended that these check measurements be made at more realistic positions. Significant differences in the correlation between the check measurements and monitor indications should always be investigated and resolved.

### 4.2.3.1.2 CORRELATION BETWEEN FIELD AND MONITOR INDICATIONS:

When checks are made on the monitor indications by means of portable test equipment, the following effects should be taken into account:

#### 4.2.3.1.2.1 APERTURE EFFECT:

The extent of the near-field is a function of the aperture of the radiating antenna system.

##### 4.2.3.1.2.1.1 LOCALIZER:

For apertures up to 30 m (100 ft), negligible error due to the near-field effect will be introduced if measurements are made at points beyond a ten-aperture (twenty apertures preferred) distance from the localizer antenna. For larger aperture antennas, a minimum distance of twenty apertures is recommended to obtain readings that are more accurate.

##### 4.2.3.1.2.1.2 GLIDE PATH:

The equipment is normally adjusted so that the signal phase relationships existing on the runway centre line at threshold or beyond are correct. For this reason, the ILS reference datum represent a good position for glide path measurement. If possible, positions on the extended runway centre line should be used. However, any location is suitable if a good correlation between the measured and far-field conditions is obtained.

#### 4.2.3.1.2.2 GROUND CONSTANTS:

In the near-field region the measurement accuracy may be adversely affected by changes in ground constants. Satisfactory drainage and soil stabilization would help to achieve stability.

#### 4.2.3.1.2.3 DIFFRACTED AND REFLECTED ENERGY:

The alignment and displacement sensitivity of the localizer and the glide path may be affected by the presence of diffracted and reflected energy. This should be taken into account when such characteristics are determined for the first time.
4.2.3.1.3 CORRELATION BETWEEN GROUND AND FLIGHT TESTS:

4.2.3.1.3.1 Whenever possible, the correlation between simultaneous or nearly simultaneous ground and airborne measurement results on the same or related parameters should be analysed. Good correlation will usually result in increased confidence in both measurements, and when rigorously applied, may be the basis for extending maintenance or test intervals, as discussed in Chapter 1.

4.2.3.1.3.2 Typically, the necessary conditions for correlation of measurement results include the ready availability of proper ground maintenance test equipment, traceable calibration programmes for ground and airborne test equipment, availability of commissioning and recent test reports, and similar training between ground and airborne personnel on the meaning and value of measurement correlation. If feasible, a meeting between ground maintenance and airborne test personnel before the measurements is desirable, particularly if dissimilar test generators and receivers are used. If measurements do not agree within reasonable tolerances and cannot be resolved, actions such as tightening monitor alarm points, declassifying the facility, or removing it from service should be considered.

4.2.3.2 LOCALIZER:

4.2.3.2.1 LOCALIZER COURSE ALIGNMENT:

4.2.3.2.1.1 The measurement of localizer course alignment should be carried out in the far-field region of the localizer. There are several alternative methods that may be employed. One method, which is widely used, employs portable field test equipment which is located at pre-surveyed points on the runway centre line or on the extended centre line. The course structure at the position selected for these measurements should be stable. By using this test equipment, the position of the course line relative to the runway centre line may be determined. This method enables single-point measurement of the course line to be obtained and is considered to be adequate for Category I and II facilities.

4.2.3.2.1.2 For Category III facilities, it may be desirable to employ a measurement procedure which is able to display the mean value of the course line over a significant portion of the runway. This test equipment may take the form of an ILS precision receiver, antenna and recorder mounted in a vehicle. An antenna height that approximates the height of an aircraft antenna on roll-out should be used, e.g. 3 to 8 m (10 to 26 ft). Typically, low-pass filtering of the raw cross-pointer signal is necessary.
to approximate the results obtained with an aircraft. The total time-constant of the receiver and recorder DDM circuits for the vehicle measurements should be referenced to an aircraft speed of 195 km/hr (105 kt), for which the constant is approximately 0.5 second (refer to Attachment C to ANO-002-DRTS-1.0, 2.1.7 for specific filter guidance). The test vehicle is driven along the runway centre line and a recording of the course structure obtained over the region from the runway threshold to ILS Point E. From this recording the alignment for each zone for application of structure tolerances may be determined as the average course position between runway threshold and Point D, and separately between Point D and Point E. To analyse the post-filtering low frequency spectral components, the guidance found in Attachment C to ANO-002-DRTS-1.0, 2.1.4 and 2.1.6, should be used, with the structure tolerances referenced to the average course position in each zone.

4.2.3.2.2 DISPLACEMENT SENSITIVITY:
Displacement sensitivity of the localizer is measured with portable test equipment located at surveyed positions in the far-field where the course structure is known and stable. These test positions are typically on opposite sides of the runway centre line at the edge of the half-course sector. The test equipment reading obtained at each position is recorded, and the displacement sensitivity is calculated in units of DDM/metre as the sum of the absolute value of the two DDM values, divided by the linear distance between the two surveyed points.

4.2.3.2.3 OF-COURSE CLEARANCE:
The procedure to be adopted for ground measurement of off-course clearance will vary from station to station depending upon the layout of the airfield. Typically, pre-surveyed points will be provided at intervals throughout the ±35-degree forward coverage area of the ILS localizer. In the case of localizers operating on the two-frequency principle, additional points may be provided at azimuths where the two patterns have equal signal strength on either side of the centre line. The portable test equipment is positioned at the pre-surveyed points and the off-course clearance signal conditions recorded. The results will be analysed to assess the stability and repeatability of the clearance parameters. For localizers providing clearance beyond the ±35-degree coverage sector, additional readings should be made. The spacing of the points may be greater here than the spacing employed within the coverage sector.

4.2.3.2.4 CARRIER FREQUENCY:
This is usually measured at the transmitter output using a dummy load tap or test point connected to a frequency counter or frequency meter. For a two-frequency system, the carriers are arranged symmetrically about the assigned frequency. Checks on those systems should be made of each frequency and of the difference between the two carriers.
4.2.3.2.5 OUTPUT POWER:
The power into the antenna system may be measured using a wattmeter, preferably of the through-line type that is capable of indicating direct and reflected power. During installation, it may be convenient to relate this power measurement to field strength at the runway threshold. This can be done by measuring field strength on the course line at the threshold (at a height of 4 m (13 ft) for Category II and III) and at the same time recording the power into the antenna system. Subsequently, the power should be reduced by 3 dB and the resulting threshold field strength again recorded.

4.2.3.2.6 TONE FREQUENCY:
Measurement of tone frequency is made by use of a frequency counter or other suitable type of basic test instrument. Instructions on the method to be employed can be found in the equipment handbook. In cases where signal tones are generated from very stable sources, this measurement of tone frequency may be performed less frequently.

4.2.3.2.7 MODULATION DEPTH (90/150 Hz):
Modulation depth is probably one of the most difficult quantities to measure to the required accuracy, and only high precision instruments should be used. The technique used to measure the modulation depths should preferably be one which analyses the waveform with both modulating tones present. If the measurement can only be made with one tone present, care should be taken to ensure that:

4.2.3.2.7.1 the individual tone amplitude is not affected by the removal or the addition of the other tone;

4.2.3.2.7.2 the modulator remains linear with both tones present; and

4.2.3.2.7.3 the harmonic content of the tone is as low as possible.

4.2.3.2.8 MODULATION DEPTH (1020 Hz):
Measurement of the modulation depth of the 1020 Hz identification tone can be carried out by wave analyser comparison between the modulation depth of the 90 Hz tone and the 1020 Hz tone or by portable test equipment, which can measure it directly. The wave analyser is tuned to 90 Hz and the scale amplitude is noted. The wave analyser is then tuned to 1020 Hz and the modulation depth of the 1020 Hz is adjusted to the appropriate proportion of the 90 Hz reading.

4.2.3.2.9 HARMONIC CONTENT OF THE 90 AND 150 Hz TONES:
This is measured at the transmitter cabinet using a detector feeding a wave analyser from which a value is obtained on a root mean square (RMS) calculation basis. For future checks a distortion factor meter may be used, however, this can indicate a higher value of distortion than that contributed by the harmonics themselves.
4.2.3.2.10 90/150 HZ PHASING:

4.2.3.2.10.1 Measurement of the relative phase between the 90 and 150 Hz tones can most conveniently be made using one of the commercially available instruments specifically designed for this purpose. Where two frequency carrier systems are used, the relative phase of the 90/150 Hz tones should be checked separately for each system. An additional check of the relative phase of the two 90 Hz and two 150 Hz tones should then be carried out.

4.2.3.2.10.2 When such equipment is not available, a check that the 90/150 Hz phase is within the required tolerance can be made on the combined waveform using the following oscilloscope technique:

4.2.3.2.10.2.1 with the modulation balance adjusted for the zero DDM tone condition, adjust the oscilloscope time-base to give a locked display of the combined tones, such that four adjacent positive peaks of the waveform are simultaneously visible — two of a larger, equal or nearly equal amplitude, and two of a smaller, equal or nearly equal amplitude;

4.2.3.2.10.2.2 measure, as accurately as possible, the amplitudes of the two largest peaks; and

4.2.3.2.10.2.3 divide the lesser amplitude by the larger amplitude (for a ratio less than or equal to unity). The 90/150 Hz phasing is within tolerance if the ratio is greater than 0.906 for Category I and II localizers or greater than 0.930 for Category III localizers. (Note that any distortion of the tones will degrade the accuracy of the result.)

4.2.3.2.10.3 To measure the phase between the 90 Hz or 150 Hz tones of the two transmitters of a two-frequency system, connect the modulation signal from each transmitter to a separate oscilloscope channel. Configure the oscilloscope to display both channels simultaneously, such that the waveform for the transmitter that leads the other in time crosses the zero amplitude line at a convenient reference point on the horizontal axis. Measure the difference in time between the two waveforms at the point at which they each cross the zero amplitude line, and convert that time to degrees-of-phase for comparison with the tolerance.

4.2.3.2.11 ILS CARRIER FREQUENCY AND PHASE MODULATION:

4.2.3.2.11.1 In addition to the desired 90 Hz and 150 Hz AM modulation of the ILS RF carriers, undesired frequency modulation (FM) and/or phase
modulation (PM) may exist. This undesired modulation may cause centring errors in ILS receivers due to slope detection by a ripple in the intermediate frequency (IF) filter pass-band.

4.2.3.2.11.2 One method of measuring this undesired FM and/or PM is to use a commercial modulation meter. The RF input to the modulation meter may be taken from any convenient RF carrier sampling point on the ILS transmitter. The modulation meter and its connecting cables should be well screened, since any unwanted pickup of sideband radiation may be interpreted as FM or PM. It is preferable to use a sampling point with a high signal level and place an attenuator directly on the input socket of the modulation meter.

4.2.3.2.11.3 The audio filters used in the modulation meter should have a bandwidth at least as wide as the tone filters used in ILS receivers. This is necessary to ensure that undesired FM and/or AM on frequencies other than 90 Hz and 150 Hz, which could affect an ILS receiver, will be measured by the modulation meter. For standardizing these measurements, the recommended filter characteristics are given in the table below.

**RECOMMENDED FILTER CHARACTERISTICS FOR FM/PM MEASUREMENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FREQUENCY (Hz)</th>
<th>90 Hz BAND-PASS FILTER ATTENUATION (dB)</th>
<th>150 Hz BAND-PASS FILTER ATTENUATION (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>(no spec.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>(no spec.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>142</td>
<td>(no spec.)</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>158</td>
<td>(no spec.)</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.3.2.12 **MONITORING SYSTEM OPERATION:**

This test is essentially a check on the overall executive operation of the monitor systems. The total time periods specified are never-to-be-exceeded limits and are intended to protect aircraft in the final stages of approach against prolonged or repeated periods of localizer guidance outside the monitor limits. For this reason they include not only the initial period of outside tolerance operation but also the total of any or all periods of out-of-tolerance radiation, which might occur during action-to-restore service, for example, in the course of consecutive monitor functioning and consequent changeover(s) to localizer equipment(s) or elements thereof. The intention is that no guidance outside the monitor limits be radiated after the time periods given, and that no further
attempt be made to restore service until a period in the order of 20 seconds has elapsed.

4.2.3.2.13 **MONITOR COURSE ALIGNMENT ALARM:**

The purpose of this check is to ensure that the monitor executive action occurs for a course alignment shift of the distances specified in Table I-4-4. One of the following methods may be used:

4.2.3.2.13.1 The alignment of the ILS localizer course line may be offset by the operation of a control in either the transmitter cabinet or antenna system, as may be appropriate to the particular installation under examination. At the point where the monitor system indicates that an alarm condition has been reached, measurement of the resulting far-field course alignment should be accomplished. This test should, where possible, be carried out at the time of the course alignment check.

4.2.3.2.13.2 The measurement of course alignment alarm may be carried out by the application of a precision ILS signal generator to the monitor input. The correlation between the resulting alarm indication and the location of the localizer course line in the far-field should be carried out periodically.

4.2.3.2.14 **MONITOR DISPLACEMENT SENSITIVITY ALARM:**

The purpose of this check is to ensure that the monitor displacement sensitivity alarm action occurs for changes in displacement sensitivity specified in Table I-4-4. One of the following methods may be used:

4.2.3.2.14.1 The ILS localizer course width may be adjusted by operating a suitable control (width control) until the monitor system indicates that a wide alarm condition has been reached. When an alarm is indicated, the displacement sensitivity in the far-field should be measured. Following this measurement, the width control setting needed to initiate the narrow alarm is selected and displacement sensitivity again measured using the ILS test method as described above.

4.2.3.2.14.2 The measurement of displacement sensitivity alarm may be carried out by the application of a precision ILS signal generator to the monitor input. The correlation between the resulting alarm indication and the displacement sensitivity in the far-field should be carried out periodically.

4.2.3.2.15 **MONITOR POWER REDUCTION ALARM**

The purpose of this check is to ensure that the monitor power reduction alarm action occurs for the change in power specified in Table I-4-4. The ILS localizer output power is reduced by operation of a suitable control (transmitter output power) until the monitor system reaches an alarm condition. At this point, the output power should be measured. A calibrated signal generator
input into the monitor can also be used for this measurement.

4.2.3.2.16 FAR-FIELD MONITOR

A far-field monitor usually consists of a number of antennas and receivers located at the middle marker-to-threshold region to provide continuous measurement of localizer parameters for ground inspection purposes. It may also function as a monitor of course position, and optionally, of course sensitivity. The far-field monitor indications are normally readily available to the ground maintenance staff to facilitate the assessment of localizer performance. A continuous logging or display of localizer parameters is preferred. In the interpretation of the results, it should be remembered that the indications will be disturbed by aircraft overflying the localizer and far-field monitor as well as other vehicle movements at the airport. Periodically, the correlation between the far-field monitor and the localizer signal-in-space should be established.

4.2.3.3 GLIDE PATH:

4.2.3.3.1 PATH ANGLE:

4.2.3.3.1.1 The recommended means of measurement of a glide path angle (θ) is by flight test. However, it may be measured on the ground either at the normal monitoring location or at a distance of at least 400 m (1200 ft) from the transmitting antenna, preferably on the extended centre line of the runway.

4.2.3.3.1.2 The measurement location used will depend on the type of glide path, its monitoring system and the local site conditions. Where the monitoring system is attached to the glide path antenna structure, or where the signal at the monitor location may be affected by local conditions, e.g. accumulation of snow, change in ground characteristics, etc., then the angle measurements should be made at least 300 m (1000 ft) in front of the glide path as suggested above. In any case, it is preferable at the time of commissioning to measure the glide path parameters at this location for future reference.

4.2.3.3.1.3 When measurements are made beyond the normal monitoring location, a portable ILS ground checking installation should be used comprising a vehicle or trailer suitably equipped for measuring glide path signals. The facilities should include lifting gear to enable the antenna of the test receiver to be raised to a height of at least 22 m (70 ft). Means should be provided for determining the height of the test antenna above ground level to an accuracy of ±5 cm (±2 inches). The figures obtained as a result of this test may differ from those derived from an inflight measurement, by an amount which will depend on the siting of the test equipment relative to the transmitter antenna and the type of transmitting equipment used.
4.2.3.3.2 DISPLACEMENT SENSITIVITY:
The recommended means of measurement of displacement sensitivity is by flight test. However, ground measurement of this parameter should be made using the method described for the glide path angle, but test antenna heights should be determined additionally at which 0.0875 DDM occurs below and above the glide path. The heights obtained will enable figures to be derived for the representative standard upper and lower half-sector displacement sensitivities at the position at which the checks are made.

4.2.3.3.3 CLEARANCE BELOW PATH:
Ground measurement of below path clearance is not normally required for null reference systems. For other systems the measurement may be made as described for the glide path angle. Test antenna heights should be determined and DDM values recorded to enable a curve to be plotted showing DDM between 0.38 and the lower half-sector. From the curve of DDM versus angle plotted, the representative standard clearance below path performance may be obtained. A value of 0.22 DDM should be achieved at an angle not less than 0.38 above the horizontal. However, if it is achieved at an angle above 0.45θ, the DDM value should not be less than 0.22 at least down to 0.45θ.

4.2.3.3.4 CARRIER FREQUENCY:
This test is the same as for the localizer (4.2.3.2.4).

4.2.3.3.5 OUTPUT POWER:
This test is the same as for the localizer (4.2.3.2.5), except that the threshold power measurements should be made at the zero DDM height.

4.2.3.3.6 TONE FREQUENCY (90/150 HZ):
This test is the same as for the localizer (4.2.3.2.6).

4.2.3.3.7 MODULATION DEPTH (90/150 HZ):
This test is the same as for the localizer (4.2.3.2.7).

4.2.3.3.8 HARMONIC CONTENT OF THE 90 AND 150 HZ TONE:
This test is the same as for the localizer (4.2.3.2.9).

4.2.3.3.9 90/150 HZ PHASING:
This test is the same as for the localizer (4.2.3.2.10.1).

4.2.3.3.10 ILS CARRIER FREQUENCY AND PHASE MODULATION:
This test is the same as for the localizer (4.2.3.2.11.1).

4.2.3.3.11 MONITOR SYSTEM OPERATION:
This test is the same as for the localizer (4.2.3.2.12).

4.2.3.3.12 MONITOR ANGLE ALARMS:
The purpose of this check is to ensure that the monitor executive
action occurs for a change in glide path angle specified in Table I-4-5. Some facilities may require monitor executive limits to be adjusted to closer limits than those specified in the table because of operational requirements. One of the following methods may be used:

4.2.3.3.12.1 The alignment of the ILS glide path may be offset by the operation of a control in either the transmitter cabinet or antenna system, as may be appropriate, to the particular installation under examination. At the point where the monitor system indicates that an alarm condition has been reached, measurement of the resulting far-field path alignment should be accomplished. This test should, where possible, be carried out at the time of the path alignment check.

4.2.3.3.12.2 The measurement of the path alignment alarm may be carried out by the application of a precision ILS signal generator to the monitor input. The correlation between the resulting alarm indication and the location of the glide path in the far-field should be carried out periodically.

4.2.3.3.13 MONITOR DISPLACEMENT SENSITIVITY ALARM:
The purpose of this check is to ensure that the monitor displacement sensitivity alarm action occurs for changes in displacement sensitivity specified in Table I-4-5. One of the following methods may be used:

4.2.3.3.13.1 The ILS glide path width is adjusted by operating a suitable control (width control) until the monitor system indicates that a wide or narrow alarm condition has been reached. When an alarm is indicated, the displacement sensitivity in the far-field should be measured. Following this measurement, the width control setting needed to initiate the alternate alarm is selected and displacement sensitivity again measured using the test method as described above.

4.2.3.3.13.2 The measurement of displacement sensitivity alarm may be carried out by the application of a precision ILS signal generator to the monitor input. The correlation between the resulting alarm indication and the displacement sensitivity in the far-field should be carried out periodically.

4.2.3.3.14 MONITOR POWER REDUCTION ALARM:
This test is the same as for the localizer (4.2.3.2.15).

4.2.3.4 MARKER BEACONS:

4.2.3.4.1 CARRIER FREQUENCY:
The carrier frequency should be checked using an accurate frequency standard to ensure that it is within tolerance. Reference should be made to the instructions supplied with the frequency standard which will give the detailed procedures for its use.
4.2.3.4.2 RF OUTPUT POWER:
Since the power output of the beacon transmitter directly affects the coverage obtained, it is important to keep the power output as close as possible to the value recorded at the time of commissioning. On most equipment, a meter is provided to read the reference output voltage (or some other measure of output power) of the transmitter. This indication may be checked by using an independent power output meter. The voltage standing wave ratio (VSWR) should also be checked using the formula below based on measurements of forward and reflected powers. Any change in the output level or VSWR from its initial value at commissioning could be due to a change in the power delivered from the transmitter and/or a change in the characteristics of the antenna system. Changes should therefore be investigated, as the performance of the beacon will be affected.

\[
\text{SWR} = \frac{1 + p}{1 - p} \quad \text{where} \quad p = \sqrt{\frac{\text{Forward power}}{\text{Reflected power}}}
\]

4.2.3.4.3 MODULATION DEPTH:
The modulation depth can be measured using a modulation meter (it may be built into the equipment) or by an oscilloscope. Using an oscilloscope, the modulated signal from the beacon is displayed (usually by direct connection to the deflection plates), and the modulation percentage obtained by measuring the maximum and minimum of the modulation envelope. If \( A_{\text{max}} \) and \( A_{\text{min}} \) are the maximum and the minimum of the envelope respectively, then

\[
\text{Modulation} \% = \frac{A_{\text{max}} - A_{\text{min}}}{A_{\text{max}} + A_{\text{min}}} \times 100\%
\]

4.2.3.4.4 MODULATION TONE FREQUENCY:
This test is the same as for the localizer (4.2.3.2.6).

4.2.3.4.5 HARMONIC CONTENT OF MODULATING TONE:
This test is the same as for the localizer (4.2.3.2.9).

4.2.3.4.6 KEYING:
An audible indication of keying will usually be available from a test point on the equipment or monitor. The keying can therefore be checked audibly for clear, correct identification. A more exact check can be made by using a suitable oscilloscope.

4.2.3.4.7 MONITOR SYSTEM:
The monitor system should be checked to ensure it will detect erroneous transmissions from the marker beacon. Some monitors include switching functions that permit out-of-tolerance conditions to be simulated. Detailed procedures can be found in the manufacturer’s instructions.

4.2.4 CHARTS AND REPORTS:
4.2.4.1 GENERAL:
The objective of the collection and analysis of data on the various ILS parameter measurements is to build up a record-of-performance of the equipment in order to determine whether its performance objectives are being achieved. In addition, these records can show performance trends and long-term drifts which, in some cases, will enable preventive maintenance to be carried out prior to an unscheduled service outage. Although the methods used by different authorities to carry out ground inspections and the analysis of results will vary, there are certain general principles to be observed and precautions to be taken.

4.2.4.2 EQUIPMENT FAILURE ANALYSIS:
It is important that records be kept and an analysis be made on equipment failures and outage times to determine if the reliability objectives appropriate to the category of operation are being achieved in service. Details of the type of data to be collected and the method of analysis can be found in Attachment F to ANO-002-DRTS-1.0.

4.2.4.3 PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS:

4.2.4.3.1 GENERAL:
In order that the performance determined from measurements over a long period will be statistically valid, unnecessary adjustments should be minimized. The equipment settings should not be modified if the parameters listed in Tables I-4-4 through I-4-6 are within 50 per cent of the given tolerance.

4.2.4.3.2 ANALYSIS OF ALIGNMENT AND SENSITIVITY MEASUREMENT:
The localizer and glide path alignment and displacement sensitivity measurements should be analysed to determine the mean and distribution of these parameters. Some States are installing “on-line” data processing systems, which will automatically collect and analyse these parameters and produce the performance statistics. The radiating equipment should then be adjusted so that, on a long-term basis, the mean of the parameter corresponds to the proper nominal value. The distribution should be analysed to determine whether 99.7 per cent of the measurements are contained within the “adjust and maintain” limits of ANO-002-DRTS-1.0, D3.1.3.6.1 and D3.1.3.7.3 for localizers, and D3.1.5.1.2.2 and D3.1.5.6.6 through D3.1.5.6.8 for glide paths. If this is not being achieved, then the cause needs to be investigated.

4.2.5 TEST EQUIPMENT:
The test equipment inherent errors should be at least five times smaller than the tolerances specified in Tables I-4-4 to I-4-6.

4.2.5.1 TEST EQUIPMENT LIST:
The following recommended list of test equipment, or equivalent, is necessary to make the measurements described in this chapter:

4.2.5.1.1 a frequency meter covering the 75, 108-112, and 328-336 MHz bands and having an accuracy of at least 0.001 per cent;

4.2.5.1.2 an audio frequency meter or standard frequency source having
an accuracy of at least 0.5 per cent for the modulating frequency measurement;
4.2.5.1.3 a modulation meter or oscilloscope for modulation percentage measurement;
4.2.5.1.4 an audio wave analyser or a spectrum analyser for harmonic distortion measurements;
4.2.5.1.5 an RF power output meter, preferably of a directional type; and
4.2.5.1.6 a portable ILS receiver.

4.3 FLIGHT TESTING:

4.3.1 GENERAL:

4.3.1.1 The purpose of flight testing is to confirm the correctness of the setting of essential signal-in-space parameters, determine the operational safety and acceptability of the ILS installation, and periodically correlate signal patterns observed in flight and from the ground. Since flight testing instrumentation varies greatly, only a general description of the test methodology is given below.

4.3.1.2 Flight tests constitute in-flight evaluation and sampling of the radiated signals in the static operating environment. The signals-in-space are evaluated under the same conditions as they are presented to an aircraft receiving system and after being influenced by factors external to the installation, e.g. site conditions, ground conductivity, terrain irregularities, metallic structures, propagation effects, etc. Because dynamic conditions, such as multipath due to taxiing or overflying aircraft or moving ground vehicles, are continually changing, they cannot be realistically flight-tested. Instead, these effects on the signal-in-space are controlled by the establishment of critical and sensitive areas and by operational controls.

4.3.2 FLIGHT TEST PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS:

4.3.2.1 GENERAL:

Flight test requirements for localizers, glide paths and ILS marker beacons are listed in Tables I-4-7, I-4-8 and I-4-9.

4.3.2.2 SCHEDULES OF FLIGHT INSPECTION:

4.3.2.2.1 SITE PROVING INSPECTION:

This flight inspection is conducted at the option of the responsible authority, and its purpose is to determine the suitability of a proposed site for the permanent installation of an ILS facility. It is often performed with portable localizer or glide path equipment. The inspection is sufficiently extensive to determine the effects that the ground environment will have on the facility performance. The site-proving inspection is not a recurring type inspection.

4.3.2.2.2 COMMISSIONING AND CATEGORIZATION INSPECTIONS:

The basic type of inspection, serving either of these purposes, is a comprehensive inspection designed to obtain complete detailed data relating to facility performance and to establish that the facility, as installed, will meet the operational requirements. This
type of inspection is conducted under the following circumstances:

4.3.2.2.1 COMMISSIONING:

4.3.2.2.1.1 INITIAL:
Prior to initial commissioning of an ILS;

4.3.2.2.1.2 RECOMMISSIONING:
After relocation of an antenna or installation of a different type of antenna or of transmitting equipment

4.3.2.2.2 CATEGORIZATION:
At the time when categorization of an ILS is required.

4.3.2.2.3 PERIODIC INSPECTIONS:
These are regularly scheduled flight inspections conducted to determine whether the facility performance continues to meet standards and satisfy its operational requirements. Typically, the transmitters are flown in both normal and alarm conditions, and path structure is evaluated. If the available flight inspection equipment dictates that the structure cannot be measured during every periodic inspection (e.g. theodolite equipment is not available), then the structure should be measured every other periodic inspection at a minimum.

4.3.2.2.4 SPECIAL FLIGHT INSPECTION:
This is a flight inspection required by special circumstances, e.g. major equipment modifications, reported or suspected malfunctions, etc. During special flight inspections it is usually necessary to inspect only those parameters that have or might have an affect on performance; however, in some cases it may be economically advantageous to complete the requirements for a routine or annual inspection. It is impractical to attempt to define all of the purposes for which special inspections will be conducted or the extent of inspection required for each. Special inspections may also be requested as a result of ground checks of the performance, or flight inspection, in which case the nature of the suspected malfunction will guide the inspection requirements.

4.3.2.2.5 FLIGHT INSPECTIONS FOLLOWING GROUND MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES:
Certain ground maintenance activities, as well as changes in the ground environment near radiating antenna systems, require a confirming flight inspection. This is because ground measurements cannot duplicate the operational use of the signals in some respects. Although engineering judgement should be used in individual cases to prevent unnecessary costly airborne testing, the following changes typically require a confirming inspection:

4.3.2.2.5.1 a change in the operating frequency;
4.3.2.2.5.2 significant changes in the multipath environment within the antenna pattern limits;

4.3.2.2.5.3 replacement of antenna arrays or antenna elements; and

4.3.2.2.5.4 replacement of radio frequency components, such as bridges, phasers, amplifiers, and cabling, when ground measurements prior to and after the changes are not available, or the results do not support restoration without a flight inspection.

4.3.3 FLIGHT TEST PROCEDURES:

4.3.3.1 GENERAL:

4.3.3.1.1 The procedures for conducting the flight inspection of the parameters listed in Tables I-4-7, I-4-8 and I-4-9 are intended to provide basic instruction for positioning the aircraft for proper measurement, analysis of performance data and application of tolerances. These procedures should not be construed as the only means of accomplishing the intended purpose; individual Administrations might find modified or new methods which better suit their equipment or local situation.

4.3.3.1.2 Some requirements in the procedures can be fulfilled concurrently with others, thereby simplifying the conduct of the flight inspection. These procedures assume that the deviation indicator current, flag alarm current and AGC will be recorded, and that the recorder event marks will be made as required for analysis.

4.3.3.1.3 During inspections, certain parameters require the use of aircraft positioning or tracking devices to provide accurate aircraft position relative to the localizer course or glide path for adequate analysis of the performance. The position of the tracking device with respect to the facility being inspected is critical to obtaining good flight inspection results. Further guidance on tracker positioning and use is given in Chapter 1.

4.3.3.2 LOCALIZER FRONT COURSE:

4.3.3.2.1 IDENTIFICATION:

The coded identification that is transmitted from the facility should be monitored during the various checks over all of the coverage area. The identification is satisfactory if the coded characters are correct, clear and properly spaced. The transmission of the identification signal should not interfere in any way with the basic localizer function. Monitoring the identification also serves the purpose of detecting frequency interference, which is primarily manifested by heterodyne, or noise which affects the identification.

4.3.3.2.2 VOICE FEATURE:

Where the facility has the capability of ground to-air voice transmission on the localizer frequency, it will be checked over all of the coverage area in generally the same way as the identification. It should be checked to ensure that it adequately serves its purpose as a ground-to-air communication channel.
and does not adversely affect the course.

4.3.3.2.3 MODULATION:

4.3.3.2.3.1 MODULATION BALANCE:

Although the modulation balance is most easily measured on the ground, it may be measured from the air while radiating the carrier signal only. Position the aircraft close to the runway centre line and note the cross-pointer indication.

4.3.3.2.3.2 MODULATION DEPTH:

The percentage of modulation should be determined only while flying in-bound and on course at a point where the receiver signal strength corresponds to the value at which the receiver modulation depth calibration was made; therefore, this requirement should be fulfilled concurrently with the alignment check. If the receiver modulation depth indications are influenced significantly by the RF level, measure the modulation depth near Point A. (An adequate preliminary check of modulation can be made while the aircraft is crossing the course during the displacement sensitivity check.) Modulation percentage is determined by the use of calibration data furnished with the individual receiver.

4.3.3.2.4 DISPLACEMENT SENSITIVITY:

4.3.3.2.4.1 There are two basic methods of measuring the displacement sensitivity — approaches on the edges of the course sector, and crossovers or orbits through the course sector, at right angles to the extended runway centre line. For site tests and commissionings, the approach method is recommended. For all flight inspections the correlation between ground and air measurement should not exceed 10 per cent of the promulgated displacement sensitivity; where this degree of correlation is not achieved, the reason for the discrepancy should be resolved. On initial categorization, the displacement sensitivity should be set to the nominal value for that installation.

4.3.3.2.4.2 To determine the half-sector width in degrees using the approach method, fly the aircraft on either side of the course line so that the average cross-pointer deflection is 75 (or 150) microamperes in each instance. Note that deviation of the aircraft toward the runway extended centre line will reduce the accuracy of the measurements — normally the average cross-pointer deflection should be within 15 (or 30) microamperes of the intended value. The average angular position of the aircraft, measured by the tracking device on each side of the course line, will define the angular value of the half-sector
width. If the displacement sensitivity corresponding to the measured half-sector width is beyond the tolerances, the displacement sensitivity should be readjusted.

4.3.3.2.4.3 The crossover or orbital method of displacement sensitivity measurement is typically used during periodic inspections.

4.3.3.2.4.4 The measurement is made at a point of known distance from the localizer antenna; a distance of 11 km (6 NM) from the localizer, or the outer marker, is usually convenient for this purpose. To best calculate the displacement sensitivity, it is necessary to use several samples from the linear DDM area and find the slope of the straight line that fits the data. In order to provide an accurate reference for subsequent use, and to correlate the results with the half-sector width measurement, this abbreviated procedure should initially be carried out during the commissioning or major inspection. Experience has shown that the results of subsequent routine checks using the orbital method will show good correlation with the measurements obtained during the initial tests. It may be possible to combine this abbreviated procedure with orbits flown for other measurement purposes.

4.3.3.2.4.5 The following is an example of measuring course displacement sensitivity by this method. Fly a track at right angles to the localizer course line so as to pass directly over the outer marker, or selected checkpoint, at a height of 460 m (1500 ft) above the localizer antenna site elevation. The flight should begin sufficiently off course to assure stable airspeed prior to penetration of the course sector. Follow the aircraft position with the tracking device and measure the angles at which 150, 75, 0, 75 and 150 µA occur. The full sector from 150 to 150 µA should be flown so that linearity can be assessed by examining the recordings.

4.3.3.2.5 OF-COURSE CLEARANCE

4.3.3.2.5.1 The localizer clearance is checked to determine that the transmitted signals will provide the user with the proper off-course indication and that there are no false courses. Conduct an orbital flight with a radius of 9 to 15 km (5 to 8 NM) from the facility and approximately 460 m (1500 ft) above the antenna. Where terrain is a factor, the height will be adjusted to provide line-of-sight between the aircraft and the antenna.

4.3.3.2.5.2 Clearance should be checked only to the angular limits of coverage provided on either side of the front course (typically ±35 degrees), unless the back course is used for approaches. In such cases, clearances will also be checked to the angular coverage limits of the back course. An annual 360-
degree orbit is recommended in order to check for possible false courses in the out-of-coverage area. These false courses may be due to antenna pattern characteristics or environmental conditions, and may be valuable in establishing the historical behaviour of the facility.

4.3.3.2.6 HIGH ANGLE CLEARANCE

4.3.3.2.6.1 The combination of ground environment and antenna height can cause nulls, or false courses, which may not be apparent at all normal instrument approach altitudes. High altitude clearance should therefore be investigated upon:

4.3.3.4.6.1.1 initial commissioning;
4.3.3.4.6.1.2 a change in the location of an antenna;
4.3.3.4.6.1.3 a change in the height of an antenna; or
4.3.3.4.6.1.4 installation of a different type antenna.

4.3.3.2.6.2 Normally, high-angle clearance is investigated within the angular limit of coverage provided, in the same manner as for off-course clearance, at a height corresponding to an angle of 7 degrees above the horizontal through the antenna. If the minimum clearance at this height, in an orbit of 9 to 15 km (5 to 8 NM), exceeds 150 micro amperes, and the clearance is satisfactory at 300 m (1000 ft), the localizer will be assumed as satisfactory at all intermediate altitudes. Where the clearance is not satisfactory, additional checks will be made at lower heights to determine the highest level at and below that which the facility may be used. In such a case, procedural use of the localizer should be restricted.

4.3.3.2.6.3 If approach altitudes higher than the height of 1800 m (6000 ft) above the antenna elevation are required locally, investigation should also be made at higher heights to determine that adequate clearance is available and that no operationally significant false courses exist.

4.3.3.2.7 COURSE ALIGNMENT ACCURACY:

4.3.3.2.7.1 The measurement and analysis of localizer course alignment should take into account the course line bends. The alignment of the mean course line needs to be established in the following critical region before the appropriate decision height:

Category I — in the vicinity of ILS Point B
Category II — ILS Point B to ILS reference datum
Category III — ILS Point C to ILS Point D
4.3.3.2.7.2 A normal ILS approach should be flown, using the glide path, where available. The aircraft’s position should be recorded using the tracking or position fixing system. By relating the aircraft average position to the average measured DDM, the alignment of the localizer may be determined.

4.3.3.2.7.3 Where there are course line bends in the area being evaluated, they should be analysed so that the average localizer alignment may be calculated.

4.3.3.2.8 COURSE STRUCTURE:

4.3.3.2.8.1 This is an accurate measurement of course bends and may be accomplished concurrently with the alignment and displacement sensitivity checks. Recordings of approaches made during the course alignment check and during the course sensitivity checks can be used for the calculation of course bends. The centre, or mean, of the total amplitude of bends represents the course line for bend evaluation purposes, and the tolerance for bends is applied to that as a reference. If the evaluation is made on airborne data, low pass filtering of the position-corrected cross-pointer signal is necessary to eliminate high-frequency structure components of no practical consequence. The total time-constant of the receiver and recorder DDM circuits for the measurements should be referenced to an aircraft speed of 105 knots, for which the constant is approximately 0.5 second (refer to Attachment C to ANO-002-DRTS-1.0, 2.1.7, for specific filter guidance). From the recording of airborne measurements, the alignment for each zone for application of structure tolerances may be determined as the average course position between the runway threshold and Point D, and separately between Point D and Point E. To analyse the post-filtering low frequency spectral components, the guidance found in Attachment C to ANO-002-DRTS-1.0, 2.1.4 and 2.1.6, should be used, with the structure tolerances referenced to the average course position in each zone.

4.3.3.2.8.2 For the evaluation of a course centre line structure, a normal approach should be flown, using the glide path, where available. For Category II and III localizers, the aircraft should cross the threshold at approximately the normal design height of the glide path and continue downward to the normal touchdown point. Continue a touchdown roll until at least Point E. Optionally, the touchdown roll may be conducted from touchdown to Point D, at which point a take-off may be executed, with an altitude not exceeding 15 m (50 ft) until Point E is reached. These procedures should be used to evaluate the localizer guidance in the user’s environment. Accurate tracking or position fixing should be provided from ILS Point A to the following points:
for Category I — ILS reference datum
for Category II — ILS reference datum
for Category III — ILS Point E

4.3.3.2.8.3 For Category III bend evaluation between the ILS reference datum and ILS Point E, ground measurements using a suitably equipped vehicle may be substituted for flight inspection measurements, as described in 4.2.3.2.1.1 and 4.2.3.2.1.2.

4.3.3.2.8.4 If the localizer's back course is used for take-off guidance, bend measurements along the runway should be made for any category of ILS.

4.3.3.2.8.5 Guidance material concerning course structure is provided in 2.1.4 to 2.1.7 of Attachment C to ANO-002-DRTS-1.0.

Note: Course structure should be measured only while the course sector is in its normal operating width.

4.3.3.2.9 COVERAGE:

4.3.3.2.9.1 This check is conducted to determine whether the facility provides the correct information to the user throughout the area of operational use. Coverage has been determined, to some extent, by various other checks; however, additional procedures are necessary to complete the check of the coverage at distances of 18.5, 31.5 and 46.3 km (10, 17 and 25 NM) from the antenna.

4.3.3.2.9.2 Flights at appropriate heights are required for routine and commissioning inspections to ensure the following coverage requirements are satisfied. Adequate coverage for modern aircraft systems may be defined by a signal level of 5 microvolts (from a calibrated antenna installation) at the receiver input together with 240 microamperes of flag current. If the ground installation is required to support aircraft fitted with receivers having a sensitivity poorer than 5 microvolts, a higher signal input (up to 15 microvolts) should be used when assessing coverage for these aircraft. The localizer coverage sector extends from the localizer antenna to distances of:

46.3 km (25 NM) within ±10° from the front course line;
31.5 km (17 NM) between 10° and 35° from the front course line;
18.5 km (10 NM) outside of ±35°, if coverage is provided.

Where topographical features dictate or operational requirements permit, the limits may be reduced to 33.3 km (18 NM) within the ±10 degree sector, and
18.5 km (10 NM) within the remainder of the coverage, when alternative navigational facilities provide satisfactory coverage within the intermediate approach area. The localizer signals should be receivable at the distances specified at and above a height of 600 m (2000 ft) above the elevation of the threshold or 300 m (1000 ft) above the elevation of the highest point within the intermediate and final approach areas, whichever is the higher.

4.3.3.2.9.3 At periodic inspections, it is necessary to check coverage only at 31.5 km (17 NM) and 35 degrees either side of the course, unless use is made of the localizer outside of this area.

4.3.3.2.10 POLARIZATION:
This check is conducted to determine the effects of undesired vertically polarized signal components. While maintaining the desired track (on the extended centre line), bank the aircraft around its longitudinal axis 20 degrees each way from level flight. The aircraft’s position should be monitored using an accurate tracking or position fixing system. Analyse the cross-pointer recording to determine if there are any course deviations caused by the change in aircraft (antenna) orientation. The effects of vertically polarized signal components are acceptable when they are within specified tolerances. If this check is accomplished in the area of the outer marker, the possibility of errors due to position changes will be lessened. The amount of polarization effect measured also depends on polarization characteristics of the aircraft antenna, hence the vertical polarization effect of the aircraft antenna should be as low as possible.

4.3.3.2.11 LOCALIZER MONITORS:
Localizer course alignment and displacement sensitivity monitors may be checked by ground or flight inspection. A suggested method of flight inspection is given below:

4.3.3.2.11.1 ALIGNMENT MONITOR:
Position the aircraft on the exact centre line of the runway threshold and ensure that the aircraft voltages are satisfactory and that adequate localizer signals are received. To ensure that excessive course displacement will cause an alarm, request the ground technician to adjust the localizer equipment to cause an alarm of the alignment monitor. The precise displacement in microamperes may be taken from the recording in each condition of the alarm to the right and left of the centre line and converted mathematically to metres (feet). The computation for conversion of the microampere displacement at the threshold into distance should consider the actual (measured) displacement sensitivity. After the course has been readjusted to a normal operating condition, its alignment should be confirmed.
4.3.3.2.11.2 **DISPLACEMENT SENSITIVITY MONITOR:**
Request the maintenance technician to adjust the displacement sensitivity to the broad and narrow alarm limits and check the displacement sensitivity in each condition. This check should follow the normal displacement sensitivity check described in 4.3.3.2.4. The crossover or orbital flight method should be used only if good correlation with a more accurate approach method has been established. After the alarm limits have been verified or adjusted, it is also necessary to confirm the displacement sensitivity value in the normal operating condition.

*Note:* During commissioning inspection or after major modifications, clearance may be checked while the displacement sensitivity is adjusted to its broad alarm limit. The tolerances of 175 microamperes and 150 microamperes specified for application during normal displacement sensitivity conditions will then be reduced to 160 microamperes and 135 microamperes, respectively.

4.3.3.2.11.3 **POWER MONITOR (COMMISSIONING ONLY):**
The field strength of the localizer signal should be measured on course at the greatest distance at which it is expected to be used, but not less than 33.3 km (18 NM), while operating at 50 per cent of normal power. If the field strength is less than 5 microvolts, the power will be increased to provide at least 5 microvolts and the monitor limit adjusted to alarm at this level.

*Note:* Fifteen microvolts may be required — see 4.3.3.2.9.1.

4.3.3.2.12 **PHASING:**

4.3.3.2.12.1 The following phasing procedure applies to null reference localizer systems. Alternative phasing procedures in accordance with the manufacturer’s recommendations should be followed for other types of localizers. To the extent possible, methods involving ground test procedures should be used, and airborne measurements made only upon request from ground maintenance personnel. If additional confirmation is desirable by means of a flight check, the following is a suitable example procedure:

*Note:* Adjustments made during the phasing procedure may affect many of the radiated parameters. For this reason, it is advisable to confirm the localizer phasing as early as possible during the commissioning tests.

4.3.3.2.12.1.1 Measure the displacement sensitivity of the localizer if it is not already determined.
4.3.3.2.12.1.2 Feed the localizer antenna with the carrier equally modulated by 90 Hz and 150 Hz and load the sideband output with a dummy load. Note the cross-pointer deflection as X(90) or X(150) microamperes.

4.3.3.2.12.1.3 The aircraft should be flown at a suitable off-course angle (depending on the type of localizer antenna used) during the phasing adjustment and should not be closer than 5.6 km (3 NM) from the antenna.

4.3.3.2.12.1.4 Insert a 90-degree line in a series with the sideband input to the antenna and feed the antenna with sideband energy.

4.3.3.2.12.1.5 Adjust the phaser until the deviation indicator reading is the same as in 4.3.3.2.12.1.2 above.

4.3.3.2.12.1.6 Remove the 90-degree line, used in step 4.3.3.2.12.1.4 above.

4.3.3.2.12.2 This completes the process of phasing the carrier with the composite sidebands. As an additional check, displacement sensitivity should be rechecked, and compared with that obtained in step 4.3.3.2.12.1.1 above. The value obtained after the phasing adjustment should never be greater than the value obtained before the phasing adjustment.

4.3.3.3 LOCALIZER BACK COURSE:

4.3.3.3.1 The back course formed by some types of localizers can serve a very useful purpose as an approach aid, provided that it meets specified requirements and that an associated aid is available to provide a final approach fix. Although a glide path is not to be used in conjunction with the back course, landing weather minima commensurate with those of other non-precision aids can be approved. The display in the aircraft cockpit will present a reverse sensing indication to the pilot; however, pilots are well aware of this and it is not considered significant.

4.3.3.3.2 Under no circumstances should localizer equipment be adjusted to enhance performance of the back course, if the adjustment would adversely affect the desired characteristics of the front course.

4.3.3.3.3 Where the localizer back course is to be used for approaches to landing, it should be evaluated for commissioning and at periodic intervals thereafter. Procedures used for checking the front course will normally be used for the back course, the principal difference being the application of certain different tolerances, which are given in Table 1-4-7. As a minimum, alignment, sector width, structure, and modulation depth should be inspected.
4.3.3.4 **GLIDE PATH:**

Most glide path parameters can be tested with two basic flight procedures — an approach along the course line, and a level run or orbit through the localizer course sector. Variations include approaches above, below, or abeam the course line, and level runs left and right of the extended runway centre line. By selecting suitable starting distances and angles, several measurements can be made during a single aircraft maneuver.

4.3.3.4.1 **GLIDE PATH ANGLE (SITE, COMMISSIONING, CATEGORIZATION AND PERIODIC):**

4.3.3.4.1.1 The glide path angle may be measured concurrently with the glide path structure during these inspections. To adequately check the glide path angle, an accurate tracking or positioning device should be employed. This is necessary in order to correct the recorded glide path for aircraft positioning errors in the vertical plane. The location of the tracking or positioning equipment with respect to the facility being inspected is critical for accurate measurement. Incorrect siting can lead to unusual characteristics being shown in the glide path structure measurements. The tracking device should initially be located using the results of an accurate ground survey. In certain cases, initial flight results may indicate a need to modify the location of the tracking device. The arithmetic mean of all deviations of this corrected glide path between ILS Point A and ILS Point B represented by a straight line will be the glide path angle, as well as the average path to which tolerances for glide path angle alignment and structure will be applied. Because of the normal flare characteristics of the glide path, the portion below ILS Point B is not used in the above calculation.

4.3.3.4.1.2 At commissioning, the glide path angle should be adjusted to be as near as possible to the desired nominal angle. During periodic inspections, the glide path angle must be within the figures given in Table I-4-8.

4.3.3.4.2 **DISPLACEMENT SENSITIVITY (SITE, COMMISSIONING, CATEGORIZATION AND PERIODIC)**

The mean displacement sensitivity is derived from measurements made between ILS Point A and Point B. Make approaches above and below the nominal glide path at angles where the nominal cross-pointer deflection is 75 µA and measure the aircraft's position using an accurate tracking device. During these measurements, the average cross-pointer deflection should be 75 ±15 µA. Note that any aircraft deviation toward the zero DDM course line will decrease the accuracy of the measurement. The displacement sensitivity can be calculated by relating the average cross-pointer deflection to the average measured angle.
4.3.3.4.3 GLIDE PATH ANGLE AND DISPLACEMENT SENSITIVITY (ROUTINE PERIODIC INSPECTIONS):

4.3.3.4.3.1 During certain periodic inspections it may be possible to measure the glide path angle and displacement sensitivity by using a level run or “slice” method. This is only possible where the glide path is relatively free from bends so that there is a smooth transition from fly-up to fly-down on the level run. This method should not be used with systems that inherently have asymmetrical displacement sensitivity above and below the glide path.

4.3.3.4.3.2 LEVEL RUN METHOD:

Fly the aircraft towards the facility at a constant height (typically the intercept altitude), following the localizer centre line, starting at a point where the cross-pointer deflection is more than 75 µA fly-up (more than 190 µA recommended). This flight is usually made at 460 m (1500 ft) above the facility unless terrain prevents a safe flight. If a different height is used, it should be noted on the flight inspection report and facility data sheet. During the flight, the aircraft’s angular position should be constantly tracked. By relating the recorded cross-pointer current to the measured angles, the glide path angle and displacement sensitivity may be calculated. The exact method of correlating the angle and cross-pointer measurements is dependent on the particular flight inspection system.

4.3.3.4.4 CLEARANCE:

4.3.3.4.4.1 The clearance of the glide path sector is determined from a level run, or slice, through the complete sector during which the glide path transition through the sector is recorded. This measurement may be combined with the level flight method of measuring the glide path angle and displacement sensitivity.

4.3.3.4.4.2 This flight is made using the level run method, except that the run should commence at a distance corresponding to 0.3θ and should continue until a point equivalent to twice the glide path angle has been passed. The aircraft’s position should be accurately measured throughout the approach. Cross-pointer current should be continuously recorded and the recording marked with all the necessary distances and angles to allow the figures required in Table I-4-8 to be evaluated. This recording should also permit linearity of the cross-pointer transition to be evaluated.
4.3.3.4.5 **GLIDE PATH STRUCTURE:**
Glide path structure is an accurate measurement of the bends and perturbations on the glide path. It is most important to employ an accurate tracking or positioning device for this measurement. This measurement may be made concurrently with the glide path angle measurement. Guidance material concerning course structure evaluation is provided in 2.1.5 of Attachment C to ANO-002-DRTS-1.0.

4.3.3.4.6 **MODULATION:**

4.3.3.4.6.1 **MODULATION BALANCE:**
The modulation balance is measured while radiating the carrier signal only. Position the aircraft close to the glide path angle and note the cross-pointer indication.

4.3.3.4.6.2 **MODULATION DEPTH:**
This check can be best accomplished accurately while the aircraft is "on-path"; therefore, final measurements are best obtained during angle checks. The measurements should be made at a point where the receiver input corresponds to the value at which the receiver modulation depth calibration was made. If the receiver modulation depth indications are influenced significantly by the RF level, measure the modulation depth near Point A. For measurement systems that do not provide separate modulation level outputs, preliminary indications of modulation can be obtained during level runs at the time the aircraft crosses the glide path. The depth of modulation (in per cent) can be obtained by comparing the glide path receiver-flag-alarm-current to the receiver-flag-current-calibration data.

4.3.3.4.7 **OBSTRUCTION CLEARANCE:**
Checks may be made beneath the glide path sector to assure a safe flight path area between the bottom edge of the glide path and any obstructions. To accomplish this check, it is necessary to bias the pilot's indicator or use an expanded scale instrument. Position the aircraft on the localizer front course inbound at approximately five miles from the glide path antenna at an elevation to obtain at least 180 µA "fly-up" indication. Proceed inbound maintaining at least 180 µA clearance until the runway threshold is reached or it is necessary to alter the flight path to clear obstructions. This check will be conducted during monitor checks when the path width is adjusted to the wide alarm limits during which a minimum of 150 µA fly-up is used in lieu of 180 µA. When this check has been made during broad path width monitor limit checks, it need not be accomplished after the path is returned to the normal width of the normal approach envelope, except during the commissioning inspection.
4.3.3.4.8 GLIDE PATH COVERAGE:
This check may be combined with the clearance check using the same flight profile. If a separate flight is made, it is not necessary to continue the approach beyond the intercept with the glide path lower width angle. At site, commissioning, categorization and periodic checks this measurement should be made along the edges of a sector 8° either side of the localizer centre line. Coverage will normally be checked to a distance of 18.5 km (10 NM) from the antenna. Coverage will be checked to a distance greater than 18.5 km (10 NM) to the extent that it is required to support procedural use of the glide path.

4.3.3.4.9 MONITORS:

Note: If checks are required, see Note 2 of Table I-4-8.

4.3.3.4.9.1 Where required, monitor checks may be made using identical measurement methods to those described for glide path angle, displacement sensitivity and clearance. The level flight method for angle and displacement sensitivity should not be used if there is non-linearity in the areas being evaluated.

4.3.3.4.9.2 POWER MONITOR (COMMISSIONING ONLY)
The field strength of the glide path signal should be checked at the limits of its designated coverage volume, with the power reduced to the alarm level. Alternatively, if the monitor alarm limit has been accurately measured by ground inspection, the field strength may be measured under normal operating conditions and the field strength at the alarm limit may be calculated. This check may be made at the same time as clearance and coverage checks.

4.3.3.4.10 PHASING AND ASSOCIATED ENGINEERING SUPPORT TESTS:

4.3.3.4.10.1 The glide path site test is made to determine whether the proposed site will provide satisfactory glide path performance at the required path angle. It is extremely important that the site tests be conducted accurately and completely to avoid resiting costs and unnecessary installation delays. Because this is functionally a site-proving test rather than an inspection of equipment performance, only one transmitter is required.

4.3.3.4.10.2 A preliminary glide path inspection is performed upon completion of the permanent transmitter and antenna installation, but prior to permanent installation of the monitor system. This inspection is conducted on one transmitter as a preliminary confirmation of airborne characteristics of the permanent installation. Additionally, it provides the installation engineer with data that enables the engineer to complete the facility adjustment to the
optimum for the commissioning inspection. This requires the establishment of transmitter settings for monitor alarm limits. These settings will be utilized by ground personnel to determine that the field monitor is installed at its optimum location and that integral monitors are correctly adjusted to achieve the most satisfactory overall monitor response.

4.3.3.4.10.3 The procedures for conducting various glide path engineering support tests are described below. Normally, these checks will be performed by ground methods prior to the flight inspection, and airborne checks will be conducted at the option of the ground technician. It is not intended that they will supplant ground measurements, but that they will confirm and support ground tests. The details of these tests will be included in the flight inspection report.

4.3.3.4.10.4 MODULATION BALANCE:
Although the modulation balance is most easily measured on the ground, it may be measured from the air while radiating the carrier signal only. Fly a simulated “on-path” approach recording the glide path indications. The average deviation of the glide path indication from “on-path” should be noted for use in the phasing check. Ground personnel should be advised of the result. The optimum condition is a perfect balance, i.e. zero on the precision microammeter. If the unbalance is 5 µA or more, corrective action should be taken by ground personnel before continuing this test.

*Note:* Level runs are not satisfactory for this test since shifting of centring may occur in low-signal or null areas.

4.3.3.4.10.5 PHASING — TRANSMITTING ANTENNAS:
The purpose of the phasing test is to determine that optimum phase exists between the radiating antennas. There are several different methods of achieving airborne phasing and these tests should normally be made using the manufacturer's recommended methods. Where difficulty is experienced in achieving airborne phasing to a definite reading by normal procedures, the flight inspector should coordinate with the ground engineer to determine the most advantageous area for conducting the phasing test. When this area and track are determined, it should be noted on the facility data record for use on future phasing tests of that facility.

4.3.3.4.10.6 PHASING — MONITOR SYSTEM:
Some types of glide path integral monitor need flight inspection checks to prove that they will
accurately reproduce the far-field conditions when changes occur in transmitted signal phases. Procedures for making such checks should be developed in conjunction with the manufacturer’s recommendations.

4.3.3.4.10.7 **GLIDE PATH ANTENNA ADJUSTMENT (NULL CHECKS):**

These checks are conducted to determine the vertical angles at which the RF nulls of the various glide path antennas may occur. The information is used by ground staff to assist them in determining the correct heights for the transmitting antennas. The test is made with carrier signals radiating only from each antenna in turn. The procedure for conducting this test is by level flight along the localizer course line. The angles of the nulls will be computed in the same manner as the glide path angle is computed. The nulls are characterized by a sharp fall in signal level.

4.3.3.5 **MARKER BEACONS:**

4.3.3.5.1 **KEYING:**

The keying is checked during an ILS approach over the beacons. The keying is assessed from both the aural and visual indication and is satisfactory when the coded characters are correct, clear and properly spaced. The frequency of the modulating tone can be checked by observing that visual indication is obtained on the correct lamp of a three lamp system, i.e. outer marker (OM) — blue, middle marker (MM) — orange and inner marker (IM) — white.

4.3.3.5.2 **COVERAGE:**

4.3.3.5.2.1 Coverage is determined by flying over the marker beacons during a normal ILS approach on the localizer and glide path and measuring the total distance during which a visual indication is obtained from a calibrated marker receiver and antenna or during which a predetermined RF carrier signal level is obtained. The calibration of receiver/antenna and the determination of the required RF carrier signal level is discussed in Chapter 1.

4.3.3.5.2.2 At commissioning, the coverage should be determined by making a continuous recording of the RF signal strength from the calibrated aircraft antenna, since this allows a more detailed assessment of the ground beacon performance. The visual indication distance should be noted for comparison with subsequent routine checks. For outline checks, measuring the distance over which the visual indication is received will usually be sufficient, although the above procedure of recording signal strength is recommended.
4.3.3.5.2.3 The signal strength recording should be examined to ensure that there are no side-lobes of sufficient signal strength to cause false indications, and that there are no areas of weak signal strength within the main lobe.

4.3.3.5.2.4 At commissioning, a check should be made that the centre of the coverage area is in the correct position. This will usually be over the marker beacon but in some cases, due to siting difficulties, the polar axis of the marker beacon radiation pattern may have to be other than vertical. Reference should then be made to the operational procedures to determine the correct location of the centre-of-coverage, with respect to some recognizable point on the ground. The centre-of-coverage can be checked during the coverage flights described above, by marking the continuous recording when the aircraft is directly over the marker beacon (or other defined point). On a normal approach there should be a well-defined separation (in the order of 4.5 seconds at 180 km/hr (95 kt)) between the indications obtained from each marker.

4.3.3.5.2.5 At commissioning, categorization and annual inspections, a check should also be made to ensure that operationally acceptable marker beacon indications are obtained when an approach is made on the glide path but displaced ±75 µA from the localizer centre. The time at which the indication is obtained will usually be shorter than when on the localizer centre.

4.3.3.5.3 MONITOR SYSTEM:

4.3.3.5.3.1 At commissioning, the coverage should be measured with the marker beacon operating at 50 per cent of normal power and with the modulation depth reduced to 50 per cent. An operationally usable indication should still be obtained; if not, the power should be increased to provide an indication and the monitor adjusted to alarm at this level.

4.3.3.5.3.2 Alternatively, the coverage under monitor alarm conditions can be determined by analysing the field strength recording as detailed in 4.3.3.5.2.

4.3.3.5.4 STANDBY EQUIPMENT (IF INSTALLED):

At commissioning, the standby equipment is checked in the same manner as the main equipment. It will usually not be necessary to check both the main and standby equipment at each routine check, if the equipment operation has been scheduled so that the routine checks are carried out on each equipment alternately.

4.3.4 CHARTS AND REPORTS:

4.3.4.1 GENERAL:

The ILS flight inspection report records the conformance of the facility performance to the Standards defined in ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 as well as the
equipment specific standards established by the authorized flight inspection organization and the responsible ground maintenance organization. Tables I-4-7 and I-4-8 list the parameters to be measured for localizer and glide path facilities, as well as localizer back course approaches. Table I-4-9 summarizes the parameters to be measured for ILS Marker Beacons. It is recommended that the flight inspection report include an assessment of the parameters listed in Tables I-4-7 through I-4-9, which are appropriate for the type of inspection. Flight inspection reports should allow for “As found” and “As left” results to be entered for routine documentation of the adjustments made to facilities.

### 4.3.4.2 REPORT CONTENTS

The ILS flight inspection report should contain the following minimum information:

- **4.3.4.2.1** basic identification items such as the aircraft tail number, facility name, facility identifier, category and type of inspection, date and time of inspection, names of the pilot and engineer or technician;
- **4.3.4.2.2** a summary listing of the run numbers, chart recordings or data files, which were analysed to produce the report;
- **4.3.4.2.3** a general comments section where pertinent information regarding the conduct of the inspection can be included;
- **4.3.4.2.4** a results section for each measured parameter indicating the value obtained, whether or not it conforms to requirements and the recording or data file from which the result was measured;
- **4.3.4.2.5** acceptability of performance is determined by measurements; however, flight inspection pilots should report any instances where flight manoeuvres and/or flight attitudes in instrument approaches resulting from course line/glide path irregularities are considered unacceptable;
- **4.3.4.2.6** a status section indicating the operational status of the facility; and
- **4.3.4.2.7** the type of flight inspection system used (AFIS, theodolite, manual, etc.).

### 4.3.4.3 SAMPLE FLIGHT INSPECTION REPORT

Flight inspection reports can take several forms, varying from hand-filled paper forms to computer generated text files or database forms. Appendix A to this chapter shows a sample computer generated flight inspection report for a routine ILS inspection. The cover page provides many of the basic identification items listed above, along with the operational status of the facility and configuration of the system software used. Page one includes a run directory, antenna calibration data, and comments entered during the inspection. Pages two to five contain the numeric results for alignment, structure, course width and clearance parameters. They are organized by “As found” and “As left” for each transmitter inspected. The figures in the Appendix are sample plots that can be added to the report to enhance the meaning of the numbers reported in the body of the report.

### 4.3.4.4 ANALYSIS

- **4.3.4.4.1** **GENERAL:**
  
  This section provides brief material related to special topics
involved with analysis of ground and flight testing of ILS facilities. In addition, consider-able material on the analysis of ILS testing results is published in Attachment C to ANO-002-DRTS-1.0.

4.3.4.4.2 STRUCTURE ANALYSIS:

Analysis of localizer course line and glide path angle structure is dependent upon aircraft speed, the time constant of receiver and recording equipment, and various other factors. Guidance on these topics can be found in Attachment C, 2.1.4 and its preceding note, and 2.1.5 through 2.1.7 of ANO-002-DRTS-1.0.

4.3.4.4.3 COMPUTATION OF DISPLACEMENT SENSITIVITY:

Displacement sensitivity is typically measured with orbital flights on localizers, and level inbound runs on glide slopes. Analogous measurements can be made for ground testing. In each case, the azimuth (localizer) or elevation (glide path) angles, at which nominal DDM values of 150 µA (75 µA) occur, are determined, and the sensitivity computed, taking into account the distance from the antenna system at which the measurements were taken. Particularly on glide path measurements, it is common for the DDM recording to be non-linear if significant multipath conditions exist. In these cases, the measurements may need to be taken at DDM values other than those stated above between which linearity is maintained, and the calculated sensitivity scaled to the nominal value.

4.3.4.4.4 REFERENCE DATUM HEIGHT (RDH):

For commissioning and categorization flight tests, it may be necessary to determine the glide path RDH. This is done using a high-quality approach recording, from which the angle and structure measurements are made. Position-corrected DDM values for a selected portion of the approach (typically Point A to Point B for Category I facilities, and the last nautical mile of the approach for Category II and III facilities) are used in a linear regression to extend a best-fit line downward to a point above the threshold. The height of this line above the threshold is used as the RDH. If the tolerances are not met, an engineering analysis is necessary to determine whether the facility has been sited correctly. A different portion of the approach should be used for the regression analysis, or another type of analytical technique should be used.

4.3.5 TEST EQUIPMENT:

4.3.5.1 GENERAL:

4.3.5.1.1 As described in Chapter 1, a flight inspection system is composed of two distinct subsystems, one dedicated to the measurement and processing of the radio signals provided by the facilities to be inspected, and another dedicated to the determination of the positioning of the flight inspection aircraft.

4.3.5.1.2 The following paragraphs define minimal performances of the equipment constituting the radio signals in flight measurement subsystems and recommend calibration procedures to reach them. They highlight the level of equipment needed to verify compliance with the requirements specified in ANO-002-DRTS-
1.0, for the different facility performance categories of ILS.

4.3.5.3.1 A flight-testing system may use equipment other than ILS receivers normally used for aircraft navigation (e.g. bench test equipment or portable ground maintenance receivers). Care should be used to ensure that this equipment performs the same as conventional, high-quality aircraft equipment.

4.3.5.1.4 For convenience reasons, the assessment of the accuracy of the reception and processing equipment of the radio subsystem will be made in units suitable to parameters to be measured — in microamperes. To ensure a simple equivalence between the different units in which tolerances are expressed, the following relations are used: $1 \mu A = 0.01^\circ$ for a distance of 4000 m (13000 ft) between the localizer antenna and the threshold, and $1 \mu A = 0.005^\circ$ for a glide path angle of 3 degrees.

4.3.5.2 ACCURACY:

4.3.5.2.1 UNCERTAINTY:
Whatever the measured parameter, the uncertainty on the measure has to be small by comparison with the tolerances applied to the measured parameter. A ratio of five is the minimum required.

4.3.5.2.2 TREATMENT OF ERROR SOURCES
The evaluation of parameters such as course alignment and displacement sensitivity is performed by the radio electrical and positioning subsystems. These measurements are polluted by the specific errors of these two subsystems. By nature, these errors are independent, and it is allowable to consider that the global statistical error on the parameter to be measured is equal to the square root of the sum of the squares of the equally weighted errors of the two parts of the system.

4.3.5.3 FLIGHT INSPECTION EQUIPMENT:

4.3.5.3.1 GENERAL:
To reach the fixed goal concerning accuracy, it is necessary to consider the performance of the reception and processing parts of the flight inspection.

4.3.5.3.2 AIRCRAFT ILS ANTENNAS:
To minimize the errors due to implementation, antennas should be installed according to the recommendations listed in Chapter 1. As an example of this importance, note that when the aircraft is over the runway threshold, a vertical displacement of 6 cm (2.5 inches) is equal to approximately $0.01^\circ$ in elevation angle, observed from the glide path tracking site.

4.3.5.3.3 THE ILS FLIGHT INSPECTION RECEIVERS:
The receivers used should measure, at a minimum, the DDM, SDM, signal input level and modulations depths. For integrity and technical comfort, the simultaneous use of two receivers is strongly recommended. This redundancy offers a protection against errors that might occur during the flight inspection.
because of unexpected short-term changes in a receiver’s performance. A divergence of their output signals can therefore be noted immediately.

4.3.5.4 ACQUISITION AND PROCESSING EQUIPMENT:

Equipment constituting the acquisition and processing sub-system should have such a performance that it does not degrade the acquired parameters. It is necessary that signal acquisition occurs synchronously with the positioning determination of the plane, to compare measurements that correspond in time. It will be possible to convert, by the use of calibration tables, the radio electrical signals into usual physical units with a convenient resolution, and to take into account the actual functioning of the receiver in its operational environment. The graphic display and record should be such that they will allow the flight inspector to evaluate fluctuations of signals against the required tolerances.

4.3.5.4 CALIBRATION:

4.3.5.4.1 GENERAL:

The data provided by the reception and acquisition subsystem will vary with changes in working conditions, e.g. changes in the ambient temperature, the supply voltage, the input signal level, the frequency of modulating tones, the operating frequency, etc. Before using a given type receiver for flight inspection purposes, its comportment in the different working conditions should be known, and calibration procedures as complete as possible should be developed to establish a quantitative relationship between the outputs of the receiver and probable changes in the operational environment. It is also necessary to evaluate the stability of the receiver to determine the maximal time interval that separates two consecutive calibrations.

4.3.5.4.2 INTEGRATION OF AN ILS GENERATOR ON BOARD:

To guarantee the accuracy required, the integration, in a permanent position, of an ILS signal generator is strongly recommended in any flight inspection system. The availability of the generator allows the flight inspector to:

4.3.5.4.2.1 perform receiver calibration in the plane rather than in the laboratory on the ground, allowing calibration of the complete subsystem in its environment;

4.3.5.4.2.2 resolve divergence of the two receivers during the flight;

4.3.5.4.2.3 update, if necessary during a mission, the calibration tables;

4.3.5.4.2.4 refine measurements on the actual ILS frequency to be inspected, since the provided calibration tables are usually established on two or three frequencies (middle and extremity of the band); and

4.3.5.4.2.5 compare, before the flight, the standard of measurements with that used by ILS ground maintenance people, avoiding decorrelation.
between ground and in-flight measurements, saving wasted flight hours.

4.3.5.3 CALIBRATION STANDARDS:

A signal generator having identical performance to those used by ground maintenance people should be used to calibrate the flight inspection measurement subsystem.

4.3.5.4 CALIBRATION PROCEDURES:

4.3.5.4.1 Calibration procedures of the reception and acquisition subsystem cannot be defined by a universal procedure. These procedures essentially depend on the chosen equipment that can behave differently in a given operational environment. In every case, it will be necessary to refer to the manufacturer’s recommendations.

4.3.5.4.2 In the case where receivers deliver electrical voltages characterizing signals to be measured, calibration tables are first necessary to provide changes of units. Some equipment delivers the flight inspection parameters directly in the desired units, and calibration tables converting the different voltages into suitable units are not required in this case. Nevertheless, it is necessary to correct some errors of the subsystem (for instance, receiver centring error), and limited calibration procedures have to be defined accordingly. It is necessary to establish enough calibration tables so that those established for a given frequency may be transposable to nearby ILS frequencies without significant error.

4.3.5.4.3 The tables to be developed are outlined below.

Localizer: For a given VHF frequency

\[ V_{agc} = f(input\ level), \]
input level varying from: -104 dBm to -18 dBm

\[ I_{dev} = f(input\ level), \]
input level varying from: -90 dBm to -18 dBm

and for: DDM = 0

- DDM = 0.155 in the 90 Hz
- DDM = 0.155 in the 150 Hz

\[ I_{flag} = f(input\ level), \]
input level varying from: -90 dBm to -18 dBm

and for modulation depths varying from 17 per cent to 23 per cent,

\[ V_{90Hz} \text{ and } V_{150Hz} = f(modulation\ depth), \]
for different values of the modulation depths, their sum remaining constant, and at different values of input level.
Glide path: For a given UHF frequency

\[ V_{\text{agc}} = f(\text{input level}), \]
input level varying from: -104 dBm to -18 dBm

\[ I_{\text{dev}} = f(\text{input level}), \]
input level varying from: -90 dBm to -18 dBm
and for: DDM = 0

DDM = 0.088 in the 90 Hz
DDM = 0.088 in the 150 Hz

\[ I_{\text{flag}} = f(\text{input level}), \]
input level varying from: -90 dBm to -18 dBm
and for modulation depths varying from 34 per cent to 46 per cent.

\[ V_{90\text{Hz}} & V_{150\text{Hz}} = f(\text{modulation depth}) \]
for different values of the modulation depths, their sum remaining constant, and at different values of injection.

Note: The different values to be chosen for localizer and glide path calibration tables depend on the receiver response and on the generator possibilities.

4.3.6 POSITIONING:

4.3.6.1 GENERAL:

The evaluation of some parameters includes a combination of errors coming from the radio electrical outputs and from the positioning subsystem. By nature these errors are independent, and it is acceptable to consider that the global statistical error on the parameter to be measured is equal to the square root of the sum of the squares of the equally weighted errors of the two parts of the system. Whatever the measured parameter is, the measurement uncertainty should be small compared with the tolerances for that parameter. A ratio of five is the minimum required.

4.3.6.2 ACCURACY REQUIRED:

4.3.6.2.1 The required accuracies are calculated by converting tolerances on the different ILS parameters into degrees, using the following formulas:

Loc alignment tolerance = ± (tolerance in µA × nominal sector width / 150) degrees

GP alignment tolerance = θ ± (tolerance in µA × nominal sector width / 150) degrees

Loc or GP sector tolerance = nominal sector × [150/ (150 ± tolerance in µA)] degrees

4.3.6.2.2 In Table I-4-10, the minimum accuracies of the positioning are calculated from adjust and maintain tolerances. The tables show that the accuracy of the aircraft positioning measurement has to
be better than 1/100 of a degree for Category III localizer and glide path.

4.3.6.3 ERROR BUDGET:

4.3.6.3.1 The different components of the error budget relative to the positioning measurement of the plane are listed below:

4.3.6.3.1.1 the uncertainty on the database, describing geometrically, the field and the facility to be inspected (definition of every characteristic point in the runway reference coordinates system);

4.3.6.3.1.2 the uncertainty on the platform coordinates \((x, y, z)\) on which the positioning system is set up (definition of some of them within one centimetre);

4.3.6.3.1.3 the lack of care in setting up the positioning system on the ground;

4.3.6.3.1.4 the instrumental error within its operating limits defined by the manufacturer;

4.3.6.3.1.5 the error due to the atmospheric refraction if optical or infra-red tracker is used;

4.3.6.3.1.6 the parallax error due to the fact that the positioning system and the phase centre of the facility to be measured are not collocated;

4.3.6.3.1.7 the error due to the fact that the reference aircraft positioning point and the localizer or glide path antenna are not collocated; and

4.3.6.3.1.8 the conical effect of the radiated pattern of the glide path in the final part of the approach and the fact that the ground reflection surface is not a perfect plane.

4.3.6.3.2 To reduce the three last components listed above, it is necessary to use high accuracy devices providing distance (to a few metres), heading and attitude (to about 0.1 degree each) information. If distance, heading, and attitude parameters are not available, a crosswind limit should be set allowing measurement accuracies to be within the limits required.

4.4 ILS-RELATED TOPICS:

4.4.1 GENERAL:

This section deals with technical issues that are not solely related to ground- or flight-testing.

4.4.2 TWO-FREQUENCY SYSTEM ISSUES:

4.4.2.1 LOCALIZER RECEIVER CAPTURE PERFORMANCE:

4.4.2.1.1 When receiving signals from a two-frequency capture-effect localizer system, some receivers exhibit a strong capture performance. Where the signals differ in strength by more than 5 or 6 dB, the receiver will completely ignore the weaker signal.
Other receivers require the signals to differ by more than 10 dB before the weaker signal is completely ignored.

4.4.2.1.2 This effect shows its presence when inspecting a localizer with a combination of clearance signal reflections onto the centre line and poor clearance carrier suppression on the centre line. If the receiver detector is not completely captured by the course signal on the centre line, it will respond to clearance signals. The result of this will be an increase in the measured amplitude of centre line bends.

4.4.2.1.3 The outcome of this effect is that on localizers with poor clearance suppression on the centre line, the measured bend amplitude is dependent on the receiver used for the measurement. Normally this effect is not noticed, but if an inspection of such a localizer is made using different types of receiver, the results can be confusing, unless this problem is understood.

4.4.2.2 RECEIVER PASSBAND RIPPLE

4.4.2.2.1 Some flight inspection (and user) receivers have up to 6 dB of ripple in the IF passband. This can give rise to unusual results when inspecting a two-frequency capture-effect system. In regions where either the course or clearance signal predominates, a high passband ripple has little effect. Problems are only caused in the transition region where course and clearance signals are of equal signal strength.

4.4.2.2.2 As an example, some two-frequency systems are operated with the course and clearance frequencies interchanged between the main and standby transmitters. This can result, for example in the course signals of TX1 being received on a peak in the IF passband response, and the clearance signals being received in a trough of the passband response. The reverse is true when receiving TX2. The result is that in certain areas, TX1 and TX2 will have differing flight inspection results although ground measurements will show no difference between the two transmitters.

4.4.2.2.3 The largest discrepancies between the two transmitters for glide paths are normally seen when checking the azimuth coverage at ±8°, at 0.459 and when examining the above-path signal near 1.759. This is not considered a serious problem, but awareness of it can save time by avoiding ground tests for discrepancies which in reality do not exist.

4.4.2.3 RECEIVER DDM PROCESSING

4.4.2.3.1 Several types of receivers that are in common use for flight inspection and navigation process the received DDM before providing an output to the recording or navigation equipment. This can affect measurements made on localizers where the modulation sum in the clearance region rises to values much higher than the nominal 40 per cent. These high values of measured values are common for many antenna systems with small apertures, e.g. a small number of elements installed on longer runways requiring smaller course widths. Paragraph D3.1.3.5.3.6.1 of ANO-002-DRTS-1.0, limits the SDM to a maximum value of 60 per cent for equipment installed after 1...
January 2000. (This limit is not applied to arrays installed before that date.)

4.4.2.3.2 There are several different processing algorithms used by receiver manufacturers. One commonly used algorithm normalizes the DDM whenever the modulation sum exceeds 40 per cent. The process divides the absolute DDM by the modulation sum and then multiplies the result by 40. This means that if the modulation sum is 80 per cent, the absolute DDM figure will be halved.

4.4.2.3.3 This does not represent a problem for flight inspection use, but it is essential that the exact processing algorithm is known. This is particularly important where a flight inspection is being made to examine cases of false localizer capture. It is also important to know the processing algorithms in the navigation receivers fitted to the aircraft reporting the problem.

4.4.2.4 LOCALIZER FALSE CAPTURE:

4.4.2.4.1 If a localizer with regions of high modulation depth outside the course sector is examined by a flight inspection system with no DDM processing, it will show a high value of DDM over the entire clearance region and would appear to conform to published specifications. However, an aircraft whose navigation receivers have the DDM processing described in 4.4.2.3 could make an autocoupled approach to the localizer from a wide angle. As the aircraft enters the region of high modulation depth, the processed DDM from the receiver will fall rapidly and may be interpreted by the autopilot as entering the course sector and a capture manoeuvre will be instigated. There are other factors involved in this problem, such as the capture level setting of the autopilot, but the various DDM processing algorithms have a great influence.

4.4.2.4.2 With certain types of localizer antenna systems, it is difficult to eliminate the regions of high modulation depth without affecting the sector width. It is very important to know exactly what processing has been applied to the DDM being recorded. It is then possible to calculate whether the localizer could cause problems for any of the aircraft, which may use it for autocoupled approaches.
### Table I-4-1  Summary of testing requirements — localizer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER</th>
<th>ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 REFERENCE</th>
<th>TESTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Voice feature</td>
<td>D3.1.3.8</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation balance and depth</td>
<td>D3.1.3.5</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement sensitivity</td>
<td>D3.1.3.7</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-course clearance</td>
<td>D3.1.3.7.4</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-angle clearance</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course alignment accuracy</td>
<td>D3.1.3.6</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course structure</td>
<td>D3.1.3.4</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage (usable distance)</td>
<td>D3.1.3.3</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polarization</td>
<td>D3.1.3.2.2</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor system</td>
<td>D3.1.3.11</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phasing</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orientation</td>
<td>D3.1.3.1</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>D3.1.3.2</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spurious modulation</td>
<td>D3.1.3.2.3</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier modulation frequency</td>
<td>D3.1.3.5.3</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier modulation harmonic content 90 Hz</td>
<td>D3.1.3.5.3.d)</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier modulation harmonic content 150 Hz</td>
<td>D3.1.3.5.3.e)</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unwanted modulation</td>
<td>D3.1.3.5.3.2</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase of modulation tones</td>
<td>D3.1.3.5.3.3</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase of modulation tones dual frequency systems</td>
<td>D3.1.3.5.3.4</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phasing of alternative systems</td>
<td>D3.1.3.5.3.5</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of modulation depths</td>
<td>D3.1.3.5.3.6</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of modulation depths when utilizing radiotelephony</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>communications</td>
<td>D3.1.3.5.3.7</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency and phase modulation</td>
<td>D3.1.3.5.4</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDM increase linear</td>
<td>D3.1.3.7.4</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voice no interference to basic function</td>
<td>D3.1.3.8.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase to avoid null on dual frequency systems</td>
<td>D3.1.3.8.3.1</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak modulation depth</td>
<td>D3.1.3.8.3.2</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio frequency characteristic</td>
<td>D3.1.3.8.3.3</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification — no interference with guidance information</td>
<td>D3.1.3.9.1</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification tone frequency</td>
<td>D3.1.3.9.2</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification modulation depth</td>
<td>D3.1.3.9.2</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification speed</td>
<td>D3.1.3.9.4</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification repetition rate</td>
<td>D3.1.3.9.4</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring — total time of out-of-tolerance radiation</td>
<td>D3.1.3.11.3</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back course sector width</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back course alignment</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back course structure</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back course modulation depth</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: N/A = Not applicable  
F      = Flight inspection  
G      = Ground test
### Table I-4-2 Summary of testing requirements — glide path

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER</th>
<th>ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 REFERENCE</th>
<th>TESTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment</td>
<td>D3.1.5.1.2.2, D3.1.5.1.4</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of reference datum</td>
<td>D3.1.5.1.5, D3.1.5.1.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement sensitivity</td>
<td>D3.1.5.6</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearance below and above path</td>
<td>D3.1.5.3.1, D3.1.5.6.5</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glide path structure</td>
<td>D3.1.5.4</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation balance and depth</td>
<td>D3.1.5.5.1</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstruction clearance</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage (usable distance)</td>
<td>D3.1.5.3</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor system</td>
<td>D3.1.5.7</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phasing</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orientation</td>
<td>D3.1.5.1.1</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>D3.1.5.2.1</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polarization</td>
<td>D3.1.5.2.2</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unwanted modulation</td>
<td>D3.1.5.2.3</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier modulation frequency</td>
<td>D3.1.5.5.2</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier modulation harmonic content 90 Hz</td>
<td>D3.1.5.5.2 d) , D3.1.5.5.3 e)</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier modulation harmonic content 150 Hz</td>
<td>D3.1.5.5.3</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unwanted amplitude modulation</td>
<td>D3.1.5.5.2.2</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase of modulation tones</td>
<td>D3.1.5.5.3</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase of modulation tones, dual frequency systems</td>
<td>D3.1.5.5.3.1</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase of modulation tones, alternative systems</td>
<td>D3.1.5.5.3.2</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring — total time of out of tolerance radiation</td>
<td>D3.1.5.7.3.1</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: N/A = Not applicable  
F = Flight inspection  
G = Ground test

### Table I-4-3 Summary of testing requirements — markers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER</th>
<th>ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 REFERENCE</th>
<th>TESTING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Keying</td>
<td>D3.1.7.4, D3.1.7.5</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage indication and field strength</td>
<td>D3.1.7.3, 3.1.7.3.2</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor system</td>
<td>D3.1.7.7</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standby equipment</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>D3.1.7.2.1</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF output power</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier modulation</td>
<td>D3.1.7.4.2</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier modulation frequency</td>
<td>D3.1.7.4.1</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier modulation harmonic content</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor system</td>
<td>D3.1.7.7.1</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend: N/A = Not applicable  
F = Flight inspection  
G = Ground test
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 Reference</th>
<th>MNL-002-ARTS-1.0 Reference</th>
<th>Measurand</th>
<th>Tolerance (See Note 1)</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
<th>Periodicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orientation</td>
<td>D3.1.3.1</td>
<td>Orientation</td>
<td>Correct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>D3.1.3.2.1</td>
<td>4.2.3.2.4</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Frequency single: 0.005%</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dual: 0.002%</td>
<td>0.0005%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Separation: &gt;5 kHz &lt;14 kHz.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spurious modulation</td>
<td>D3.1.3.2.3</td>
<td>DDM, Deviation</td>
<td>&lt;0.005 DDM peak-to-peak</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.001 DDM</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage (usable distance)</td>
<td>D3.1.3.3.1</td>
<td>4.2.3.2.5</td>
<td>Power</td>
<td>As set at commissioning. See Note 2.</td>
<td>1 dB</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course structure (Category III only)</td>
<td>D3.1.3.4</td>
<td>4.2.3.2.1.1, 4.2.3.2.1.2</td>
<td>DDM</td>
<td>As described in ANO-002-DRTS-1.0.</td>
<td>0.001 DDM</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier modulation - Balance</td>
<td>D3.1.3.5.1</td>
<td>4.2.3.2.7</td>
<td>DDM, Depth</td>
<td>Within 10 µA of the modulation balance value. 18-22%</td>
<td>0.001 DDM 0.2%</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier modulation frequency</td>
<td>D3.1.3.5.3</td>
<td>4.2.3.2.6</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Cat I: ±2.5%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat II: ±1.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat III: ±1%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier modulation harmonic content (90 Hz)</td>
<td>D3.1.3.5.3 d)</td>
<td>4.2.3.2.9</td>
<td>Total 2nd harmonic</td>
<td>&lt;10%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;5% (Cat III)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier modulation harmonic content (150 Hz)</td>
<td>D3.1.3.5.3 e)</td>
<td>4.2.3.2.9</td>
<td>Total 2nd harmonic</td>
<td>&lt;10%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;5% (Cat III)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unwanted modulation</td>
<td>D3.1.3.5.3.2</td>
<td>4.2.3.2.10</td>
<td>Ripple</td>
<td>Modulation depth &lt;0.5%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>Semi-annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase of modulation tones</td>
<td>D3.1.3.5.3.3</td>
<td>4.2.3.2.10</td>
<td>LF phase</td>
<td>Cat I, II: &lt;20°</td>
<td>4°</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat III: &lt;10°</td>
<td>2°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specification</td>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Method</td>
<td>Measurement</td>
<td>Limit</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase of modulation tones dual frequency systems (each carrier and between carriers)</td>
<td>D3.1.3.5.3.4</td>
<td>4.2.3.2.10 LF phase</td>
<td>Cat I, II: &lt;20° Cat III: &lt;10°</td>
<td>4° 2°</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phasing of alternative systems</td>
<td>D3.1.3.5.3.5</td>
<td>4.2.3.2.10 LF phase</td>
<td>Cat I, II, nominal: ±20° Cat III nominal: ±10°</td>
<td>4° 2°</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of modulation depths</td>
<td>D3.1.3.5.3.6</td>
<td>4.2.3.2.7 Modulation depth</td>
<td>Modulation depth &lt;95%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum of modulation depths when using radiotelephony communications</td>
<td>D3.1.3.5.3.7</td>
<td>4.2.3.2.7 Modulation depth</td>
<td>Modulation depth &lt;65% ±10°, &lt;78% beyond 10°</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Course alignment</td>
<td>D3.1.3.6.1</td>
<td>4.2.3.2.1 DDM, Distance</td>
<td>Cat I: &lt;10.5 m. See Note 2. Cat II: &lt;7.5 m Cat III: &lt;3 m</td>
<td>0.3 m</td>
<td>I: Quarterly II: Monthly III: Weekly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement sensitivity</td>
<td>D3.1.3.7</td>
<td>4.2.3.2.2 DDM/metre</td>
<td>0.00145 nominal. See Note 2. Cat I, II: ±17% Cat III: ±10%</td>
<td>±3% ±2%</td>
<td>I, II: Quarterly III: Monthly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak modulation depth</td>
<td>D3.1.3.8.3.2</td>
<td>4.2.3.2.2 Modulation depth</td>
<td>&lt;50%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio frequency characteristic</td>
<td>D3.1.3.8.3.3</td>
<td>4.2.3.2.2 Modulation depth</td>
<td>±3dB</td>
<td>0.5 dB</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification tone frequency</td>
<td>D3.1.3.9.2</td>
<td>4.2.3.2.8 Tone frequency</td>
<td>1020 ±50 Hz</td>
<td>5 Hz</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification modulation depth</td>
<td>D3.1.3.9.2</td>
<td>4.2.3.2.8 Modulation depth</td>
<td>As commissioned.</td>
<td>1 %</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification speed</td>
<td>D3.1.3.9.2</td>
<td>1 020 ±50 Hz</td>
<td>As commissioned.</td>
<td>1 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification repetition rate</td>
<td>D3.1.3.9.4</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>As commissioned.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Phase modulation | D3.1.3.5.4 | 4.2.3.2.11 | Peak deviation | Limits given in FM Hz/PM radians: see Note 5.  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>90 Hz</th>
<th>150 Hz</th>
<th>Diff (Hz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cat I:</td>
<td>135/1.5</td>
<td>135/0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat II:</td>
<td>60/0.66</td>
<td>60/0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat III:</td>
<td>45/0.5</td>
<td>45/0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitoring</th>
<th>DDM, Distance</th>
<th>See Note 2.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>– Course shift</td>
<td>D3.1.3.11.2</td>
<td>4.2.3.2.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Monitor must alarm for a shift in the main course line from the runway centre line equivalent to or more than the following distances at the ILS reference datum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat I: 10.5 m (35 ft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat II: 7.5 m (25 ft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat III: 6.0 m (20 ft)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I Quarterly II Monthly III Weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See Notes 3 and 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Change in displacement sensitivity</td>
<td>D3.1.3.11.2 f)</td>
<td>4.2.3.2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Monitor must alarm for a change in displacement sensitivity to a value differing from the nominal value by more than:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat I: 17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat II: 17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat III: 17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>±3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>±3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>±3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Required only for certain types of localizer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Clearance signal</td>
<td>D3.1.3.11.2.1</td>
<td>DDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Monitor must alarm when the off-course clearance cross-pointer deflection falls below 150 µA anywhere in the off-course coverage area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>±5 µA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notes:

1. In general, the equipment settings should not be modified if the listed parameters are within 50 per cent of tolerance. See 4.2.54 and 4.2.55.
2. After the commissioning, flight check for the localizer, ground measurements of course alignment, displacement sensitivity, and power output should be made, both for normal and monitor alarm conditions. These measurements should be noted and used as reference in subsequent routine check measurements.
3. The periodicity for monitor tests may be increased if supported by an analysis of integrity and stability history.
4. These tests also apply to those parameters measured by the far-field monitor, if installed.
5. This measurement applies to the difference in peak frequency deviation between the separate measurements of the undesired 90 Hz FM (or equivalent PM) and the 150 Hz FM, using the filters specified in the table in 4.2.23.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>D3.1.3.11.2 d) and e)</th>
<th>4.2.3.2.15</th>
<th>Power field strength</th>
<th>Monitor must alarm either for a power reduction of 3 dB, or when the coverage falls below the requirement for the facility, whichever is the smaller change.</th>
<th>±1 dB relative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in power</td>
<td>D3.1.3.11.3</td>
<td>4.2.3.2.12</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>For two-frequency localizers, the monitor must alarm for a change</td>
<td>±5 µA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total time, out-of-tolerance radiation</td>
<td>D3.1.3.11.2 d) and e)</td>
<td>4.2.3.2.15</td>
<td>Power field strength</td>
<td>Monitor must alarm either for a power reduction of 3 dB, or when the coverage falls below the requirement for the facility, whichever is the smaller change.</td>
<td>±1 dB relative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 Reference</td>
<td>MNL-002-ARTS-1.0 Reference</td>
<td>Measurand</td>
<td>Tolerance (See Note 1)</td>
<td>Uncertainty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orientation</td>
<td>D3.1.5.1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Orientation</td>
<td>Correct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Path angle</td>
<td>D3.1.5.1.2.2</td>
<td>4.2.3.3.1</td>
<td>DDM, Angle</td>
<td>See Note 2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat I: Within 7.5% of nominal angle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat II: Within 7.5% of nominal angle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat III: Within 4% of nominal angle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>D3.1.5.2.1</td>
<td>4.2.3.3.4</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Single 0.005% Dual 0.002%</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Separation &gt;4 kHz, &lt;32 kHz</td>
<td>0.0005%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0005%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unwanted modulation</td>
<td>D3.1.5.2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>DDM</td>
<td>±0.02 DDM peak-to-peak</td>
<td>0.004 DDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage (usable distance)</td>
<td>D3.1.5.3</td>
<td>4.2.3.3.5</td>
<td>Power</td>
<td>As commissioned.</td>
<td>1 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier modulation (See Note 3)</td>
<td>D3.1.5.5.1</td>
<td>4.2.3.3.7</td>
<td>Modulation depth</td>
<td>0.002 DDM</td>
<td>0.001 DDM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>37.5% to 42.5% for each tone</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier modulation frequency</td>
<td>D3.1.5.5.2 a), b), and c)</td>
<td>4.2.3.3.6</td>
<td>Frequency of</td>
<td>Cat I: 2.5%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>modulation tones</td>
<td>Cat II: 1.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat III: 1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier modulation harmonic content (90 Hz)</td>
<td>D3.1.5.5.2 d)</td>
<td>4.2.3.3.8</td>
<td>Total 2nd harmonic</td>
<td>&lt;10%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;5% (Cat III)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier modulation harmonic content (150 Hz)</td>
<td>D3.1.5.5.2 e)</td>
<td>4.2.3.3.8</td>
<td>Total 2nd harmonic</td>
<td>&lt;10%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;5% (Cat III)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unwanted amplitude modulation</td>
<td>D3.1.5.5.2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ripple</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table Entry</td>
<td>D3.1.5.5.x</td>
<td>4.2.3.3.n</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Cat I, II:</td>
<td>Cat III:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase of modulation tones</td>
<td>D3.1.5.5.3</td>
<td>4.2.3.3.9</td>
<td>Phase</td>
<td>&lt;20°</td>
<td>&lt;10°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase of modulation tones, dual frequency systems (each carrier and between carriers)</td>
<td>D3.1.5.5.3.1</td>
<td>4.2.3.3.9</td>
<td>Phase</td>
<td>&lt;20°</td>
<td>&lt;10°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase of modulation tones, alternative systems</td>
<td>D3.1.5.5.3.2</td>
<td>4.2.3.3.9</td>
<td>Phase</td>
<td>Nominal ± 20°</td>
<td>Nominal ± 10°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement sensitivity</td>
<td>D3.1.5.6</td>
<td>4.2.3.3.2</td>
<td>DDM, Angle</td>
<td>Refer to ANO-002-DRTS-1.0.D3.1.5.6</td>
<td>See Note 2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase modulation</td>
<td>D3.1.5.5.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Peak deviation</td>
<td>Limits given in FM Hz / PM radians:</td>
<td>90 Hz 150 Hz Diff (Hz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring (See Note 4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DDM, Angle</td>
<td>See Note 2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Path angle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat I: Monitor must alarm for a change in the angle between the glide path and the line below the glide path at which 75 μA is obtained, by more than 3.75% of path angle.</td>
<td>Cat II: Monitor must alarm for a change in displacement sensitivity by more than 25%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in displacement sensitivity</td>
<td>D3.1.5.7.1a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3.1.5.7.1 d), e)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Units</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction in Power</td>
<td>D3.1.5.7.1 b), c)</td>
<td>Power</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monitor must alarm either for a power reduction of 3 dB, or when the coverage falls below the requirement for the facility, whichever is the smaller change. For two-frequency glide paths, the monitor must alarm for a change of ±1 dB in either carrier, unless tests have proved that use of the wider limits above will not cause unacceptable signal degradation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearance signal</td>
<td>D3.1.5.7.1 g)</td>
<td>DDM, Angle</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monitor must alarm for DDM&lt;0.175 below path clearance area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total time of out-of-tolerance radiation</td>
<td>D3.1.5.7.3.1</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat I: 6 s&lt;br&gt;Cat II, III: 2 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. In general, the equipment settings should not be modified if the listed parameters are within 50 per cent of the given tolerances. See 4.2.54 and 4.2.55.
2a) After the commissioning, flight check for the glide path, ground measurements of glide path angle, displacement sensitivity, and clearance below path, may be made, both for normal and monitor alarm conditions. These measurements may be used as reference in subsequent routine check measurements.
2b) After the commissioning, flight check for the glide path and ground measurements of the glide path power should be made, both for normal and monitor alarm conditions. These measurements may be used as reference in subsequent routine check measurements.
3. The tolerances given are for routine checks only. All parameters should be set to nominal values at the time of commissioning.
4. The periodicity for monitor tests may be increased if supported by an analysis of integrity and stability history.
5. This measurement applies to the difference in peak frequency deviation between the separate measurements of the undesired 90 Hz FM (or equivalent PM) and the 150 Hz FM, using the filters specified in the table in 4.2.23.
### Table I-4-6  Ground test requirements for ILS marker beacons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 Reference</th>
<th>MNL-002-ARTS-1.0 Reference</th>
<th>Measurand</th>
<th>Tolerance (See Note 1)</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
<th>Periodicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>D3.1.7.2.1</td>
<td>4.2.3.4.1</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>±0.01% (0.005% recommended)</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF output power</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.2.3.4.2</td>
<td>Power</td>
<td>±15%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier modulation</td>
<td>D3.1.7.4.2</td>
<td>4.2..3.4.3</td>
<td>Modulation depth</td>
<td>91-99%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier modulation frequency</td>
<td>D3.1.7.4.1</td>
<td>4.2.3.4.4</td>
<td>Frequency of tone</td>
<td>Nominal ±2.5%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>Semi-annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier modulation harmonic content</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.2.3.4.5</td>
<td>Modulation depth</td>
<td>Total &lt;15%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>Annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keying</td>
<td>D3.1.7.5.1</td>
<td>4.2.3.4.6</td>
<td>Keying</td>
<td>Proper keying, clearly audible</td>
<td></td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Carrier power</td>
<td>D3.1.7.7.1</td>
<td>4.2.3.4.7</td>
<td>Power</td>
<td>Alarm at:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Modulation depth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Percent</td>
<td>-3 dB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Keying</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Presence</td>
<td>&gt;50 %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loss or continuous</td>
<td>1 dB</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. The tolerances given are for routine checks only. All parameters should be set to nominal values at the time of commissioning.
2. The periodicity for monitor tests may be increased if supported by an analysis of integrity and stability history.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 Reference</th>
<th>MNL-002-ARTS-1.0 Reference</th>
<th>Measurand</th>
<th>Tolerance (See Note 1)</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
<th>Inspection type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identification</td>
<td>D3.1.3.9</td>
<td>4.3.3.2.1</td>
<td>Morse code</td>
<td>Proper keying, clearly audible to the limit of the range.</td>
<td>Subjective assessment</td>
<td>S   C  C  P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voice feature</td>
<td>D3.1.3.8</td>
<td>4.3.3.2.2</td>
<td>Audibility, DDM</td>
<td>Clear audio level similar to identification, no effect on course line.</td>
<td>Subjective assessment</td>
<td>x   x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>D3.1.3.5</td>
<td>DDM, Modulation, Depth</td>
<td>See Note 1. 0.002 DDM 18% to 22%</td>
<td>0.001 DDM ±.5%</td>
<td>x   x  x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3.3.2.3.1 4.3.3.2.3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement sensitivity</td>
<td>D3.1.3.7</td>
<td>4.3.3.2.4</td>
<td>DDM</td>
<td>Cat I: Within 17% of the nominal value Cat II: Within 17% of the nominal value Cat III: Within 10% of the nominal value See Note 2.</td>
<td>±3 µA ±3 µA ±2 µA For nominal 150 µA input</td>
<td>x   x  x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off-course clearance</td>
<td>D3.1.3.7.4</td>
<td>4.3.3.2.5</td>
<td>DDM</td>
<td>On either side of course line, linear increase to 175 µA, then maintenance of 175 µA to 10°. Between 10° and 35°, minimum 150 µA. Where coverage required outside of ±35°, minimum of 150 µA except in back course sector.</td>
<td>±5 µA For nominal 150 µA input</td>
<td>x   x  x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-angle clearance</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>4.3.3.2.6</td>
<td>DDM</td>
<td>Minimum of 150 µA.</td>
<td>±5 µA For nominal 150 µA input</td>
<td>x   x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: See Note 2.
| Course alignment accuracy | 4.3.3.2.7 | DDM, Distance, Angle | Equivalent to the following displacements at the ILS reference datum: | x | x | x |
|---------------------------|-----------|---------------------|------------------------------------------------|
|                           |           |                     | Cat I: ±10.5 m (35 ft) |                                     | Cat I: ±2 m |
|                           |           |                     | Cat II: ±7.5 m (25 ft) | [±4.5 m (15 ft) for those Cat II localizers which are adjusted and maintained within ±4.5 m] | Cat II: ±1 m |
|                           |           |                     | Cat III: ±3 m (10 ft) |                                     | Cat III: ±0.7 m |

| Phasing                   | 4.3.3.2.12 | DDM | ≤10 µA of the modulation balance value See Note 3 | ±1 µA | x | x | x |

| DDM increase linear       | D3.1.3.7.4 | DDM | >180 µA (Linear increase from 0 to >180 µA) | x | x | x |

| Voice no interference to basic function | D3.1.3.8 | DDM, Speech | No interference. | x | x | x |

| Phase to avoid voice null on dual frequency systems | D3.1.3.8.3.1 | Speech | No nulls. | x | x | x |

<p>| Course structure          | D3.1.3.4 | DDM | Outer limit of coverage to Point A: 30 µA all categories | x | x | x |
|                          | D3.1.3.4 | DDM | Point A to Point B: | | | |
|                          | D3.1.3.4 | DDM | Cat I: Linear decrease to 15 µA | | | |
| Course structure          | D3.1.3.4 | DDM | Cat II: Linear decrease to 5 µA | | | |
|                          | D3.1.3.4 | DDM | Cat III: Linear decrease to 5 µA | | | |
| Course structure          | D3.1.3.4 | DDM | Beyond Point B: | | | |
|                          | D3.1.3.4 | DDM | Cat I: 15 µA to Point C | | | |
| Course structure          | D3.1.3.4 | DDM | Cat II: 5 µA to Reference datum | | | |
|                          | D3.1.3.4 | DDM | Cat III: 5 µA to Point D, then linear increase to 10 µA at Point E. | | | |
| Course structure          | D3.1.3.4 | DDM | See ANO-002-DRTS-1.0, Att. C, 2.1.5. From Point A to B, 3 µA decreasing to 1 µA From Point B to E, 1 µA | x | x | x |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coverage (usable distance)</th>
<th>D3.1.3.3</th>
<th>4.3.3.2.9</th>
<th>Flag current, DDM</th>
<th>From the localizer antenna to distances of:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Field strength</td>
<td>See ANO-002-DRTS-1.0, Attachment C, Figures C-7 and C-8</td>
<td>Field strength</td>
<td>46.3 km (25 NM) within ±10° from the course line.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>31.5 km (17 NM) between 10° and 35° from the course line.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18.5 km (10 NM) beyond ±35° if coverage is provided.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(See detailed procedure for exceptions.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;40 microvolts/metre (-114 dBW/m²)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Polarization               | D3.1.3.2.2 | 4.3.3.2.10 | DDM | For a roll altitude of 20° from the horizontal line: |
|                            |           |           |     | Cat I: 15 µA on the course line |
|                            |           |           |     | Cat II: 8 µA on the course line |
|                            |           |           |     | Cat III: 5 µA within a sector bounded by 20 µA either side of the course line |

| Back course                | 4.3.3.3 | DDM, Angle | Not less than 3°. |
|                            |         |            | 0.1° |

| - Sector width             | N/A     | DDM, Distance | Within 60 m of the extended centre line at 1 NM |
|                            |         |               | ±6 m |

| - Alignment                | N/A     | DDM           | Limit of coverage to final approach fix: |
|                            |         |               | FAF to 1.85 km (1 NM) from threshold: |
|                            |         |               | Decreasing at a linear rate to: |
|                            |         |               | ±40 µA |
|                            |         |               | ±20 µA |

| - Structure                | N/A     | DDM           | ANO-002-DRTS-1.0, Attachment C, 2.1.4 |
|                            |         |               | x |

| - Modulation depth         | N/A     | Modulation depth | 18% to 22% approximately 9 km (5 NM) from the localizer. See Note 1. |
|                            |         |                | ±0.5% |

<p>|                                | x | x | x |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitor system</th>
<th>D3.1.3.11</th>
<th>4.3.3.2.11</th>
<th>See Note 2.</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>– Alignment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Monitor must alarm for a shift in the main course line from the runway centre line equivalent to or more than the following distances at the ILS reference datum.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat I: 10.5 m (35 ft)</td>
<td>2 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat II: 7.5 m (25 ft)</td>
<td>1 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat III: 6.0 m (20 ft)</td>
<td>0.7 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Displacement sensitivity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Monitor must alarm for a change in displacement sensitivity to a value differing from the nominal value by more than:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat I: 17%</td>
<td>±4%</td>
<td>±2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat II: 17%</td>
<td>±4%</td>
<td>±2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat III: 17%</td>
<td>±4%</td>
<td>±2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Off-course clearance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Required only for certain types of localizer. Monitor must alarm when the off-course clearance cross-pointer deflection falls below 150 µA anywhere in the off-course coverage area.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>± 5 µA</td>
<td>±1 dB relative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Power</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Monitor must alarm either for a power reduction of 3 dB, or when the coverage falls below the requirement for the facility, whichever is the smaller change. For two-frequency localizers, the monitor must alarm for a change of ±1 dB in either carrier, unless tests have proved that use of the wider limits above will not cause unacceptable signal degradation (&gt;150 µA in clearance sector)</td>
<td>±5 µA</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notes:

1. Recommended means of measurement is by ground check.
2. Recommended means of measurement is by ground check, provided that correlation has been established between ground and air measurements.
3. Optional, at the request of the ground technician, unless good correlation between airborne and ground phasing techniques has not been established.
4. Course structure along the runway may be measured by flight inspection or by ground vehicle. Refer to 4.3.79 for guidance on structure analysis.

Legend: N/A = Not applicable
S = Site
C, C = Commissioning, Categorization
P = Periodic C Nominal periodicity 180 days
### Table I-4-8  Flight inspection requirements and tolerances for glide path Categories (Cat) I, II and III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 reference</th>
<th>MNL-002-ARTS-1.0 reference</th>
<th>Measurand</th>
<th>Tolerance</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
<th>Inspection type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angle</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3.3.4.1</td>
<td>DDM, Angle</td>
<td>Cat I: Within 7.5% of nominal angle</td>
<td>Cat I: 0.75%</td>
<td>x x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat II: Within 7.5% of nominal angle</td>
<td>Cat II: 0.75%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat III: Within 4% of nominal angle</td>
<td>Cat III: 0.3% of nominal angle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment</td>
<td>D3.1.5.1.2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of reference datum</td>
<td>D3.1.5.1.5</td>
<td>D3.1.5.1.6</td>
<td>DDM</td>
<td>Cat I: 15 m (50 ft) + 3 m (10 ft) (See Note 3)</td>
<td>0.6 m</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D3.1.5.1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat II: 15 m (50 ft) + 3 m (10 ft) (See Note 3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat III: 15 m (50 ft) + 3 m (10 ft) (See Note 3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement sensitivity</td>
<td>D3.1.5.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>DDM, Angle</td>
<td>Refer to ANO-002-DRTS-1.0, D3.1.5.6</td>
<td>Cat I: 2.5%</td>
<td>x x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat II: 2.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symmetry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat III: 1.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clearance</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3.3.4.1</td>
<td>DDM, Angle</td>
<td>Not less than 190 µA at an angle above the horizontal of not less than 0.38. If 190 µA is realized at an angle greater than 0.456, a minimum of 190 µA must be maintained at least down to 0.456.</td>
<td>±6 µA for a nominal 190 µA input</td>
<td>x x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below path</td>
<td>D3.1.5.6.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above path</td>
<td>D3.1.5.3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Must attain at least 150 µA and not fall below 150 µA until 1.756 is reached.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glide path structure</td>
<td>D3.1.5.4</td>
<td>4.3.3.4.5</td>
<td>DDM</td>
<td>See Note 5. From coverage limit to Point C: 30 µA. Cat II and III: From coverage limit to Point A: 30 µA From Point A to Point B: linear decrease from 30 µA to 20 µA. From Point B to reference datum: 20 µA.</td>
<td>Cat I: 3 µA</td>
<td>x x x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat II: 2 µA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat III: 2 µA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation</td>
<td>Modulation depth</td>
<td>See Note 1.</td>
<td>Modulation depth</td>
<td>See Note 1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance</td>
<td>D3.1.5.5.1</td>
<td>0.002 DDM</td>
<td>0.002 DDM</td>
<td>0.001 DDM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>4.3.3.4.6.1</td>
<td>37.5% to 42.5% for each tone.</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>x  x  x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.3.3.4.6.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstruction</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>DDM</td>
<td>Safe clearance</td>
<td>Subjective</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.3.3.4.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>at 180 µA (Normal), or at 150 µA</td>
<td>assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(wide alarm).</td>
<td>x  x  x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage</td>
<td>D3.1.5.3</td>
<td>Flag current</td>
<td>Satisfactory</td>
<td>±3 dB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.3.3.4.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>receiver</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>operation in</td>
<td>x  x  x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sector 8°</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>azimuth either</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>side of the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>localizer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>centre line</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for at least</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18.5 km (10 NM)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>up to 1.758</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and down to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.458 above the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>horizontal, or</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>to a lower angle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>down to 0.36 as</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>required to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>safeguard the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>glide path</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>intercept</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>procedure.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;400 µV/m (-95 dBW/m²) (Refer to ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 for specific signal strength requirements.)</td>
<td>±3 dB</td>
<td>x  x  x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage</td>
<td>D3.1.5.7</td>
<td>DDM, Distance</td>
<td>See Note 2</td>
<td>± 4 µA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.3.3.2.11</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monitor must</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>alarm for a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>change in angle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>of 7.5% of the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>promulgated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>angle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor</td>
<td>D3.1.5.7</td>
<td>DDM, Distance</td>
<td>Cat I:</td>
<td>± 4 µA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>system</td>
<td>4.3.3.2.11</td>
<td></td>
<td>Monitor must</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>alarm for a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>change in angle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>in the angle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>between the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>glide path and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>the line below</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>the glide path</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>at which 75 µA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>is obtained, by</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>more than 0.0376</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat II:</td>
<td>± 4 µA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Monitor must</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>alarm for a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>change in</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>displacement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sensitivity by</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>more than 25%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cat III:</td>
<td>± 4 µA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Monitor must</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>alarm for a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>change in</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>displacement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>sensitivity by</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>more than 25%.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Power</td>
<td></td>
<td>Power</td>
<td>Monitor must alarm either for a power reduction of 3 dB, or when the coverage falls below the requirement for the facility, whichever is the smaller change. For two-frequency glide paths, the monitor must alarm for a change of ±1 dB in either carrier, unless tests have proved that use of the wider limits above will not cause unacceptable signal degradation.</td>
<td>±0.5 dB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Phasing</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>4.3.3.4.10</td>
<td>No fixed tolerance. To be optimized for the site and equipment, See Note 4.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. Recommended means of measurement is by ground check.
2. Recommended means of measurement is by ground check, provided that correlation has been established between ground and air measurements.
3. This requirement only arises during commissioning and categorization checks. The method of calculating the height of the extended glide path at the threshold is described in 4.3.81, Analysis. Reference datum height (RDH). For Category I approaches on Code 1 and 2 runways, refer to 3.1.5.1.6 of Annex 10, Volume I.
4. Optional, at the request of the ground technician.
5. Tolerances are referenced to the mean course path between Points A and B, and relative to the mean curved path below Point B.

**Legend:**  
S = Site  
C, C = Commissioning, Categorization  
P = Periodic C Nominal periodicity is 180 days  
N/A = Not applicable
### Table I-4-9  Flight inspection requirements and tolerances for ILS marker beacons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>ANO-002-DRTS-1.0, Reference</th>
<th>MNL-002-ARTS-1.0 Reference</th>
<th>Measurand</th>
<th>Tolerance</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
<th>Inspection Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Keying</td>
<td>D3.1.7.4 D3.1.7.5</td>
<td>4.3.3.5.1</td>
<td>Keying</td>
<td>Proper keying, clearly audible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OM: 400 Hz, 2 dashes per second continuously.</td>
<td>±0.1 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MM: 1300 Hz alternate dots and dashes continuously. The sequence being repeated once per second.</td>
<td>±0.1 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IM: 3000 Hz, 6 dots per second continuously.</td>
<td>±0.03 s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage</td>
<td>D3.1.7.3</td>
<td>4.3.3.5.2</td>
<td>Signal level distance</td>
<td>Proper indication over the beacon or other defined point.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indications</td>
<td>D3.1.7.3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Field strength</td>
<td>When checked while flying on localizer and glide path, coverage should be:</td>
<td>±40 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OM: 600 m ±200 m (2000 ft ±650 ft)</td>
<td>±40 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MM: 300 m ±100 m (1000 ft ±325 ft)</td>
<td>±20 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IM: 150 m ±50 m (500 ft ±160 ft)</td>
<td>±10 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>On a normal approach, there should be a well-defined separation between the indications from the middle and inner markers.</td>
<td>±3 dB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Measurement should use the Low sensitivity setting on receiver. (Refer to ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 for specific field strength requirements)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor system</td>
<td>D3.1.7.7</td>
<td>4.3.3.5.3</td>
<td>An operationally usable indication should be obtained for a reduction in power output of 50%, or a higher power at which the equipment will be monitored. See Note.</td>
<td>±1 dB</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standby equipment</td>
<td>4.3.3.5.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Same checks and tolerances as main equipment.</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Alternatively, this can be checked by analysing the field strength recording.

**Legend:**
- **S** = Site
- **C, C** = Commissioning, Categorization
- **P** = Periodic C Nominal periodicity is 180 days
- **N/A** = Not applicable
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEASUREMENTS</th>
<th>CATEGORY I</th>
<th>CATEGORY II</th>
<th>CATEGORY III</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CONSTRAINT POINT</td>
<td>ACCURACY</td>
<td>CONSTRAINT POINT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.02°, 0.04° (See Note)</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— Localizer</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.006 θ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>— Glide path</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.19 km (0.1 NM)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Extreme figures are calculated for the limit values of the localizer sector (3° and 6°) taking into account the different runway lengths.
Chapter 5

NON-DIRECTIONAL BEACON (NDB)

5.1 INTRODUCTION:

5.1.1 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION:

A non-directional beacon (NDB) (also called a low- or medium-frequency homing beacon) transmits non-directional signals, primarily via ground wave propagation, whereby a pilot can determine the bearing to the ground beacon and “home-in” on it. These facilities operate on frequencies available in portions of the band between 190 and 1750 kHz with keyed identification and optional voice modulation. The airborne receiver installation is usually called an Automatic Direction Finder (ADF).

5.1.2 GROUND EQUIPMENT:

The ground equipment consists of a transmitter, antenna tuner and monitor, with optional standby transmitter, automatic changeover equipment and automatic antenna tuner. The monitor is not always collocated with the transmitter equipment. The transmitter normally transmits a continuous carrier modulated by either 1020 Hz or 400 Hz keyed to provide identification. In some special cases of high interference or noise levels, the unmodulated carrier is keyed instead. The transmitter power is selected to provide the required minimum coverage, and varies from a few watts to several kilowatts. The antenna system is a vertical radiator, commonly with top loading, with an extensive earth system to improve efficiency and restrict high angle radiation.

5.1.3 AIRBORNE USER EQUIPMENT:

Airborne ADF equipment includes an omnidirectional sense antenna and a rotatable loop (or a fixed loop and a goniometer performing the same function). A continuous switched phase comparison process between loop and sense antenna inputs resolves the 180-degree ambiguity that normally exists in the loop input. As part of this process, a servo motor (or electronics) drives the loop (or goniometer) to a balanced position dependent upon the direction of the signal source, and a corresponding synchronous azimuth indication is provided on the aircraft ADF bearing indicator instrument. The performance of the equipment may be degraded if the signal from the NDB is modulated by an audio frequency equal or close to the loop switching frequency or its second harmonic. Loop switching frequencies are typically between 30 Hz and 120 Hz.

5.1.4 FACTORS AFFECTING NDB PERFORMANCE:

5.1.4.1 RATED COVERAGE:

The rated coverage of an NDB is an area in which a specified minimum signal strength of the ground wave is obtained. Provided that an adequate value of signal strength is chosen, there is a high probability of obtaining accurate bearings in this area. However, since other factors (some of which are discussed below) determine whether accurate bearings are obtained, it is necessary to measure the quality of the bearings from the ADF during a flight check to assess the effective coverage of the NDB.
5.1.4.2 FACTORS AFFECTING SIGNAL STRENGTH OF GROUND WAVE:

5.1.4.2.1 ANTENNA CURRENT:
The signal strength obtained at any point throughout the rated coverage area is directly proportional to the current in the vertical radiator of the antenna. Doubling the antenna current will double the strength at a fixed point or double the range for a fixed value of signal strength. The power radiated is dependent on the antenna and ground system efficiency, which varies typically from 2 to 10 per cent. The power dissipated by the NDB transmitter is the sum of the powers radiated and dissipated by the ground system and ohmic losses.

5.1.4.2.2 GROUND CONDUCTIVITY:
The transmitter power necessary to drive a given current through the antenna and ground system varies with the soil conductivity at the antenna site. The signal strength of the ground wave also depends on the conductivity of the soil between the transmitter and receiver. The conductivity of seawater is higher than soil, hence the range over seawater is usually greater than over land.

5.1.4.2.3 ALTITUDE:
An increase in signal strength can be expected as the aircraft height is increased, the effect being most marked over soil of poor conductivity, and almost negligible over seawater.

5.1.4.3 FACTORS AFFECTING THE QUALITY AND ACCURACY OF ADF BEARINGS (EFFECTIVE COVERAGE):

5.1.4.3.1 NOISE:
The effective coverage is limited by the ratio of the strength of the steady (non-fading) signal received from the NDB to the total noise intercepted by the ADF receiver. The noise admitted to the receiver depends on the bandwidth of the receiver, the level and characteristics of atmospheric noise in the area together with noise sources in the aircraft and the level of the interference produced by other radio emissions. If the signal-to-noise ratio is less than the limiting value, useful bearings cannot be obtained. In some cases, the effective coverage may be limited to the range of a usable identification signal.

5.1.4.3.2 NIGHT EFFECT:
The effective coverage of an NDB is also limited at night when a skywave, reflected from the ionosphere is present at the receiver in addition to the vertically polarized ground wave on which the system depends during the day. The interaction of these two signals from the NDB results in bearing errors in the ADF. The effect is independent of transmitter power.

5.1.4.3.3 TERRAIN EFFECTS:
Errors in ADF bearings are often produced over rugged terrain or
where abrupt discontinuities occur in the ground surface conductivity. The effect results in an oscillating bearing and usually diminishes with increasing aircraft altitude.

5.1.5 **TESTING REQUIREMENTS:**

A summary of testing requirements for NDB facilities is given in Table I-5-1.

5.2 **GROUND TESTING:**

5.2.1 **GENERAL:**

The purpose of ground testing is to ensure that the NDB radiates a signal, which meets the requirements of ANO-002-DRTS-1.0, on a continuing basis. Since NDB equipment varies greatly, it is not possible to define detailed tests applicable to all types. Therefore, only a high-level description of the tests is provided. Refer to the manufacturer's recommendations for additional tests and detailed procedures for specific equipment.

5.2.2 **GROUND PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS:**

Ground test requirements are listed in Table I-5-2.

5.2.3 **GROUND TEST PROCEDURES:**

5.2.3.1 **CARRIER FREQUENCY:**

The carrier frequency should be checked against an accurate frequency standard or counter. Refer to the manufacturer's instructions for detailed procedures.

5.2.3.2 **ANTENNA CURRENT:**

On most equipment, a meter is provided to read the current in the series-resonant antenna system. (If not provided, an RF thermocouple-type ammeter should be temporarily inserted at ground potential in the series resonant antenna tuner circuit.) Any change in this current from its initial value at commissioning could be due to a change in the power delivered from the transmitter and/or a change in the characteristics of the antenna system, including the transmission line and ground system. Changes should be investigated, as the coverage performance of the beacon will be affected.

5.2.3.3 **MODULATION DEPTH:**

The modulation depth can be measured by a modulation meter (which may be built into the equipment) or by an oscilloscope. Refer to the manufacturer's instructions for detailed procedures for using a modulation meter. When using an oscilloscope, the modulated signal from the NDB (preferably obtained from a pick-up antenna) is displayed and the modulation depth obtained by measuring the maximum and minimum of the modulation envelope. (The radiated modulation percent-age, as observed with a pick-up antenna, may be reduced due to the high Q factor of the antenna system.) If $A_{\text{max}}$ and $A_{\text{min}}$ are the maximum and minimum of the envelope respectively, then:

$$\text{Modulation \%} = \frac{A_{\text{max}} - A_{\text{min}}}{A_{\text{max}} + A_{\text{min}}} \times 100\%$$
5.2.3.4 MODULATION FREQUENCY:
The modulation frequency should be measured using a frequency meter or a counter, or by comparison of the modulation frequency with that generated by an accurate (1.0 per cent) audio generator. Refer to the manufacturer's instructions for the operation of these instruments.

5.2.3.5 MODULATION DEPTH OF POWER SUPPLY FREQUENCY COMPONENTS:
A monitor may be installed with some NDB equipment to provide a means of detecting excessive power supply modulation on the carrier. A metering position is usually provided to enable this modulation depth to be read for testing purposes. Alternatively, an oscilloscope can be used to display the NDB signal (with identification modulation removed). By using a suitable time base frequency, modulation at the power supply frequency can be identified.

5.2.3.6 SPURIOUS MODULATION COMPONENTS:
The measurement of the modulation depth of spurious components on the carrier requires the use of a modulation meter or the modulation measuring circuits, which may be incorporated in the monitor. With the identification modulation removed, the residual modulation depth of the carrier is measured.

5.2.3.7 CARRIER LEVEL DURING MODULATION:
A change in carrier level with modulation can be measured using a field intensity meter, modulation meter, carrier level meter on the monitor, or an oscilloscope. Using the first three methods, any change in the carrier level indication can be noted by comparing the level with and without identification modulation. (Depending on the detection and metering circuits used in these three methods, the band-width of the radio frequency circuits may need to be narrow enough to reject the modulation sidebands.) Using an oscilloscope, a pattern is displayed as described in 5.2.3.4 and the average carrier level with and without identification modulation is found. The carrier level without modulation can be read directly from the screen, while the average level with modulation is:

\[
\frac{A_{\text{max}} + A_{\text{min}}}{2}
\]

5.2.3.8 AUDIO FREQUENCY DISTORTION:
The design of the transmitting equipment will usually ensure that modulation distortion is acceptably small. However, if a distorted signal is reported, a measurement should be made of this parameter and appropriate action taken. The usual measuring equipment is a modulation monitor and distortion meter. Detailed procedures for the use of this equipment can be found in the manufacturer’s instructions.

5.2.3.9 MONITOR SYSTEM:
The monitor system, when provided, should be checked to ensure it will detect erroneous transmissions from the NDB. Some monitors include switching functions that permit fault conditions to be simulated. In other cases, NDB fault conditions should be simulated as closely as possible to check that the monitor will alarm. Detailed procedures can be found in the manufacturer’s instructions.
5.2.4 TEST EQUIPMENT:

5.2.4.1 TEST EQUIPMENT LIST:

The following test equipment is recommended for NDB ground maintenance:

5.2.4.1.1 frequency meter, standard, or counter with an accuracy of at least 0.001 per cent (for carrier frequency);

5.2.4.1.2 RF thermocouple ammeter (if not part of the equipment), for measuring the antenna current;

5.2.4.1.3 distortion meter or wave analyser, for audio frequencies distortion;

5.2.4.1.4 frequency meter or standard frequency source with an accuracy of at least 0.5 per cent (for identification frequency measurement) — this instrument can typically be the same as used in a) above;

5.2.4.1.5 modulation meter or oscilloscope for modulation percentage measurements; and

5.2.4.1.6 field intensity meter where ground field strength measurements are to be made or where an airborne field strength installation is to be calibrated. The field intensity meter can also be used to check for the radiation of spurious harmonics from the NDB.

5.3 FLIGHT TESTING:

5.3.1 GENERAL:

The primary objectives of flight testing are to determine the coverage and quality of the guidance provided by the NDB system and to check for interference from other stations. These assessments are to be made in all areas where coverage is required and with all operational procedures designed for the NDB, in order to determine the usability of the facility and to ensure that it meets the operational requirements for which it was installed. However, this does not mean that the flight check aircraft must fly through the entire coverage area, but rather, from a consideration of all the factors affecting the coverage and usability of the particular NDB, significant areas can be chosen for flight measurements from which the overall performance can be assessed. Such significant areas are typically at extreme range, along airways, in holding patterns, over mountains, etc.

5.3.2 FLIGHT TEST PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS:

Flight test requirements are listed in Table I-5-3.

5.3.3 FLIGHT TEST PROCEDURES:

5.3.3.1 IDENTIFICATION:

The coded identification on the NDB signal should be monitored during the flight inspection to the limit of coverage (in some cases, the range to which the identification can be received may determine the effective coverage of the NDB). The identification is satisfactory if the coded characters are correct, clear, and properly spaced. Monitoring of the identification during the flight also aids in identifying an interfering station.
5.3.3.2 **VOICE:**

When a facility provides voice transmissions such as weather broadcasts, the voice quality is checked. A voice transmission should be requested, if not available continuously, and a check made for quality, modulation and freedom from interference. If the voice transmission cannot be received at the maximum range from the beacon, the maximum range for satisfactory reception should be noted.

5.3.3.3 **COVERAGE:**

An NDB coverage is determined by field strength measurements (rated coverage) or by a quality assessment (effective coverage) of factors such as signal strength, voice and identification, and cross-pointer activity. The use of either or both methods depends upon operational and engineering requirements.

5.3.3.3.1 **CO-CHANNEL INTERFERENCE:**

In areas where the density of NDB facilities is high and interference amongst them is likely, a night-time check should be made to verify that the design field strength is obtained at the rated coverage limit. If not, the transmitter power output should be adjusted accordingly. This will optimize the power to minimize interference between NDBs.

5.3.3.3.2 **RATED COVERAGE:**

Normally, a complete orbit of radius equal to the rated coverage and at a suitable minimum altitude should be flown around the NDB. If problem areas are found or if the terrain is considered sufficiently homogeneous that a complete orbit is unnecessary, the coverage can be probed via radial flight or measured in representative sectors by measuring the field strength along suitable airways, also at minimum altitude. Adjustments to the NDB antenna current may be required to obtain satisfactory results.

5.3.3.3.3 **FIELD STRENGTH MEASUREMENTS:**

Field strength measurements are read from a meter or recorded along with DME distance or ground reference points. These reference points can then be plotted on a map together with the measured field strength in order to arrive at the rated coverage. The measurements should be made during daylight hours and in good weather conditions. If this is not possible, the measurement conditions should be described in detail in the report.

5.3.3.3.4 **EFFECTIVE COVERAGE:**

Effective coverage is obtained from an assessment of the quality of the guidance signals provided by the NDB. The areas where the quality is measured will be largely determined by the operational usage to be made of the beacon and by a consideration of the factors affecting effective coverage described in 5.1.4. In most cases, it will be sufficient to fly the air routes served by the NDB together with a small radius orbit around the beacon. However, where the effective coverage is required in all sectors, and circumstances do not permit the coverage to be inferred from selected radials, an orbit
commensurate with the required radius of coverage should be flown. Any unusual areas within the required coverage area where the quality of the signal may be affected, e.g. by mountains, should be flown. The flights should be conducted at minimum route or sector altitude and note made of excessive ADF needle oscillation, weak identification or interference, together with DME distance or ground reference points. These reference points can later be plotted on a map to obtain the effective coverage and the location of areas of poor quality. If suitable equipment is available, the ADF bearing from which the aircraft heading has been subtracted can be recorded. Where interference occurs from another facility, the interfering station should be identified.

5.3.3.4 **AIRWAYS COVERAGE:**

The facility coverage along the airways is obtained by flying the route at minimum altitude and checking for excessive ADF needle oscillation, identification quality and interference. Although all airways are checked at commissioning, it is usually not necessary to check all airways during routine tests. However, an airway in each quadrant should be checked annually.

5.3.3.5 **HOLDING PATTERN AND APPROACH PROCEDURES:**

Where a holding pattern or approach procedure is based on an NDB, this procedure should be flown to check for flyability from a pilot's viewpoint. A check is made for excessive needle oscillation, erroneous reversals giving a false impression of station passage, or any other unusual condition.

5.3.3.6 **STATION PASSAGE:**

This check confirms that a correct indication is given when passing over a station. The aircraft should be flown over the NDB, preferably from two radials 90 degrees apart, to ensure that an ADF reversal is obtained with an acceptably limited needle oscillation.

5.3.3.7 **STANDBY EQUIPMENT:**

The checks to be carried out on standby equipment (if installed) will depend on whether it is identical to the main equipment. If the main and standby equipments are interchangeable, the full commissioning checks are carried out on one equipment, and only the identification, voice, and a brief quality check on the other. Subsequent equipment operation can be scheduled so that routine checks are carried out on each equipment alternately. If the standby equipment is of lower power than the main, both equipments are checked during commissioning. This need not increase flight times if coordination between ground and air can be arranged to change the equipment when requested. Thus, on a flight outbound on an airway from the NDB, the lower power equipment is first checked, and when its coverage has been exceeded, the higher power equipment is brought on and the flight proceeds to the coverage limit of this equipment. If any change in the performance of the NDB is considered likely when connected to its source of standby power, then all the flight checks should be repeated with the NDB on standby power. Normally, facilities whose standby power source consists of float-charged batteries without switching equipment do not require this check.
5.3.4 **TEST EQUIPMENT:**

The basic airborne equipment used for flight testing NDB facilities is a standard aircraft ADF receiver, calibrated to read field strength and bearing to the NDB. Continuous recording of the data derived from a flight check is highly desirable, and recordings of both field strength and the quality of the bearing information (needle swing) should be made, particularly at the time of commissioning. A voltage proportional to the received signal strength usually can be obtained from the receiver, or field strength readings may be taken from a separate field strength measuring equipment carried in the aircraft.

5.3.5 **POSITIONING:**

The quality of guidance given is usually judged by observing the needle swing of the ADF. However, it should be noted that since the ADF indicates the angle between the aircraft and the ground beacon, any yawing motion of the aircraft will produce a swing in the ADF needle indication. Care should therefore be taken during a flight check to keep the aircraft heading as steady as possible. Alternatively, it has been found useful to record the difference between the ADF bearing and the aircraft heading by means of comparing the ADF and compass outputs. In this way, the yawing motion of the aircraft is removed from the record. A typical formula used for this purpose is:

$$\text{ADF error} = \text{ADF bearing} - (\text{azimuth to NDB} - \text{aircraft heading} \pm 180) \text{ degrees}$$

**Table I-5-1  Summary of testing requirements for non-directional beacons**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 Reference</th>
<th>Inspection Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identification</td>
<td>D3.4.5.1, D3.4.5.2, D3.4.5.3</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voice</td>
<td>D3.4.2</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated coverage</td>
<td>D3.4.2</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airway coverage</td>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holding pattern, approach procedures (where applicable)</td>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station passage</td>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standby equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna current</td>
<td>D3.4.4.2</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field strength</td>
<td>D3.4.2.1</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation depth</td>
<td>D3.4.6.2</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation depth of power supply frequency components</td>
<td>D3.4.5.4</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier level change during modulation</td>
<td>D3.4.6.4</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio distortion</td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor system (see Note)</td>
<td>D3.4.8.1 a)</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Antenna current or field strength</td>
<td>D3.4.8.1 b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Failure of identification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** When the monitor is remotely located, it measures the field strength rather than the antenna current.

**Legend:**  
- **F** = Flight test/inspection  
- **G** = Ground test
Table I-5-2  Summary of ground test requirements for non-directional beacons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 Reference</th>
<th>MNL-002-ARTS-1.0 Reference</th>
<th>Measurand</th>
<th>Tolerance</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
<th>Periodicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carrier frequency</td>
<td>D3.4.4.2</td>
<td>5.2.3.1</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>±0.01% (±0.005% for power &gt;200 W at frequencies above 1606.5 kHz)</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
<td>1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna current</td>
<td>5.2.3.2</td>
<td>RF amperes</td>
<td>±30% of value set at commissioning</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field strength</td>
<td>D3.4.6.2</td>
<td>5.2.3.3</td>
<td>Depth percent</td>
<td>85% to 95%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation depth</td>
<td>D3.4.5.4</td>
<td>5.2.3.4</td>
<td>Audio frequency</td>
<td>1020±50 Hz 400±25 Hz</td>
<td>5 Hz</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation depth of power supply frequency components</td>
<td>D3.4.6.5</td>
<td>5.2.3.5</td>
<td>Modulation depth, percent</td>
<td>Less than 5% modulation depth</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier level change during modulation</td>
<td>D3.4.6.4</td>
<td>5.2.3.7</td>
<td>Signal strength</td>
<td>Less than 0.5 dB (1.5 dB) for beacons with less (greater) than 50 mile coverage</td>
<td>0.1 dB rel. resolution</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification</td>
<td>D3.4.5.2</td>
<td>keying</td>
<td>Clearly audible, proper keying correct coding</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio distortion</td>
<td>D5.2.10</td>
<td>Modulation depth</td>
<td>10% distortion maximum</td>
<td></td>
<td>As required</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor system (see Note)</td>
<td>D3.4.8.1a) D3.4.8.1b)</td>
<td>5.2.3.9</td>
<td>RF current or field strength keying</td>
<td>Alarm for 3 dB decrease (see Note) Alarm for loss of or continuous modulation</td>
<td>1 dB</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Certain States have a monitor system which also alarms for a 2 dB increase in radiated power.
Table I-5-3  Summary of flight test requirements for non-directional beacons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 reference</th>
<th>MNL-002-ARTS-1.0 reference</th>
<th>Measurand</th>
<th>Tolerance or purpose of flight check</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
<th>Inspection type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identification</td>
<td>D3.4.5.1</td>
<td>5.3.3.1</td>
<td>Keying</td>
<td>Clearly audible, proper keying, correct coding to the limit of coverage.</td>
<td></td>
<td>C, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voice</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.3.3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Clearly audible and free from interference to the limit of coverage.</td>
<td></td>
<td>C, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated coverage</td>
<td>D3.4.2</td>
<td>5.3.3.3.2</td>
<td>Signal strength or bearing</td>
<td>The minimum signal strength as required for the particular geographical area ADF needle oscillations not to exceed ±10 degrees throughout the specified coverage area. See Note 3.</td>
<td>3 dB</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 degrees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airway coverage</td>
<td>D3.4.2</td>
<td>5.3.3.3.4</td>
<td>Bearing</td>
<td>ADF needle oscillations not to exceed ±10 degrees to the limit of coverage specified for the airway. See Note 3.</td>
<td>2 degrees</td>
<td>C, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holding pattern, approach procedures (where applicable)</td>
<td>5.3.3.5</td>
<td>Bearing</td>
<td>Adequate flyability, needle oscillations not to exceed ±5 degrees, with no erroneous reversals giving false impression of station passage. See Note 3.</td>
<td>2 degrees</td>
<td>C, P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station passage</td>
<td>5.3.3.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Absence of any tendency for false station passage or excessive ADF needle oscillation.</td>
<td>C, P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standby equipment</td>
<td>5.3.3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Same tolerances as main equipment.</td>
<td>See 5.3.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

1. Commissioning checks (C) are to be carried out before the NDB is initially placed in service. In addition, special checks that include most or all of those required for commissioning may be required whenever changes that may affect its performance, such as a different antenna system, frequency change, etc., are made to the NDB.

2. Periodic checks (P) are typically made annually. In some cases, e.g. locator beacons used in a low approach procedure, more frequent checking may be found desirable. Locator beacons associated with an ILS facility can be checked coincident with the ILS routine check.

3. External and aircraft noise sources as well as terrain features routinely affect NDB cross-pointer accuracy. Although tolerances are shown for airways, approaches, and holding patterns, it is not necessary to restrict or remove from service an NDB solely because it provides momentary out-of-tolerance needle oscillations that are brief, relative to the intended procedural use. As long as bearing errors greater than the listed tolerances are generally oscillatory in nature rather than one-sided, and have durations less than 4 seconds for approaches and 8 seconds for airways and holding patterns, the NDB may be considered acceptable. (These time periods apply to each occurrence of oscillatory out-of-tolerance needle activity.)
Chapter 6

EN-ROUTE VHF MARKER BEACONS (75 MHz)

6.1 INTRODUCTION:

6.1.1 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION:
En-route marker beacons identify a particular location along an airway and are generally associated with low frequency and VHF radio ranges. A 75 MHz signal modulated by 3000 Hz is radiated from the ground equipment in a narrow beam directed upwards. This is received by aircraft flying overhead and an audible and visible indication is given to the pilot. On some beacons, the modulating tone is keyed to provide identification coding. Two types of en-route marker beacons are in general use. Fan or F markers are used to identify locations along airways, have an approximately elliptical coverage shape at a given altitude, and are generally located some distance from the navigation aid defining the airway. Station location or Z markers are used to identify the location of a navigation aid on an airway, have an approximately circular coverage at a given altitude, and are installed close to the station.

6.1.2 GROUND EQUIPMENT:
The ground equipment consists of a 75 MHz transmitter, an antenna system usually consisting of a dipole or array of dipoles over an elevated counterpoise, and, in the usual case, a monitor to detect out-of-tolerance conditions. The transmitter generates a continuous carrier amplitude modulated approximately 95 per cent by a 3000 Hz tone. The modulating tone may be keyed with dots and dashes to provide coded identification. Since the marker system depends on the measurement of a radio frequency signal level for its operation, the power output varies according to the marker’s operational use.

6.1.3 AIRBORNE USER EQUIPMENT:
Airborne marker beacon systems consist of antenna, receiver, and indicator subsystems. The antenna may be a standard open wire or a flush mounted type, and is mounted on the underside of the aircraft. The receiver’s detected modulation is monitored by headset or speaker, and is also passed through an appropriate filter (3 000 Hz for en-route markers) to operate a white lamp. This lamp is usually one of a three-lamp installation, the other two responding to ILS marker beacon signals. The sensitivity of the receiver and antenna combination is adjusted so that the indicator lamp illuminates when the signal level reaches a specified level.

6.1.4 TESTING REQUIREMENTS:
A summary of testing requirements for en-route marker beacons is given in Table I-6-1.

6.2 GROUND TESTING:

6.2.1 GENERAL:
The purpose of ground testing is to ensure that the marker beacon radiates a signal that meets the requirements of ANO-002-DRTS-1.0, on a continuous basis. Since marker equipment varies greatly, it is not possible to define detailed tests applicable to all types. Therefore, only a high-level description of the tests will be provided. Refer to a manufacturer’s recommendation for additional tests and detailed procedures for specific equipment.
6.2.2 **GROUND PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS:**

Ground test requirements are listed Table I-6-2.

6.2.3 **GROUND TEST PROCEDURES:**

6.2.3.1 **CARRIER FREQUENCY:**

The carrier frequency should be checked using an accurate frequency standard to ensure that it is within tolerance. Refer to the instructions supplied with the frequency standard for detailed procedures.

6.2.3.2 **RF OUTPUT POWER:**

Since the power output of the transmitter directly affects the coverage, it is important to maintain the power as close as possible to the commissioning value. On most equipment a meter is provided and may be confirmed by using an independent power output meter.

6.2.3.3 **MODULATION DEPTH:**

Modulation depth can be measured using a modulation meter (it may be built into the equipment) or by an oscilloscope. Using an oscilloscope, the modulated signal from the beacon is displayed (usually by direct connection to the deflection plates) and the modulation percentage obtained by measuring the maximum and minimum of the modulation envelope. If \( A_{\text{max}} \) and \( A_{\text{min}} \) are the maximum and minimum of the envelope respectively, then:

\[
\text{Modulation \%} = \frac{A_{\text{max}} - A_{\text{min}}}{A_{\text{max}} + A_{\text{min}}} \times 100\%
\]

Refer to the manufacturer’s instructions for detailed procedures for using the modulation meter.

6.2.3.4 **MODULATION FREQUENCY:**

The modulation frequency can be measured using a frequency meter or by comparing the frequency with an accurate (0.5 per cent) audio generator.

*Note:* Refer to the manufacturer’s instructions for operation of these instruments.

6.2.3.5 **HARMONIC CONTENT OF MODULATION:**

The design of the transmitting equipment will usually ensure that modulation distortion is acceptably small. However, if a distorted signal is reported, a measurement should be made of this parameter and appropriate action taken. The usual measuring equipment is a modulation monitor and distortion meter.

*Note:* Refer to the manufacturer’s instructions for use of this equipment.

6.2.3.6 **IDENTIFICATION KEYING:**

If identification keying is used on the marker beacon, an audible indication is usually available from a test point on the equipment or monitor to audibly check for clear, correct keying.

6.2.3.7 **MONITOR SYSTEM:**

The monitor system, when provided, should be checked to ensure that it will detect erroneous transmissions from the marker beacon. Some monitors
include switching functions, which permit faulty conditions to be simulated. Detailed procedures will be found in the manufacturer’s instructions. In other cases, marker beacon out-of-tolerance conditions should be simulated, as closely as possible, to check that the monitor will alarm.

6.2.4 TEST EQUIPMENT:

6.2.4.1 TEST EQUIPMENT LIST:

The following test equipment is recommended for marker beacon ground maintenance:

- **6.2.4.1.1** frequency meter covering the 75 MHz band with an accuracy of at least 0.004 per cent;
- **6.2.4.1.2** frequency meter or standard frequency source with an accuracy of at least 0.5 per cent (for modulation frequency measurement) — this instrument can typically be the same as that used in a) above;
- **6.2.4.1.3** modulation meter or oscilloscope for modulation percentage measurement;
- **6.2.4.1.4** wave analyser for harmonic distortion measurements; and
- **6.2.4.1.5** RF power meter.

6.3 FLIGHT TESTING:

6.3.1 GENERAL:

The purpose of flight testing is to determine whether the marker’s coverage defined by the visual indication is within operational tolerances. This may be found by noting when the lamp is illuminated, by a calibrated marker receiver or by measuring the signal level from the marker beacon antenna.

6.3.2 FLIGHT TEST PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS:

Flight testing requirements are listed in Table I-6-3.

6.3.3 FLIGHT TEST PROCEDURES:

6.3.3.1 IDENTIFICATION CODING:

If identification coding is used on the marker beacon, it should be checked during a flight over the beacon. The identification is assessed from both the aural and visual indications and is satisfactory when the coded characters are correct, clear and properly spaced. The frequency of the modulating tone can be checked by observing that the visual indication is obtained on the correct (white) lamp of a three-lamp system.

6.3.3.2 COVERAGE:

6.3.3.2.1 GENERAL:

There is no international Standard for coverage of an en-route marker. It is determined by individual States’ operational requirements. Coverage is measured by flying over the marker beacon at operationally used altitudes and by measuring the total time or distance during which a visual indication is obtained from a calibrated marker receiver and antenna, or during which a predetermined signal level is obtained. At commissioning, the coverage should be measured at a number of altitudes, while for
routine checks it will usually be sufficient to make the check at a single altitude. Since the routine checks of the marker beacon will normally be carried out in conjunction with the associated navigation aid, it will be convenient to check both at the same altitude. At commissioning, it is preferable to determine the coverage by making a continuous recording of signal strength, since this allows a more detailed assessment of the ground beacon performance. For routine checks, measurement of light activation time or distance over which the visual indication is received will usually be sufficient.

6.3.3.2.2 MEASURING PROCEDURE:

6.3.3.2.2.1 The procedure used for coverage measurements is to fly over the beacon, noting the true air speed of the aircraft and the total time or distance over which the visual indication or predetermined signal level is obtained. A 180-degree turn is then made and the measurement repeated while flying over the beacon at the same air speed in the opposite direction. These two flights are required in order to average out the wind speed and other effects, such as receiver lag, tilt, or asymmetry in aircraft antenna pattern, etc. The time during which visual indication is obtained (light time) can be measured directly by a stopwatch. If a continuous recording of a signal level is being made, a knowledge of the chart speed will enable the time for which the predetermined value of the signal level is exceeded to be scaled directly from the chart. The coverage may be converted into time at a reference air speed or distance as follows:

If \( V_1 \) is the true air speed and \( T_1, T_2 \) are the coverage times obtained on the two flights in opposite directions, then the coverage time, \( T \), at a reference air speed of \( V_2 \) and coverage distance, \( D \), will be:

\[
T = \frac{2(T_1 \times T_2)}{T_1 + T_2} \times \frac{V_1}{V_2}, \quad D = \frac{2(T_1 \times T_2)}{T_1 + T_2} \times \frac{V_1}{V_2}
\]

6.3.3.2.2.2 Alternatively, coverage distance may be measured directly by flying over the beacon as described above; and noting the locations on the ground directly beneath the aircraft which coincide with the beginning and end of coverage. These points defining the coverage area are then plotted on a map of the locality and the coverage distance read off. If the flight check aircraft is fitted with a Doppler or inertial navigation system, it can of course be used to measure the coverage area. A DME, suitably located, could also be used.

6.3.3.2.2.3 At commissioning, a check should be made that the centre of the coverage area is in the correct position. This will usually be over the marker beacon but in some cases, due to siting difficulties, the polar axis of the marker beacon radiation pattern may have to be other than vertical. Reference should then be made to the operational procedures to determine the correct
location of the centre of coverage, with respect to some recognizable point on the ground. The centre of coverage can be checked during the coverage flights described above, by marking the continuous recording when the aircraft is directly over the marker beacon (or other defined point). The average of the two recordings, taken with respect to the mark on the recording, will show whether the coverage pattern is centred over the beacon (or other defined point). The separate recordings taken in each direction will seldom be symmetrical about this reference mark on the recording due to such effects as asymmetry of ground beacon radiation pattern, tilt in aircraft antenna pattern, receiver lags, etc.

6.3.3.3 STANDBY EQUIPMENT (IF INSTALLED):
At commissioning, the standby equipment is checked in the same manner as the main equipment. For routine checks, it is usually not necessary to check both main and standby equipment, provided that the checks are carried out on each piece of equipment alternately. If any change in the performance of the marker beacon is considered likely when it is connected to its source of standby power, then all the flight checks should be repeated with the marker beacon on standby power.

6.3.4 TEST EQUIPMENT:

6.3.4.1 DESCRIPTION OF AIRBORNE FLIGHT INSPECTION EQUIPMENT:

6.3.4.1.1 The airborne equipment used for the flight inspection of marker beacons is usually a standard aircraft marker receiver and antenna. It is highly desirable, particularly for commissioning, to have the receiver modified so that the field strength can be continuously recorded. Alternatively, a suitable general purpose field strength meter covering the 75 MHz band could be used. The signal level used for calibration of the airborne marker receiver or field strength meter depends on the type of aircraft antenna used.

6.3.4.1.2 The standard open-wire antenna referred to in this chapter is a half-wave dipole mounted 15 cm (6 inches) below the approximate centre line of the metallic fuselage with its axis parallel to the longitudinal axis of the aircraft and cleared from any other antennas or projections by at least one metre. The lead-in consists of a wire connecting the antenna 13 cm (5 inches) off-centre to a 70 ohm concentric transmission line. The lead-in connects to the transmission line within 5 cm (2 inches) of the fuselage skin inside the aircraft.

6.3.4.2 CALIBRATION:

6.3.4.2.1 When the marker beacon receiver is used with the standard open-wire antenna, the receiver sensitivity is adjusted so that the lamp is illuminated for an input signal level of 1000 microvolts, 3000 Hz modulated at 95 per cent. The lamp should be extinguished (50 per cent of lamp voltage or less) when the input signal is reduced to 800 microvolts. These signal levels are the open circuit voltages from a generator with a source impedance of 50 ohms. To ensure repeatable results, it is important that the input impedance of the marker receiver be resistive and between 50 and 100 ohms. If an
antenna other than the above standard is used, a figure should be obtained from the manufacturer which relates its gain to that of the standard open-wire antenna. This same factor is then applied to the receiver sensitivity adjustment. For example, if the antenna gain is -3 dB relative to the standard open wire, then the receiver should be adjusted so that the lamp is illuminated for an input of 700 micro-volts and extinguished for an input of 570 microvolts. The antenna should be adjusted in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions to match the transmission line.

6.3.4.2.2 When the coverage is determined by measuring the signal level from the aircraft antenna, the coverage limits are defined by the 1000 microvolt contour if the standard open wire antenna is employed. If another type of aircraft antenna is used, the equivalent signal level for coverage measurement is determined in the same manner described above for the receiver and lamp calibration.

6.3.4.2.3 Airborne test equipment uncertainty. The tolerance for the coverage performance of a marker beacon is ±5 s compared to a 20 s nominal value, or 25 per cent relative. When applied to the allowable variation of the signal, this tolerance corresponds to:

$$1/4 \times (1000 - 800) = 50 \mu\text{volts}$$

Because the test equipment tolerances should be at least five times better than the parameter to be measured, the uncertainty on measuring the input signal level is 10 µV.

6.3.5 POSITIONING:

6.3.5.1 MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS:

Flight inspection of the signal characteristics of the 75 MHz en-route marker beacon does not require reference positioning of the aircraft. Tolerances are given in time units, requiring that the aircraft fly on a defined trajectory and at a constant ground speed. Nominal values are a ground speed of 220 km/hr (120 kt) or 60 m/s, and an altitude of 600 m (2000 ft) or as determined from operational requirements.

6.3.5.2 ADVANCED SYSTEMS:

Flight inspection systems generally use a three-dimensional reference trajectory, providing real time values for the distance of the aircraft to the beacon within a few metres accuracy. In such a case, coverage measured in distance units is very accurate. Distance information also allows verification that the centre of the coverage area is in the correct position over the marker beacon or a well-defined point.
Table I.6-1 Summary of testing requirements for en-route markers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 Reference</th>
<th>Testing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identification keying (if used)</td>
<td>D3.4.5.1, D3.4.5.2, D3.4.5.3</td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voice</td>
<td>D3.4.2</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated coverage</td>
<td>D3.4.2</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airway coverage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holding pattern, approach procedures (where applicable)</td>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Station passage</td>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standby equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td>F/G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna current</td>
<td>D3.4.4.2</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field strength</td>
<td>D3.4.2.1</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation depth</td>
<td>D3.4.6.2</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation depth of power supply frequency components</td>
<td>D3.4.5.4</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier level change during modulation</td>
<td>D3.4.6.4</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audio distortion</td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor system (see Note)</td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Antenna current or field strength</td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Failure of identification</td>
<td>D3.4.8.1 a)</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:  
F = Flight test/inspection  
G = Ground test

Table I.6-2 Summary of ground test requirements for en-route markers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 Reference</th>
<th>MNL-002-ARTS-1.0 Reference</th>
<th>Measurand</th>
<th>Tolerance</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
<th>Periodicity (See Note)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carrier frequency</td>
<td>D3.6.1.1</td>
<td>6.2.3.1</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>±0.005%</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage (RF output power)</td>
<td>D3.6.1.2.5</td>
<td>6.2.3.2</td>
<td>Power</td>
<td>±15% of value set at commissioning</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier modulation</td>
<td>D3.6.1.2.1</td>
<td>6.2.3.3</td>
<td>Modulation depth</td>
<td>95-100%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier modulation frequency</td>
<td>D3.6.1.2.2</td>
<td>6.2.3.4</td>
<td>Frequency of tone</td>
<td>±75 Hz</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harmonic content of modulation tone</td>
<td>D3.6.1.2.1</td>
<td>6.2.3.5</td>
<td>Modulation depth</td>
<td>Total less than 15%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keying (if used)</td>
<td>D3.6.1.2.4</td>
<td>6.2.3.6</td>
<td>Keying</td>
<td>Proper, clearly audible</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitor system (where provided)</td>
<td>D3.6.1.3</td>
<td>6.2.3.7</td>
<td>Power Percent Presence</td>
<td>Alarm at:</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Carrier power</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- 3 dB</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Modulation depth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Keying (when used)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: These are typical intervals between tests. The actual periods adopted by one State may vary in the light of experience with particular equipment and its reliability record. As many of the tests as necessary should be carried out when the marker beacon has been restored to service after the clearance of a fault.
### Table I-6-3  Summary of flight inspection requirements for en-route markers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PARAMETER</th>
<th>ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 REFERENCE</th>
<th>MNL-002-ARTS-1.0 REFERENCE</th>
<th>MEASURAND</th>
<th>TOLERANCE</th>
<th>INSPECTION TYPE UNCERTAINTY (SEE NOTES)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identification (if used)</td>
<td>D3.6.1.2.4</td>
<td>6.3.3.1</td>
<td>Keying</td>
<td>Clearly audible, proper keying, correct coding and frequency.</td>
<td>C, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage</td>
<td>D3.6.1.2.5, D3.6.1.2.6</td>
<td>6.3.3.2</td>
<td>Field strength</td>
<td>Proper indication given to aircraft of the particular location on the airway. The coverage pattern should be centered over the beacon (or other defined point).</td>
<td>1 second or 10 μV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standby equipment (if installed)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.3.3.3</td>
<td>Same check and tolerances as main equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. *Commissioning checks (C)* are to be carried out before the marker beacon is initially placed in service. In addition, re-commissioning may be required whenever changes, which may affect its performance (e.g. variations or repairs to the antenna system), are made to the marker beacon.

2. *Periodic checks (P)* are typically made annually. However, it will usually be convenient to flight test the marker whenever the associated navigation aid is checked.
Chapter 7

PRECISION APPROACH RADAR (PAR)

7.1 INTRODUCTION:

7.1.1 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION:

7.1.1.1 Precision approach radar (PAR) is the part of the precision approach radar system that provides the range, azimuth and elevation data when the aircraft is in the final stages of approach. The surveillance radar element (SRE), when installed, provides the orientation information required to direct the aircraft to the correct position and altitude so that the final approach can be instituted.

7.1.1.2 The PAR is designed to provide an approach path for precise alignment and descent guidance to an aircraft on final approach to a specific runway, through the interpretation and oral instructions of a ground-based controller. PARs provide a very high degree of resolution in terms of range, azimuth and elevation by radiating a narrow pulse and beamwidth. Target information is displayed on an azimuth and elevation display. The displays must provide accurate information regarding an aircraft's range, azimuth, and elevation angle.

7.1.2 EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION:

7.1.2.1 The PAR is a pulsed radar system employing two antennas that scan in a narrow sector, one in the azimuth plane and the other in the elevation plane. The antennas are fed alternately from a single transmitter/receiver combination and the information is displayed on an azimuth and elevation display, respectively. The displays are on separate cathode ray tubes or combined on one tube.

7.1.2.2 The transmitting equipment transmits pulsed RF energy at frequencies in the order of 9000 MHz. The pulsed beams are radiated along the predetermined descent path by the azimuth and elevation antennas for an approximate range of 18.5 km (10 NM), and cover a sector of 20 degrees (±10 degrees) in azimuth and 7 degrees (-1 to 6 degrees) in elevation. Dual transmitter/receivers are provided at most PAR installations to increase the reliability of the system.

7.1.2.3 The PAR shelter, designed specifically to house the two antennas and the electronic equipment, is often mounted on a turntable and located adjacent to intersecting runways to permit multiple coverage.

7.1.2.4 The display console of the PAR is located in a control tower or center. The video and control signals are transmitted between these two sites by the use of appropriate cables.

7.1.2.5 The PAR operator obtains the azimuth, elevation and distance information from the radar display and, through radiotelephone contact, provides guidance to the pilot so that a correct approach path can be followed. Guidance is provided on a “talk down” basis with the controller and pilot in continuous contact. Once the established minimum for the runway has been reached, the pilot completes the landing visually.

7.1.3 AIRBORNE USER EQUIPMENT:
There is no airborne equipment requirement for PAR as the ground equipment relies on signals reflected from the aircraft skin. To use PAR, radio communication with air traffic control on the designated frequency at the airport is required.

7.1.4 **FACTORS AFFECTING PAR PERFORMANCE:**

7.1.4.1 The PAR employs a directive scanning antenna system, which does not rely on ground reflections in the formation of the radiation pattern. The condition of the terrain near the PAR will not affect signal accuracy as in some other navigational facilities. The surrounding terrain is an important factor, however, as a ground reflection or a shadow effect will create loss-of-aircraft-return in the ground clutter on the display or loss of line-of-sight to the aircraft.

7.1.4.2 The accuracy of the PAR depends significantly on the equipment design as it affects the read-out resolution of azimuth, elevation and distance. In addition, the ability of the radar to distinguish between two targets in close proximity is of prime importance. Similarly, the size of the displayed return on the display will affect the ability of the controller to resolve the aircraft's position.

7.1.4.3 The flight testing and calibration of the PAR is of prime importance to the quality of the PAR. Great care should be taken during flight testing, and subsequent maintenance and adjustment on a regular basis should be such as to assure continued accurate operation.

7.1.5 **TESTING REQUIREMENTS:**

A summary of testing requirements is given in Table I-7-1.

7.2 **GROUND TESTING:**

7.2.1 **GENERAL:**

7.2.1.1 While this chapter outlines certain scheduled tests, which should form part of the maintenance routine, the need for non-scheduled maintenance due to failure or to suspected deterioration will periodically occur. Regular and conscientious scheduled maintenance will ensure the high level of availability required of the system and minimize non-scheduled maintenance.

7.2.1.2 Since the operation of the PAR involves an air traffic controller, it is important that this person be satisfied and confident in the operational validity of the equipment performance. Should conflict exist between the technical criteria and operational confidence, prompt action should always be taken to verify the system and resolve questionable factors.

7.2.2 **GROUND PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS:**

Ground testing of a PAR requires that certain tests be done periodically. The following text presents general performance tests that may be used. These should be modified to conform to the specific manufacturer’s recommendations, tolerances, and experience with the specific equipment being maintained.

7.2.3 **GROUND TEST PROCEDURES:**

7.2.3.1 **GENERAL:**
The ground test procedures described here are in general terms. Detailed test procedures should conform to the manufacturer’s equipment manuals and will tend to vary considerably with the equipment being tested.

7.2.3.2 PROCEDURES:

7.2.3.2.1 PANEL METER READINGS:

The equipment is usually provided with front panel meters or computerized read-outs that allow regular checking of power supply and other voltages, as well as selected current figures for important circuits. These readings should be recorded and analysed to detect gradual changes in circuit performance and indications of possible future failures. Any out-of-tolerance readings obtained should be investigated and corrected.

7.2.3.2.2 TRANSMITTER POWER OUTPUT:

Many PAR transmitters have included a power monitor unit that allows direct measurement of average RF power output. As the power is affected by the pulse width and pulse repetition frequency (PRF), these two tests should be carried out at the same time. If a power monitor unit is not part of the equipment, it will be necessary to have a power meter and associated thermistor mount, waveguide coupler and variable attenuator to make this measurement.

7.2.3.2.3 TRANSMITTER PULSE WIDTH:

The transmitter pulse width is measured using an oscilloscope triggered from the PAR trigger pulse with a calibrated time base of approximately 5 µs/cm. The detected pulse output from the transmitter is fed to the vertical input of the oscilloscope and a suitable vertical sensitivity position selected to produce near full vertical scale deflection. The pulse width is measured between the 50 per cent levels at the leading and trailing edge of the pulse.

7.2.3.2.4 TRANSMITTER PRF:

After measuring the pulse width, the oscilloscope time base is switched to a position suitable for measurement of the PRF. For instance, for a PRF of 3850 pulses per second, 260 µs between pulses, a time base of 50 µs/cm would be suitable. The PRF is measured between the 50 per cent levels of two successive pulses.

7.2.3.2.5 WAVEFORM MEASUREMENTS:

The waveforms at the various test points indicated on the equipment can be a valuable source of information regarding the equipment operation. These waveforms should be viewed on the oscilloscope and compared to the expected waveform. The correct setting for the oscilloscope will vary with the waveform and equipment. Normally, it will be necessary to trigger the oscilloscope from the PAR trigger pulse.

7.2.3.2.6 TRANSMITTER FREQUENCY:

A wave meter used in conjunction with a suitable indicating device, or a digital counter, may be used to measure transmitter frequency. A signal is obtained from the waveguide coupler, passed through the wave meter and after amplification (if necessary) is viewed on an oscilloscope. As the wave meter is tuned through its band, the
display signal is viewed to detect minimum signal (some wave meters display maximum signal). As the minimum is reached, the transmitter frequency is read off the wave meter dial, applying any correction necessary. If the transmitter is off-frequency, it will be necessary to retune the magnetron.

7.2.3.2.7 RECEIVER PERFORMANCE:
The operation of the receiver is usually characterized by two basic checks, noise figure and minimum discernible signal (MDS).

7.2.3.2.7.1 The noise figure is checked with the aid of a noise source and a noise meter. The noise source is inserted into the receiver at an appropriate point in the waveguide (through a waveguide switch) and the output of the IF amplifier applied to the noise meter. The noise source and meter must be compatible and the calibration of the noise meter carried out as per the manufacturer’s instructions.

7.2.3.2.7.2 The MDS of the receiver system is measured by injecting a known signal level into the receiver through appropriate attenuators and measuring the point at which the IF output pulse disappears into the noise. The attenuation between the signal source and the receiver is increased until the signal at the output of the IF amplifier just disappears. The input signal level could be determined by use of a power meter and the attenuation can be read from the attenuator dial. The resulting input MDS level can then be determined.

7.2.3.2.8 LOCAL OSCILLATOR TUNING:
The local oscillator (often a klystron oscillator) must be tuned to a frequency higher (in some cases lower) than the transmitter frequency by an amount equal to the centre frequency of the IF amplifier. For a typical IF of 60 MHz, the local oscillator tuning of 9140 MHz would be required for a PAR operating frequency of 9080 MHz.

7.2.3.2.8.1 The local oscillator tuning is checked using a test signal provided by a sweep frequency oscillator centered on the transmitter frequency. In some cases, the wave meter is used to centre the sweep generator.

7.2.3.2.8.2 Initially, the test signal is viewed on an oscilloscope and the swept pulse adjusted by use of a wave meter to be centered on the proper transmitter frequency. The centre frequency, as indicated by the wave meter, will appear as a dip in the wide pulse. When the dip is centered, the test signal is adjusted correctly.

7.2.3.2.8.3 The test signal is then injected into the receiver and the IF output viewed on the oscilloscope. The local oscillator is tuned from one end of its range to the other watching for two output responses, above and
below transmitter frequency. The oscillator is then tuned for maximum output at the correct frequency above (or below, if so designed). Note that the notch in the pulse is still centered. When the output is maximum and the notch is centered, the local oscillator is correctly tuned.

7.2.3.2.8.4 After this procedure, the noise figure should be checked to ensure optimum performance.

7.2.3.2.9 AUTOMATIC FREQUENCY CONTROL (AFC) TUNING:

The AFC tuning ensures that the local oscillator will follow a change in transmitter frequency (within limits) so that the receiver will continue optimum operation. The AFC may be checked by viewing the IF output signal and slightly detuning the magnetron to each side of its optimum position. The AFC circuits should produce a corresponding shift in the local oscillator so that no effect is noted in the IF output. The extent of detuning that the AFC will follow depends on the equipment design and the criteria given in the manufacturer’s instructions.

7.2.3.2.10 RECEIVER NOISE LEVEL:

The voltage level of the noise ("grass") at the output of the IF amplifier is usually specified. This level is set by viewing the IF output on an oscilloscope and adjusting the appropriate controls. If sensitivity time control is provided on the equipment, its operation in eliminating the noise over the appropriate ranges may be checked at this time.

7.2.3.2.11 RECEIVER BANDWIDTH:

The receiver bandwidth may be checked using the same set-up as for the local oscillator tuning, provided suitable frequency markers are available on the sweep generator. When the local oscillator has been tuned to provide the correct pulse from the IF amplifier, the marker pulses are superimposed and adjusted until they coincide with the 3 dB points on the IF pulse. The difference in frequency between the marker pulses represents the bandwidth.

7.2.3.2.12 OBSERVING THE PAR DISPLAY:

The daily observation of the PAR display should include a check on the operation of all console controls, adequacy of the presented picture, accurate superimposition of the up and down scan frames, the presence of all range, elevation and azimuth marks and the condition of the cathode ray tube.

7.2.3.2.13 CONSOLE HIGH VOLTAGE CHECK:

This check is carried out using a vacuum tube voltmeter (VTVM) and a high-voltage probe. Due to the high voltage present (approximately 15 kV), the check should be carefully done by switching off the high voltage before connecting the probe. If the reading of high voltage is not correct, it should be adjusted accordingly.

7.2.3.3 INSPECTION AND MODIFICATIONS:
7.2.3.3.1 Periodic inspection of the PAR facility should be conducted to ensure that local maintenance staff are complying with directives and providing an adequate level of maintenance. This is also desirable from the point of view of keeping current with field experience with the equipment, so that problems can be investigated and corrected. The repeated requirement for adjustment or repair of some features of the PAR equipment may be an indication that modification is required. States should be prepared to approve standard modifications once they have been shown to improve operation or serviceability.

7.2.4 **TEST EQUIPMENT:**

Usually the PAR equipment will have built-in test equipment for those tests peculiar to the equipment. In addition, the following will usually be required:

7.2.4.1 oscilloscope (wide band);
7.2.4.2 noise source;
7.2.4.3 noise meter;
7.2.4.4 spectrum analyser;
7.2.4.5 power meter, with associated thermistor mount;
7.2.4.6 waveguide coupler and attenuator;
7.2.4.7 wave meter;
7.2.4.8 test signal generator (swept);
7.2.4.9 voltmeter with HV probe;

7.3 **FLIGHT TESTING:**

7.3.1 **GENERAL:**

7.3.1.1 Although there are a number of flight test procedures used for PAR, the method described here will be the "visual flight testing procedure". This method requires a minimum of special equipment and can be carried out by personnel with a minimum of training.

7.3.1.2 **GROUND PERSONNEL REQUIREMENTS:**

The following personnel are required on the ground:

7.3.1.2.1 one controller to monitor the radar console;
7.3.1.2.2 two technicians to carry out the functions required from the theodolite. One is required to track the flight check aircraft with the crosshairs of the instrument and the other to monitor the elevation or azimuth vernier scales and advise the pilot of the aircraft’s position in relation to the glide path or the centre line of the runway and record the deviations.

7.3.2 **FLIGHT TEST PERFORMANCE PARAMETERS**

Flight test requirements are listed in Table I-7-3

7.3.3 **FLIGHT TEST PROCEDURES:**
7.3.3.1 GENERAL:
The general procedure is as follows:

7.3.3.1.1 The controller vectors the aircraft and provides initial guidance instructions to establish the aircraft on the runway centre line and the glide path, if possible, at a distance greater than 18.5 km (10 NM) from touchdown;

7.3.3.1.2 The controller continues using a talk-down procedure until the theodolite operator has made contact with the aircraft through theodolite;

7.3.3.1.3 Contact should be made before the aircraft reaches the distance of 11 km (6 NM) from touchdown. Under some conditions, it helps to have the aircraft approach lights turned on during the approach;

7.3.3.1.4 After the theodolite operator has contact, the pilot is provided with azimuth or elevation deviation in degrees every half-mile during the remainder of the approach;

7.3.3.1.5 The controller provides the indication as the aircraft passes each half-mile;

7.3.3.1.6 During the descent, the pilot uses the theodolite deviations to assist in maintaining the aircraft on path;

7.3.3.1.7 The controller and theodolite operator simultaneously record the aircraft’s position on the console display and as seen by the theodolite; and

7.3.3.1.8 After completion of the approach, the PAR errors may be calculated using this information.

7.3.3.2 AZIMUTH FLIGHT TEST:
The procedures are as follows:

7.3.3.2.1 Locate the theodolite on the extended centre line of the runway, a safe distance off the approach end, carefully level and zero it accurately along the centre line.

7.3.3.2.2 Locate the radio unit near the theodolite to allow easy operation by the theodolite operator.

7.3.3.2.3 Incline the theodolite at the glide angle.

7.3.3.2.4 The controller at the console should now vector the aircraft at an appropriate altitude so that the aircraft will be positioned for a straight-in approach, if possible, at least 10 NM from touchdown.

7.3.3.2.5 The controller begins the talk-down so that the aircraft can establish the correct rate of descent and azimuth heading.

7.3.3.2.6 When the aircraft becomes visible to the theodolite operator, the operator begins tracking the nose of the aircraft and reading out the position of the aircraft every half-mile during the approach. The controller alerts the theodolite operator as each half-mile is crossed.

7.3.3.2.7 The aircraft deviations are read from the theodolite to an accuracy of 0.01 degree, if possible. For example, if the aircraft is on course, the operator will report 0.00 degree, if the aircraft is to the right of centre line the operator reports 0.02 degree and, if the aircraft is to the left, he reports 0.98 degree.

7.3.3.2.8 During the run, the pilot attempts to retain a suitable rate of
descend so that the aircraft will remain within the field of vision of the theodolite. The pilot will also alter course in accordance with the indications from the theodolite so that the aircraft will remain as nearly as possible on course.

7.3.3.2.9 The approach is broken off when the aircraft is over the end of the runway and control reverts to the controller to position the aircraft for the next approach.

7.3.3.2.10 During the approach, the controller and the theodolite operator record, on a suitable form, the aircraft position with respect to the runway centre line every half-mile from the distance of 18.5 km (10 NM). This information is used later to calculate the PAR errors.

### 7.3.3.3 Glide Path Flight Test:

The procedures are as follows:

7.3.3.3.1 Locate the theodolite on the side of the runway towards the approach end, such that the optical plane of the instrument will pass through the touchdown point when inclined at the glide path angle. Since the instrument is higher than the touchdown point, it should be positioned in the direction of the approach end of the runway and the appropriate number of metres (feet) from the touchdown point. For a glide path angle of 2.5 degrees, the theodolite would be moved 7 m (23 ft) for every 0.3 m (1 ft) difference in height.

7.3.3.3.2 Locate the radio near the theodolite to allow easy operation by the theodolite operator.

7.3.3.3.3 Carefully level the theodolite, align it parallel to the runway centre line, and incline it at the desired glide angle.

7.3.3.3.4 The controller at the console should now vector the aircraft at an appropriate altitude so that the aircraft will be positioned for a normal approach, if possible, at least 18.5 km (10 NM) from touchdown.

7.3.3.3.5 The controller begins the talk-down so that the aircraft can establish the correct rate of descent and glide path heading.

7.3.3.3.6 When the aircraft becomes visible to the theodolite operator, the operator begins tracking the nose of the aircraft and reading out the position of the aircraft every half-mile during the approach. The controller alerts the theodolite operator as each half-mile is crossed.

7.3.3.3.7 The aircraft deviations are read from the theodolite to an accuracy of 0.01 degree, if possible. For example, for a glide angle of 2.5 degrees the operator will report 2.50 degrees when the aircraft is on path, 2.52 degrees when the aircraft is above path and 2.48 degrees when the aircraft is below path.

7.3.3.3.8 During the run, the pilot is required to remain in line with the extended runway centre line so that the aircraft will remain within the field of vision of the theodolite. The pilot will also alter the rate of descent in accordance with the indications from the theodolite so that the aircraft will remain as close as possible on the glide path.

7.3.3.3.9 The approach is broken off when the aircraft is over the end of the runway and control reverts to the controller to position the aircraft for the next approach.
7.3.3.3.10 During the approach, the controller and the theodolite operator record, on a suitable form, the aircraft position with respect to the runway centre line every half-mile, if possible, from 18.5 km (10 NM). This information is used later to calculate the PAR errors.

7.3.3.4 COVERAGE CHECK:

The coverage of the PAR facility can easily be confirmed during the azimuth and glide path flight tests. Coverage checks require solid returns from an aircraft with a reflection area of 15 m² (165 ft²) and should be obtained from a distance of 16.7 km (9 NM) and an altitude of 300 m (1000 ft) above intervening terrain. For aircraft having different surface reflection areas, the coverage requirements should be modified accordingly.

7.3.3.5 RESOLUTION TESTS:

The ability of the PAR to resolve two aircraft in close proximity cannot practically be flight-tested. This is a prime factor in the design of the equipment; it will normally be sufficient for the controller to evaluate the quality of successive returns from the aircraft during the flight test to ensure that the resolution in elevation, azimuth and distance is satisfactory. The factors that should be considered during this evaluation are size and clarity of displayed return, speed and direction of aircraft travel and distance between successive returns on the display.

7.3.3.6 FLIGHT TEST ANALYSIS AND REPORT:

7.3.3.6.1 Data from the controller, pilot, and theodolite operator should be entered on a suitable form.

7.3.3.6.2 The inspector should record the following information during the flight test:

7.3.3.6.2.1 the altimeter reading each time the controller reports the aircraft's range;
7.3.3.6.2.2 the accuracy of the range information; and
7.3.3.6.2.3 the accuracy of the azimuth information provided by the PAR.

Note: Both 7.3.3.6.2.2 and 7.3.3.6.2.3 above can be checked for gross errors by the inspector with the aid of visual references to geographical landmarks indicated on a specially prepared chart.

7.3.3.6.3 Following the flight test, the theodolite deviation should be converted to metres or feet so that the PAR error may be calculated. Each theodolite deviation for azimuth and glide path is converted and recorded in the appropriate column of the report form. The PAR error for both azimuth and glide path can then be calculated by combining the displayed deviations recorded by the controller and the theodolite operator.

7.3.3.6.4 After the above has been completed, the controller, inspector and theodolite operator review the results and jointly certify the facility, providing it is within tolerance. Copies of the report form are distributed in accordance with States’ normal practices.

7.3.4 CHARTS AND REPORTS:
7.3.4.1 REPORT FORMS:
The regular maintenance visits to the PAR equipment should be suitably recorded using appropriate forms to record performance and deviations from normal. These reports should be reviewed periodically to determine stability and to anticipate problems that may be developing. These reports may also serve to indicate weaknesses in the equipment, which should be overcome through engineering changes.

7.3.4.2 The flight testing of a PAR facility should be documented using appropriate forms, which along with the above-mentioned maintenance form, represent a continuous record of the accuracy and performance of the PAR.

7.3.4.3 CHART FOR FLIGHT TESTING
The pilot of the test aircraft should have a chart of the approach area of the runway to be tested showing the runway, extended centre line, distances every 0.9 km (0.5 NM) from touchdown, and identifying landmarks along the flight path.

7.3.5 TEST EQUIPMENT:

7.3.5.1 AIRCRAFT:
Although it is not necessary to utilize a special aircraft for the flight testing of PAR, it is highly desirable that the aircraft used be specially designated for this work and that it be piloted by a qualified flight inspection pilot. This is desirable because the qualitative assessment of the PAR by the pilot will form an important part of the validation for the facility.

7.3.5.2 SPECIAL EQUIPMENT:
A theodolite suitably modified to accurately read the displacement in azimuth and elevation of the flight test aircraft from the desired approach path may be required. This can be provided by vertical and horizontal vernier read-outs on the theodolite to allow angular displacement to be determined to the nearest 0.01 degree. However, in keeping with the magnitude of PAR errors, an accuracy of ±0.05 degrees is usually considered adequate.

7.3.5.3 COMMUNICATIONS:
Radio communications is required between the controller at the console and the aircraft pilot and between the theodolite operator and the pilot. The theodolite operator should also be capable of monitoring the controller’s communications with the pilot.

7.3.6 POSITIONING:
Positioning information may be achieved by several methods, including theodolite, radio telemetering theodolite, or an automatic airborne positioning system (automated flight inspection system). Other flight test procedures, which are equally valid, include a photographic flight test that uses a photo-theodolite to record on film both the aircraft flight path and the console display simultaneously. This procedure is quite expensive and requires specialized photographic processing. Any positioning system that is used but not described in this chapter will require specific instructions for use that may be obtained from the manufacturer of the equipment.
### Table I-7-1  Summary of testing requirements for PAR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 Reference</th>
<th>Testing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coverage</td>
<td>D3.2.3.1</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>D3.2.3.3</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azimuth</td>
<td>D3.2.3.3.1</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>D3.2.3.3.2</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>D3.2.3.3.3</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmitter</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power output</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse width</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse repetition frequency (PRF)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waveform</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receiver</td>
<td></td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local oscillator</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automatic frequency control (AFC)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise level</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR display</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High voltage</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Legend: F = Flight test/inspection  
G = Ground test*
### Table I-7-3  Flight test requirements for PAR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>ANO-002-DRTS-1.0 reference</th>
<th>MNL-002-ARTS-1.0 reference</th>
<th>Measurand</th>
<th>Tolerance</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
<th>Inspection Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coverage</td>
<td>D3.2.3.1</td>
<td>7.3.3.4</td>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>≥16.7 km (9 NM)</td>
<td>0.19 km (0.1 NM)</td>
<td>C, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Azimuth</td>
<td>±20° of centerline</td>
<td>1°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>7°</td>
<td>0.1°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>D3.2.3.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C, P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azimuth</td>
<td>D3.2.3.3.1</td>
<td>7.3.3.2</td>
<td>Azimuth</td>
<td>0.6% of distance from PAR antenna + 10% of aircraft deviation, or 9 m (30 ft), whichever is greater (see Note 1).</td>
<td>3 m (10 ft)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>D3.2.3.3.2</td>
<td>7.3.3.3</td>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>0.4% of distance from PAR antenna + 10% of aircraft deviation, or 6 m (20 ft), whichever is greater (see Note 1).</td>
<td>3 m (10 ft)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>D3.2.3.3.3</td>
<td>7.3.3.2 7.3.3.3</td>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>30 m (100 ft) + 3% of distance to touchdown</td>
<td>3 m (10 ft)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** In practice, it has been found that the following tolerances, although more stringent, are easily applied and attained:

- **Azimuth** — 0.6 per cent of distance to PAR antenna;
- **Elevation** — 0.4 per cent of distance to PAR antenna.

**Legend:**
- **C** = Commissioning;
- **P** = Periodic (normally at least every 270 days)
Chapter 8

FLIGHT INSPECTION OF INSTRUMENT FLIGHT PROCEDURES

8.1 INTRODUCTION:

8.1.1 GENERAL:

8.1.1.1 Instrument flight procedures depict standard routings, manoeuvring areas, flight altitudes, and approach minima for instrument flight rules (IFR) flight activities. These procedures include airways, off-airway routes, jet routes, instrument approach procedures (IAPs), instrument departure procedures, terminal arrival routes, procedures predicated upon the use of flight management systems (FMS) and Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) operations.

8.1.1.2 Instrument flight procedures should be a part of the flight inspection process for initial certification and as part of the periodic quality assurance programme as established by the individual States.

8.2 PRE-FLIGHT REQUIREMENTS:

8.2.1 INSTRUMENT FLIGHT PROCEDURES SPECIALIST:

8.2.1.1 The instrument flight procedure specialist is normally responsible for providing all data applicable to conducting a flight inspection to the flight inspection operations activity. When appropriate, the procedure specialists should be prepared to provide briefings to the flight inspection crews in those cases where flight procedures have unique application or special features.

8.2.1.2 The instrument flight procedures specialist should participate in the initial certification flight to assist in its evaluation and obtain direct knowledge of issues related to the procedures design from the flight inspection pilot and/or inspector.

8.2.2 INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE (IAP) DATA PACKAGE:

Each IAP flight inspection package should include the following data:

8.2.2.1 A plan view of the final approach obstacle evaluation template, drawn on air navigation charts of sufficient scale to safely accommodate use for navigation, elevated terrain analysis, obstacles, and obstructions evaluation.

8.2.2.2 Completed documents that identify associated terrain, obstacles and obstructions as applicable to the procedure. The controlling terrain/obstacle should be identified and highlighted on the appropriate chart.

8.2.2.3 Minimum altitudes determined to be applicable from map studies and database information for each segment of the procedure.

8.2.2.4 A narrative description of the instrument approach procedure.

8.2.2.5 Plan and profile pictorial views of the instrument approach procedure.

8.2.2.6 Documented data as applicable for each fix, inter-section, and/or holding pattern.

8.2.2.7 Air/ground communications, as applicable to each segment of the procedure.
8.2.2.8 Airport marking and any special local operating procedures such as noise abatement, non-standard traffic patterns, lighting activation, etc.

8.3 FLIGHT INSPECTION PROCEDURES:

8.3.1 OBJECTIVE:
The objective of the flight inspection evaluation of instrument flight procedures is to assure that the navigation source supports the procedure, ensures obstacle clearance, and checks the flyability of the design. The following activities should be accomplished:

8.3.1.1 Verify the obstacle that serves as the basis for computing the minimum altitude in each segment of the IAP.

8.3.1.2 Evaluate aircraft manoeuvring areas for safe operations for each category of aircraft for which the procedure is intended.

8.3.1.3 Review the instrument procedure for complexity of design, and evaluate the intensity of the cockpit workload to determine if any unique requirements adversely impact safe operating practices. Check for correctness of information, propriety, and ease of interpretation.

8.3.1.4 If appropriate, verify that all required runway markings, lighting, and communications are in place and operative.

8.3.2 INSTRUMENT FLIGHT PROCEDURE VERIFICATION:
The flight inspection of an instrument flight procedure and verification of the obstacle data may be conducted during the associated navigation aid inspection if visual meteorological conditions (VMC) prevail throughout each segment.

8.3.3 VERIFICATION OF OBSTACLE CLEARANCE:

8.3.3.1 ORIGINAL FLIGHT PROCEDURES:
A ground or in-flight obstacle verification should be conducted for each route segment during the development of original flight procedures.

8.3.3.2 IDENTIFICATION OF NEW OBSTACLES:
When new obstacles are discovered during flight inspection activities, the flight inspector should identify the location and height of the new obstruction(s), and provide the information to the procedure specialist. Procedure commissioning should be denied until the procedure specialist’s analysis has been completed and the flight procedure adjusted as appropriate.

8.3.3.3 DETERMINATION OF OBSTACLE HEIGHTS:
If in-flight height determination of obstacles or terrain is required, accurate altimeter settings and altitude references are necessary to obtain the most accurate results possible. The method of obstacle height determination should be documented on the flight inspection report.

8.3.4 DETAILED PROCEDURES:

8.3.4.1 EN-ROUTE/Terminal Routes:
Evaluate each en-route or terminal segment during commissioning flight inspections to ensure that the proposed minimum obstacle clearance altitude (MOCA) is adequate. These segments should be flown at the pro-posed minimum en-route altitude (MEA) using the applicable NAVAID for guidance.
For instrument departure procedures, the segment(s) should be evaluated according to an established NAVAID, fix or point where en-route obstacle clearance has been established. For a terminal arrival route, each segment should be evaluated from where the route departs established obstacle clearance to the point where the route intercepts an established approach procedure. Periodic inspections of en-route and terminal route segments are not required.

8.3.4.2 **FINAL APPROACH SEGMENT:**
The final approach course should deliver the aircraft to the desired point. The point varies with the type of system providing procedural guidance and should be determined by the procedure specialist. After flight inspection verifies the established point, it should not be changed without the concurrence of the procedure specialist. When the system does not deliver the aircraft to the established point, and if the system cannot be adjusted to regain the desired alignment, the procedure should be redesigned.

8.3.4.3 **MISSED APPROACH:**
The flight inspector should assure that the designed procedural altitudes provide the appropriate required or minimum obstacle clearance (ROC/MOC) and determine that the procedure is safe and operationally sound for the categories of aircraft for which use is intended.

8.3.4.4 **CIRCLING AREA:**
The flight inspector should verify that the depicted circling manoeuvring areas are safe for each category of aircraft and that the controlling obstacle is correctly identified.

8.3.4.5 **TERMINAL SEGMENTS:**
Controlling obstacles in terminal segments should be confirmed visually by in-flight or ground observation. If unable to confirm that the controlling obstacle, as identified by the procedure specialist, is the highest obstruction in the segment, the flight inspector should list the location, type, and approximate elevation of the obstacles to be provided to the procedure specialist for technical evaluation. Conduct obstacle evaluations in VMC only. The flight inspector should be responsible for ensuring that instrument flight procedures are operationally safe in all areas of design, criteria application and flyability.

8.3.4.6 **INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURE (IAP):**
An IAP intended for publication should be evaluated in flight. The final approach template should be evaluated to identify/verify the controlling obstruction. The final approach segment should be flown at an altitude 30 m (100 ft) below the proposed minimum descent altitude. Approaches with precision vertical guidance should be evaluated according to the proposed decision or missed approach altitude. Discrepancies or inaccurate data should be provided to the procedure specialist for action prior to commissioning the procedure.

8.3.4.7 **MINIMUM EN-ROUTE ALTITUDE (MEA) AND CHANGE-OVER POINTS (COPS):**
MEAs are computed and published in accordance with policies and procedures in effect with each State. MEAs and COPs should be predicated on minimum obstruction clearance altitude (MOCA), minimum reception altitude (MRA), airspace, or communication requirements. If more than one of
these altitudes are procedurally applicable, the highest altitude determined through a flight inspection should become the minimum operational altitude.

8.3.4.8 **FIXES/HOLDING PATTERNS:**
Controlling obstacles should be verified to ensure the adequacy of minimum holding altitude (MHA).

8.3.4.9 **AIR-GROUND COMMUNICATIONS:**
Air-ground communications with the appropriate controlling facility should be evaluated for satisfactory performance at the minimum initial approach fix altitude and at the missed approach altitude. In those cases where air traffic control operations require continuous communications throughout the approach, flight inspection should evaluate availability of that coverage.

8.3.4.10 **AREA NAVIGATION (RNAV):**
Procedures based upon RNAV (GNSS or FMS) should be evaluated by flight inspection for conformance to safe and sound operational practices. In addition to the above applicable requirements, flight inspection of these procedures should evaluate the following:

8.3.4.10.1 **WAYPOINT ACCURACY:**
The waypoints depicted on the procedure should be verified as properly labeled and correct. The fix displacement areas should be evaluated and determined to be accurate.

8.3.4.10.2 **BEARING ACCURACY:**
Where applicable, the bearing, as depicted on the instrument approach procedure, should be evaluated for accuracy.

8.3.4.10.3 **DISTANCE ACCURACY:**
Distances should be verified for accuracy from the automated flight inspection system where applicable, or by using ground reference positions when conducting manual flight inspection operations. When utilizing an automated system, the software database information should be validated in the interest of distance accuracy.

8.3.5 **ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS:**

8.3.5.1 **GENERAL:**
The inspection pilot should review and evaluate each segment of the procedure for conformance with safe operational practices as applicable to the following areas:

8.3.5.1.1 **PROCEDURE SAFETY:**
The procedure should be evaluated to ensure compliance with safe operating practices, simplicity of the depiction, and a reason-able level of flight crew workload associated with programming and flying the required manoeuvres.

8.3.5.1.2 **RUNWAY MARKING, LIGHTING AND COMMUNICATIONS:**
The flight inspector should evaluate these airport facilities to assure their suitability in supporting the procedure. Lack of suitability in any
of these areas supports denying the procedure.

8.3.6 AIRPORT LIGHTING EVALUATIONS:

8.3.6.1 NEW FLIGHT PROCEDURES:
For new instrument approach procedures at airports with no prior IFR service, a night flight inspection should be conducted to determine the adequacy of airport lighting systems prior to authorizing night minima.

8.3.6.2 APPROACH/LANDING LIGHT SYSTEM INSPECTION:
Airport light systems should be evaluated during the hours of darkness. The evaluation should determine that the light system displays the correct lighting patterns, that they operate in accordance with operational design/capabilities and that local area lighting patterns do not distract, confuse or incorrectly identify the runway environment.

8.4 ANALYSIS:

8.4.1 GENERAL:
The flight inspection should determine that the procedure is flyable and safe. When a new procedure is found to be unsatisfactory, the flight inspector should coordinate with the instrument flight procedure specialist to resolve identified problem areas and determine the necessary changes. When a published procedure is found unsatisfactory, the flight inspector should initiate action to advertise the deficiency through a NOTAM publication and advise the procedure specialist.

8.4.2 HUMAN FACTORS:
The criteria used to develop instrument flight procedures include factors associated with minimizing cockpit workload and human limitations. The flight inspector should consider whether or not an instrument approach procedure is operationally safe and flyable for a minimally qualified solo pilot, flying an aircraft with basic IFR instrumentation in instrument meteorological conditions, using standard navigation charting. The flight inspector should apply the principles of Human Factors when certifying an original or amended procedure by considering the following characteristics.

8.4.2.1 COMPLEXITY:
The procedure should be as simple as possible to avoid imposing an excessive workload.

8.4.2.2 PRESENTATION
The flight inspector should confirm that the procedure presentation conforms to requirements.

8.5 TOLERANCES:
Distance and bearing accuracies should be in accordance with the specific chapters of this document, depending on the type of navigation source upon which the instrument procedure has been developed. The navigation aid and the procedure should consistently deliver the aircraft to a point within the depicted fix displacement area, as applicable.

8.6 ADJUSTMENTS:
The flight inspection crew should support the facility maintenance technicians efforts by supplying all available data collected on the facility and provide flight inspection support where possible. Requests for ground based equipment adjustments should be specific.
8.7 REPORTS:

Once all checks have been made, and input has been received from all flight crew members, the flight inspector should complete the flight inspection report to document that the procedure has been checked.
APPENDIX TO CHAPTER 4

(SAMPLE) FLIGHT INSPECTION REPORT

FACILITY TYPE: ILS
NAME & IDENT: XXXXXXX, XX. XXX02
FI TYPE: ROUTINE

INSPECTED: 19 Nov 1996 18:32:14

FI SYSTEM: AIRCRAFT REGISTRATION NUMBER
INS 1 S/N: 1218
INS 2 S/N: 1590
DFIS S/W REV: 8.037
DFIS DB REV: 7.02 14 Nov 1996 14:39:13
SCAPE S/W REV: 27
SCAPE DB REV: 7.02 14 Nov 1996 14:39:13

WE THE UNDERSIGNED CERTIFY

THAT THE FACILITY MEETS OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS

PILOT: XXXXXXX

SIGNATURE: ___________________________ DATE: __________

THAT THE RADIATED PARAMETERS ARE WITHIN TECHNICAL TOLERANCES

TECHNICAL OFFICER: XXXXXXX

SIGNATURE: ___________________________ DATE: __________

31/07/2014 APP A-1 MNL-002-ARTS-1.0
### Manual on Testing of Radio Navigation Aids

**MANUAL ON TESTING OF RADIO NAVIGATION AIDS**

**FI_REPORT for: XXX02**

**ILS DATE:** 19 Nov 1996  **TIME:** 18:32:14  **Page:** 1

**FI RUN DIRECTORY FOR: ILS XXXXXXX, XX, XXX02**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>TX#</th>
<th>RUN</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
<th>DISK</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>TIME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SCAPE INITIALIZATION</td>
<td>RUN</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>COMPLETE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19 Nov 1996</td>
<td>16:14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>LOC ALIGN/STRUCTURE</td>
<td>OPT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F1 REPORT</td>
<td>19 Nov 1996</td>
<td>16:25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>LOC 150 Hz 1/4 CW</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F1 REPORT</td>
<td>19 Nov 1996</td>
<td>16:34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LOC 90 Hz 1/4 CW</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F1 REPORT</td>
<td>19 Nov 1996</td>
<td>16:40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>LOC ALIGN/STRUCTURE</td>
<td>OPT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>F1 REPORT</td>
<td>19 Nov 1996</td>
<td>16:49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>LOC 150 Hz 1/4 CW</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>F1 REPORT</td>
<td>19 Nov 1996</td>
<td>16:58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>LOC 90 Hz 1/4 CW</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>F1 REPORT</td>
<td>19 Nov 1996</td>
<td>17:06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>LOC COURSE CLEARANCE</td>
<td>OPT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F1 REPORT</td>
<td>19 Nov 1996</td>
<td>17:10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>GP ALIGN/STRUCTURE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F1 REPORT</td>
<td>19 Nov 1996</td>
<td>17:23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>GP 150 Hz 1/4 CW</td>
<td>OPT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F1 REPORT</td>
<td>19 Nov 1996</td>
<td>17:40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>GP 90 Hz 1/4 CW</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F1 REPORT</td>
<td>19 Nov 1996</td>
<td>17:47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>GP ALIGN/STRUCTURE</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>F1 REPORT</td>
<td>19 Nov 1996</td>
<td>17:57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>GP 150 Hz 1/4 CW</td>
<td>OPT</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F1 REPORT</td>
<td>19 Nov 1996</td>
<td>18:05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>GP 90 Hz 1/4 CW</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>F1 REPORT</td>
<td>19 Nov 1996</td>
<td>18:14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>GP COURSE CLEARANCE</td>
<td>OPT</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>F1 REPORT</td>
<td>19 Nov 1996</td>
<td>18:24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AIRCRAFT ANTENNA GAIN FACTORS USED (dB)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANTENNA</th>
<th>FWD</th>
<th>AFT</th>
<th>STAR</th>
<th>PORT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAV TOP</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAV BOT</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP LEFT</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GP RIGHT</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MKR</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADF</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GPS SIGNAL GAIN / LOSS FACTORS USED (dB)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANT Gain</th>
<th>AMP Gain</th>
<th>NOISE</th>
<th>ANT-SA Loss</th>
<th>ANT-Rx Loss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1 Band</td>
<td>-4.5</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2 Band</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FLIGHT INSPECTION COMMENTS for FACILITY: XXX02**

- 19 Nov 1996 15:58:43  STATION TEMPERATURE -19 [Deg C]
- 19 Nov 1996 15:58:43  XXXXXXXXX
- 19 Nov 1996 15:58:43  N 90:00 S 90:00 E 110:00 W 130:00
- 19 Nov 1996 16:01:18  FICAL Verify of LOC1: PASSED @ 110.3 MHz
- 19 Nov 1996 16:03:39  FICAL Verify of GP1: PASSED @ 335 MHz
- 19 Nov 1996 18:30:39  LOC BACK COURSE PILOT EVALUATION: SATISFACTORY.
- 19 Nov 1996 18:31:05  FLIGHT TIME = 2.5 hrs  WX=OC/NC.
**MANUAL ON TESTING OF RADIO NAVIGATION AIDS**

**FL_REPORT** for: XX02  ILS  DATE: 19 Nov 1996  TIME: 18:33:14  Page: 2

******************************************************************************

**RUN TYPE: LOC ALIGN/STRUCTURE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AS LEFT</th>
<th>RUN No.: 2 TX: 1 RUN No.: 5 TX: 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alignment</td>
<td>AVG (uA) AVG (uA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A - B</td>
<td>1.3 - .3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>% Course Excursion</th>
<th>% Course Excursion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P - A</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A - B</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B - T</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MOD 150 %</th>
<th>MOD 90 %</th>
<th>MOD 150 %</th>
<th>MOD 90 %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P - A</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>1981.9</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10 NM @ CL</th>
<th>RF (dBuV/m)</th>
<th>FLAG (uA)</th>
<th>IDENT (%)</th>
<th>RF (dBuV/m)</th>
<th>FLAG (uA)</th>
<th>IDENT (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AS FOUND</td>
<td>RUN No.: 2 TX: 1 RUN No.: 5 TX: 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alignment</td>
<td>AVG (uA) AVG (uA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A - B</td>
<td>1.3 - .3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>% Course Excursion</th>
<th>% Course Excursion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P - A</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A - B</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B - T</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MOD 150 %</th>
<th>MOD 90 %</th>
<th>MOD 150 %</th>
<th>MOD 90 %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P - A</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10 NM @ CL</th>
<th>RF (dBuV/m)</th>
<th>FLAG (uA)</th>
<th>IDENT (%)</th>
<th>RF (dBuV/m)</th>
<th>FLAG (uA)</th>
<th>IDENT (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**RUN TYPE: LOC 90 Hz 1/4 CW**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AS LEFT</th>
<th>RUN No.: 4 TX: 1 RUN No.: 7 TX: 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A - B</td>
<td>95.9 uA/deg 76.7 uA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AS FOUND</th>
<th>RUN No.: 4 TX: 1 RUN No.: 7 TX: 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A - B</td>
<td>93.2 uA/deg 74.5 uA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### FL_REPORT for: XX02 LS

**DATE:** 19 Nov 1996  **TIME:** 18:32:14  **Page:** 3

**RUN TYPE:** LOC 150 Hz 1/4 CW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AS LEFT</th>
<th>RUN No.:</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>TX: 1</th>
<th>RUN No.:</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>TX: 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A - B</td>
<td>96.5 µA/deg</td>
<td>-77.2 µA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90.2 µA/deg</td>
<td>-72.1 µA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AS FOUND**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AS FOUND</th>
<th>RUN No.:</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>TX: 1</th>
<th>RUN No.:</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>TX: 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A - B</td>
<td>96.5 µA/deg</td>
<td>-77.2 µA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90.2 µA/deg</td>
<td>-72.1 µA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**RUN TYPE:** LOC COURSE CLEARANCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AS LEFT</th>
<th>TX: 1</th>
<th>RUN: 8 FC</th>
<th>MODE: NORMAL</th>
<th>MIN CP(µA)</th>
<th>TX: 2</th>
<th>RUN: 8 BC</th>
<th>MODE: NORMAL</th>
<th>MIN CP(µA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+35 TO +10</td>
<td></td>
<td>335.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>321.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-35 TO -10</td>
<td></td>
<td>-365.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-347.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10 TO +10</td>
<td></td>
<td>PASS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PASS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AS FOUND**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TX: 1</th>
<th>RUN: 8 FC</th>
<th>MODE: NORMAL</th>
<th>MIN CP(µA)</th>
<th>TX: 2</th>
<th>RUN: 8 BC</th>
<th>MODE: NORMAL</th>
<th>MIN CP(µA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+35 TO +10</td>
<td></td>
<td>335.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>321.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-35 TO -10</td>
<td></td>
<td>-365.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-347.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-10 TO +10</td>
<td></td>
<td>PASS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PASS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### MANUAL ON TESTING OF RADIO NAVIGATION AIDS

**F I REPORT for: XXX02 ILS**  
**DATE: 19 Nov 1996**  
**TIME: 18:32:14**  
**Page: 4**

---

#### RUN TYPE: GP ALIGN/STRUCTURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alignment</th>
<th>RUN No.: 9 TX: 1</th>
<th>RUN No.: 12 TX: 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A - B</td>
<td>AVG (μA)</td>
<td>AVG (μA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>% Path Excursion</th>
<th>% Path Excursion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P - A</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A - B</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B - T</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MOD 90 %</th>
<th>MOD 150 %</th>
<th>MOD 90 %</th>
<th>MOD 150 %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P - A</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>39.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10 NM @ CL</th>
<th>RF (dBA/m)</th>
<th>FLAG (μA)</th>
<th>IDENT (%)</th>
<th>RF (dBA/m)</th>
<th>FLAG (μA)</th>
<th>IDENT (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>372.8</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>371.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

#### AS FOUND

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alignment</th>
<th>RUN No.: 9 TX: 1</th>
<th>RUN No.: 12 TX: 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A - B</td>
<td>AVG (μA)</td>
<td>AVG (μA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>% Path Excursion</th>
<th>% Path Excursion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P - A</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A - B</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B - T</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MOD 90 %</th>
<th>MOD 150 %</th>
<th>MOD 90 %</th>
<th>MOD 150 %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P - A</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>39.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10 NM @ CL</th>
<th>RF (dBA/m)</th>
<th>FLAG (μA)</th>
<th>IDENT (%)</th>
<th>RF (dBA/m)</th>
<th>FLAG (μA)</th>
<th>IDENT (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>68.5</td>
<td>372.8</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>371.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

#### RUN TYPE: GP 90 Hz 1/4 CW

**AS LEFT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alignment</th>
<th>RUN No.: 11 TX: 1</th>
<th>RUN No.: 14 TX: 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A - B</td>
<td>215.1 μA/deg</td>
<td>235.0 μA/deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>77.6 μA</td>
<td>84.7 μA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AS FOUND**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alignment</th>
<th>RUN No.: 11 TX: 1</th>
<th>RUN No.: 14 TX: 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A - B</td>
<td>215.1 μA/deg</td>
<td>235.0 μA/deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>77.6 μA</td>
<td>84.7 μA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FI REPORT for: XXX02 ILS  DATE: 19 Nov 1996  TIME: 18.32:14  Page: 5

RUN TYPE: GP 150 Hz 1/4 CW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AS LEFT</th>
<th>RUN No.: 10</th>
<th>TX: 1</th>
<th>RUN No.: 13</th>
<th>TX: 2</th>
<th>A - B</th>
<th>202.0 uA/deg</th>
<th>200.6 uA/deg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-72.8 uA</td>
<td>-72.3 uA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AS FOUND  RUN No.: 10  TX: 1  RUN No.: 13  TX: 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A - B</th>
<th>202.0 uA/deg</th>
<th>200.6 uA/deg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-72.8 uA</td>
<td>-72.3 uA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RUN TYPE: GP COURSE CLEARANCE

AS LEFT  RUN No.: 15  TX: 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>.3-.45 (NORMAL)</th>
<th>MAX CP (uA)</th>
<th>AVG CP (uA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A - B (NORMAL)</td>
<td>-312.4</td>
<td>-185.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AS FOUND  RUN No.: 15  TX: 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>.3-.45 (NORMAL)</th>
<th>MAX CP (uA)</th>
<th>AVG CP (uA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A - B (NORMAL)</td>
<td>-312.4</td>
<td>-185.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure I-4.1 Glide path alignment structure
Figure I.4.2. Localizer alignment structure

Figure I.4.3. Localizer clearance

Note.—Figure I.4.3 shows values for the Sum of Depth of Modulations (SDM) exceeding 60% at some azimuths. This is common for many antenna systems with small apertures (e.g., a small number of elements) installed on longer runways requiring smaller course widths. Annex 10, Volume I, 3.1.3.5.3.6.1, limiting the SDM to a maximum value of 60% for installations occurring after 1 January 2000. This new limit will not be applied to existing arrays installed before that date.
APPENDIX A

TEST PROCEDURES FOR MEASURING AERONAUTICAL RECEIVER CHARACTERISTICS USED FOR DETERMINING COMPATIBILITY BETWEEN THE SOUND-BROADCASTING SERVICE IN THE BAND OF ABOUT 87-108 MHz AND THE AERONAUTICAL SERVICES IN THE BAND 108-118 MHz

(Question ITU-R 201/2) (1995)

The ITU Radio communication Assembly,

considering

a) that, in order to ensure the efficiency of spectrum utilization, there is a need to assess the compatibility between the sound-broadcasting service in the band of about 87-108 MHz and the aeronautical radio navigation services in the band 108-118 MHz;

b) that International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) Annex 10 (see Definitions in Annex 1, Appendix 2) does not specify the receiver interference immunity characteristics necessary to fully assess this compatibility;

c) that the test procedures given in Annex 1 were used in the development of interference assessment criteria, appropriate to the ICAO Annex 10, 1998 receivers, as contained in Recommendation ITU-R IS.1009;

d) that in order to refine the interference assessment criteria contained in Recommendation ITU-R IS.1009 additional tests are required on aeronautical radio navigation receivers designed to meet the ICAO Annex 10 interference immunity criteria;

e) that there is a need for standardized test procedures,

recommends

1. that the test procedures given in Annex 1 should be used to determine the characteristics of typical aircraft instrument landing system (ILS) localizer “and very high frequency omni-directional radio range (VOR)” receivers with respect to compatibility with the sound-broadcasting service in the band of about 87-108 MHz;

2. that the results of tests performed according to the procedures given in Annex 1 be used to refine compatibility assessment criteria as may be appropriate. (see Recommendation ITU-R IS.1009.)
ANNEX 1

Test procedures
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1. Background and introduction:

1.1 In the past, difficulties were experienced when making direct comparisons of test results submitted by different administrations because of various interpretations of definitions and test criteria. For example, depending on a particular interpretation, this resulted in the use of:

- a minimum localizer signal level of −86 dBm or −89 dBm;
- a localizer course deflection current (see Note 1) of 7.5 µA or 9 µA;
- a standard localizer deviation signal of 0.093 DDM (see Note 1) or 90 µA;
- an FM pre-emphasis of 50 µs or 75 µs;
- a maximum FM signal deviation of 75 kHz peak, 32 kHz quasi-peak or 32 kHz peak;
- ITU-R coloured noise and pink noise sources with and without a stereo modulator.

NOTE 1 – Definitions are given in Annex 1, Appendix 2.

In addition, many test reports were limited to the use of minimum VOR/localizer signal levels and band-edge frequencies of 108.1 MHz for the localizer and 108.2 MHz for the VOR receiver.

1.2 ICAO has specified in its Annex 10, Part I (§ 3.1.4 for ILS localizer and § 3.3.8 for VOR) that:

- as from 1 January 1995, all new installations of ILS localizer and VOR receiving systems shall meet new interference immunity performance standards;
- as from 1 January 1998, all ILS localizer and VOR receiving systems shall meet new interference immunity performance standards.

The formula specified for the Type B1 interference 2-signal case is as follows:

\[ 2 N_1 + N_2 + 3 [24 - 20 \log (\max(0.4; 108.1 - f_i)) / 0.4] > 0 \]

where:

- \( f_i \): broadcasting frequency (MHz) closest to 108.1 MHz
\( N_1, N_2 \): broadcasting signal levels (dBm) at the input to the aeronautical receiver for broadcasting frequencies \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \), respectively

\( f_c \): broadcasting frequency (MHz) furthest from 108.1 MHz.

However, difficulties in frequency planning and implementation were experienced in the application of this formula because:

- it does not address Type B1 interference, 3-signal intermodulation cases;
- it makes reference to the frequency 108.1 MHz rather than the actual ILS localizer and VOR systems;
- it does not take into account differences between ILS localizer and VOR systems;
- it does not contain a correction factor to account for improvement in immunity resulting from increases in wanted signal levels.

The Type B2 interference criteria specified in ICAO Annex 10 also does not contain a correction factor to account for improvement in immunity resulting from increases in wanted signal levels. ICAO Annex 10 does not specify any type A1 or A2 interference criteria.

1.3 The 1998 receiver immunity standards contained in ICAO Annex 10 were used in minimum operational performance standards (MOPS) developed by RTCA Inc. in Region 2 and its counterpart, EUROCAE, in Region 1. In particular, the applicable RTCA documents are:

RTCA/DO-195: Minimum Operational Performance Standards for Airborne ILS Localizer Receiving Equipment Operating Within the Radio Frequency Range of 108-112 MHz (1986);


These MOPS, however, address only receiver immunity aspects for Type B2 interference (see § 2.2.3) and for the 2-signal Type B1 interference case (see § 2.2.2), for a limited set of test frequencies and signal levels.

1.4 The development of realistic compatibility assessment criteria and techniques requires that the immunity characteristics be explored for the full range of localizer frequencies (i.e. 108.10-111.95 MHz), VOR frequencies (i.e. 108.05-117.95 MHz), FM broadcasting frequencies and signal levels.

1.5 This Recommendation specifies test procedures for determining the interference immunity characteristics of ICAO Annex 10 1998 ILS localizer and VOR receivers with respect to Type A1, A2, B1, and B2 interference from broadcasting stations. These test procedures were developed by Radio communication Task Group 2/1 studying aeronautical/broadcasting compatibility and were used in the bench testing of the ICAO Annex 10 1998 receivers at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Technical Center, Atlantic City, New Jersey, United States of America in 1993-94 and subsequent cross-check tests conducted by other organizations.

2. **Interference mechanisms:**

2.1 **Type A interference:**

2.1.1 **Introduction:**

Type A interference is caused by unwanted emissions into the aeronautical band from one or more broadcasting transmitters.
2.1.2 **Type A1 interference:**

A single transmitter may generate spurious emissions or several broadcasting transmitters may intermodulate to produce components in the aeronautical frequency bands; this is termed Type A1 interference.

2.1.3 **Type A2 interference:**

A broadcasting signal may include non-negligible components in the aeronautical bands; this interference mechanism, which is termed Type A2 interference, will in practice arise only from broadcasting transmitters having frequencies near 108 MHz and will only interfere with ILS localizer/VOR services with frequencies near 108 MHz.

2.2 **Type B interference:**

2.2.1 **Introduction:**

Type B interference is that generated in an aeronautical receiver resulting from broadcasting transmissions on frequencies outside the aeronautical band.

2.2.2 **Type B1 interference:**

Intermodulation may be generated in an aeronautical receiver as a result of the receiver being driven into non-linearity by broadcasting signals outside the aeronautical band; this is termed Type B1 interference. In order for this type of interference to occur, at least two broadcasting signals need to be present and they must have a frequency relationship which, in a non-linear process, can produce an intermodulation product within the wanted RF channel in use by the aeronautical receiver. One of the broadcasting signals must be of sufficient amplitude to drive the receiver into regions of non-linearity but interference may then be produced even though the other signal(s) may be of significantly lower amplitude.

Only third-order intermodulation products are considered; they take the form of:

\[ f_{\text{intermod}}: \begin{align*} f_1 & - f_2 \quad \text{two-signal case or} \\ f_1 + f_2 - f_3 \quad \text{three-signal case} \end{align*} \]

where:

\[ f_1, f_2, f_3 : \text{broadcasting frequencies (MHz) with } f_1 \geq f_2 \geq f_3 \]

\[ f_{\text{intermod}} : \text{intermodulation product frequency (MHz)} \]

2.2.3 **Type B2 interference:**

Desensitization may occur when the RF section of an aeronautical receiver is subjected to overload by one or more broadcasting transmissions; this is termed Type B2 interference.

Other internal receiver mechanisms, such as spurious responses, may be incorrectly identified as B2 interference. These responses can be identified by the extremely frequency-sensitive nature of the interference when tested in the unmodulated RF mode.

3. **Signal characteristics:**

3.1 **ILS signal characteristics:**

The localizer portion of an ILS signal operates in the frequency range 108-111.975 MHz. The radiation from the localizer antenna system produces a composite field pattern which is amplitude modulated by a 90 Hz and a 150 Hz tone. The radiation field pattern produces a course sector with one tone predominating on one side of the course and the other tone predominating on the opposite side.
3.2 **VOR signal characteristics:**

The VOR operates in the frequency range 108-117.950 MHz and radiates a radio-frequency carrier with which are associated two separate 30 Hz modulations. One of these modulations, called the reference phase, is such that its phase is independent of the azimuth of the point of observation. The other modulation, called the variable phase, is such that its phase at the point of observation differs from that of the reference phase by an angle equal to the bearing of the point of the observation with respect to the VOR.

3.3 **FM broadcasting signal characteristics:**

FM broadcasting stations operate in the frequency range 87-108 MHz. These stations radiate a frequency modulated signal with, either:

- 32 kHz quasi-peak deviation with 50 s pre-emphasis of the baseband signal; or
- 75 kHz peak deviation with 75 s pre-emphasis of the baseband signal.

Noise modulation in accordance with Recommendation ITU-R BS.559 is used to simulate an FM broadcast audio signal.

4. **Test set-up:**

4.1 **Overview of test set-up:**

A suitable test set-up (including important equipment characteristics) is shown in Figs. 1a, 1b and 1c.

This test should preferably utilize a semi-automated test set-up consisting of a computer for test execution, test equipment control, and data collection. The main computer should adjust both the desired and undesired signal generator outputs and provide the interface to the receiver under test to record the course deflection current and flag voltage.

Digital receiver testing may require the use of an additional computer to interface with the ARINC 429 bus.
Note 1
Noise floor, $F = -136$ dBc/Hz
Maximum RF, $M = +8.0$ dBm
Noise level, $N = -128$ dBm/Hz

Gain = 22 dB
Noise figure = 7.0 dB
Maximum output = +30 dBm
Reverse isolation = 55 dB

Note 2
$M = +30$ dBm
$N = -99$ dBm/Hz

Note 3
$M = +25$ dBm
$N = -104$ dBm/Hz
Insertion loss = 5 dB
Isolation $\geq 20.0$ dB

* Modulation off for B2 tests
** Used for B1 offset only
*** Signal off for A1, A2 and B1 tests
F1 > F2 > F3
**Note 1**
Tuned-cavity filter
Insertion loss = 0.5 dB
Rejection = 18 dB
3 dB bandwidth = 0.2 MHz

\[ M = +24.5 \text{ dBm} \]
\[ N = -122.5 \text{ dBm/Hz} \]

**Note 2**
Tuned-cavity filter
Insertion loss = 0.5 dB
Rejection = 18 dB
3 dB bandwidth = 0.2 MHz

\[ M = +24 \text{ dBm} \]
\[ N \leq -140.0 \text{ dBm/Hz} \]

**Note 3**
Tuned-cavity filter
Insertion loss = 0.5 dB
Rejection = 18 dB
3 dB bandwidth = 0.2 MHz

\[ M = +23.5 \text{ dBm} \]
\[ N \leq -140.0 \text{ dBm/Hz} \]

**Note 4**
Insertion loss = 5.0 dB
Isolation = 20 dB

\[ M = +18.5 \text{ dBm} \]
\[ N \leq -140.0 \text{ dBm/Hz} \]
4.2 Test set-up description:

4.2.1 The ITU-R noise source for the stereo signal is composed of a white noise generator, Recommendation ITU-R BS.559 noise filter, and a 50 or 75 µs pre-emphasis filter.

4.2.2 In either case, the noise signal, S1, should be fed to the stereo generator with the left channel signal level in phase with, but 6 dB greater than, the right channel. It is then modulated to give an FM stereo signal. This stereo signal ($f_1$) should be used in the A1, A2, and B1 tests (see Fig. 1a).

4.2.3 Frequencies $f_2$ and $f_3$ are used only during B1 testing. During the B1 coincident tests, $f_2$ and $f_3$ are unmodulated. For the B1 offset test, both $f_2$ and $f_3$ are monaural signals from the ITU-R noise source described above. The frequency modulation function is performed by the RF signal generators.

4.2.4 The B2 tests should use an unmodulated RF signal $f_1$.  

4.2.5 The high signal levels required by the ICAO future immunity criteria receivers necessitate additional amplification which should be provided by RF amplifiers. A maximum signal level of at least 15 dBm at the receiver’s input should be used during these tests.

4.2.6 The three band-reject filters should be tuned to the desired frequency in order to reject any desired
frequency component or RF noise that may be produced in the FM signal circuitry. The filters should produce a rejection of at least 54 dB.

These filters should not be used in the A1 tests. They may be left in the circuit to maintain an impedance match between the FM signal circuitry and the receiver if they are detuned several MHz away from the aeronautical frequency. A plot of the filter characteristics is shown in Fig. 2.

**NOTE 1** – Practical limitations of existing test equipment require the use of band-reject filters for the A2 tests to reduce the noise floor of the signal generator and spurious emissions on the aeronautical frequency to the −140 dBm/Hz level specified in this Recommendation. Unfortunately, the filters have the side-effect of attenuating some FM modulation components of the simulated broadcast signal. It may be possible to obtain a more realistic simulation by using an actual FM broadcast transmitter, a high-powered crystal oscillator, or a signal generator with a noise floor comparable to that of an FM transmitter. The cause of the difficulties in the A2 tests needs further investigation.
4.2.7 The navigation signal generator which produces the localizer and VOR signals is isolated from the FM signals by at least 18 dB. This prevents the high level FM signals from entering the navigation generator and producing intermodulation products there.

4.2.8 The combined FM and navigation signals should be connected to the navigation receiver’s input through a 6 dB attenuator which provides impedance matching between the test set up and the receiver.

4.2.9 The output of the analogue navigation receiver should be recorded by the data collection computer through an analogue-to-digital (A/D) converter.

4.2.10 For the digital receiver, the ARINC 429 data should be fed to an ARINC 429 test set. The ARINC 429 data should be converted to digital data in the IBM-PC compatible computer. The main computer should be used to run the test program and collect data.

4.2.11 RTCA DO-195 and its EUROCAE equivalent recommends a statistical method for determining the maximum on-course errors of ILS localizer receivers based on a 95% probability and limits centring error to 5% of the standard deflection. Receiver compatibility is analysed using a similar technique. Five per cent of the standard deflection is given by (0.05 x 0.093 DDM) or 4.5 µA (0.00465 DDM) and a 95% probability may be achieved by utilizing plus and minus two standard deviations, 2 δ, of the normal distribution. An equivalent deflection of 4.5 µA for the VOR is 0.3º change in bearing indication.

4.2.12 The measurements are conducted by collecting a number of output-deflection samples (from the ARINC-429 bus for digital receivers and through an analogue-to-digital converter for analogue receivers) and then computing the mean and standard deviation of the data. The standard deviation for the baseline case (no interfering signals) is multiplied by two to get the baseline 2 δ value and 4.5 µA (0.00465 DDM) is added to the baseline 2 δ value to get an upper limit for the 2 δ value with interfering signals present. The interference threshold is defined as the point where the 2 δ value exceeds the upper limit.

4.2.13 The sampling rate for analogue receivers should be one sample every 50 ms in order to maintain consistency with the ARINC-429 specifications for digital receivers. A minimum of 50 samples must be taken in order to assure good statistical computational accuracy but more importantly to assure that data are evaluated over a time interval sufficient to mitigate the correlation effect of the very narrow post-detection receiver bandwidth (on the order of 1 Hz) on random noise.

4.2.14 This method of measuring receiver compatibility may be approximated by a change in the course deflection current of 7.5 µA (0.00775 DDM) lasting for more than 200 ms in any 2-s window (the technique used for earlier measurements) provided the receiver is operating at least 10 dB above its sensitivity limit.

4.3 Test precautions:

4.3.1 The test set-up must have a noise floor at the receiver input no greater than –140 dBm/Hz in order to avoid contamination of the data.

4.3.2 The band reject filters used must not significantly attenuate sidebands of the FM signal, which will cause undesirable amplitude modulation of the input signal(s).

4.3.3 Sufficient isolation must be provided between signal generators to assure that no significant intermodulation components are generated within the generators.

4.3.4 When simulating ILS and VOR signals, equipment specifically designed for that purpose should be used.

4.3.5 Precautions should be taken to prevent test receivers from overheating.

4.3.6 The set-up of the FM test signal waveforms is critical to Type A1, A2, and B1 interference frequency off-set testing; because of the steep slopes of these signals at the off-set frequencies, small changes in bandwidth produce large changes in amplitude. Since the waveform shape is so critical that even an extremely careful setup of the equipment does not guarantee that the spectrum analyser waveforms will match, a visual match-up of plotted waveforms should be conducted to ensure compatibility with previous measurements. Adjustments to the waveforms should be made by varying the audio level to the generator, not by adjusting the deviation control.
4.3.7 Unlike the “avalanche” effect in Type B1 interference testing, the Type A1 interference effect is a “soft” interference effect; interference effects in some cases may tend to fluctuate over a 10-15 s sampling period. These longer sampling periods may be used if needed to obtain repeatable results.

4.3.8 To help insure that test results are comparable to previously gathered data, the test set-up and procedures may be confirmed by conducting spot tests on a receiver previously tested in the Atlantic City test, if available.

4.3.9 It is important to note that other internal receiver mechanisms, such as spurious responses, may be incorrectly identified as B2 interference. Spurious responses detected during the B2 tests should not be reported as a B2 test result. Assessment criteria for spurious responses have not been established.

4.3.10 Modulation of the FM signal with coloured noise is not favoured in tests for the no localizer signal case as coloured noise may not give reliable test results. Further investigation is required to determine the validity of using ITU-R coloured noise modulation for testing of the no wanted signal case.

4.4 Test equipment:

A list of the test equipment used during the 1993/1994 tests in Atlantic City is given in Appendix 1. Other test equipment may be used, but care should be taken with regard to the precautions identified in § 4.3.

5. Measurement techniques:

5.1 FM test conditions:

5.1.1 Simulated programme material: Colored noise in accordance with Recommendations ITU-R BS.559 and ITU-R BS.641.

5.1.2 Mode: stereophonic

The modulating signal is applied in phase to the left and right channel with a 6 dB difference in level between channels.

5.1.3 Deviation: ±32 kHz quasi-peak in accordance with Recommendation ITU-R BS.641.

NOTE 1 – Previous tests carried out in Region 1 have used ±32 kHz quasi-peak deviation while tests carried out in Region 2 have used ±75 kHz peak deviation. The use of ±32 kHz quasi-peak deviation in accordance with ITU-R Recommendations is reflected in this test procedure.

5.1.4 Pre-emphasis:

– Region 1 and parts of Region 3: 50 µs

– Region 2: 75 µs

Spot check using 75 µs with ±75 kHz peak deviation. If results vary significantly with those using 50 µs with ±32 kHz quasi-peak deviation, testing should be duplicated using 75 µs/ ±75 kHz.

5.1.5 Waveforms: It is essential that FM test signals used for testing have the correct waveforms. Figures 3a and 3b are a sample representation of the required waveforms for ±32 kHz quasi-peak deviation/50 µs pre-emphasis and 96 kHz quasi-peak deviation/50 µs pre-emphasis. Figures 4a and 4b are a sample representation of the required waveform for 75 kHz peak deviation/75 µs pre-emphasis and 225 kHz peak deviation/75 µs pre-emphasis.

5.1.6 Signal level(s): Initially introduced at a low level (i.e. at least 10 dB below the expected threshold) and increased until the interference threshold is reached. Near the interference threshold, the signal level is changed in 1 dB steps.
FIGURE 3a
Plots of FM spectrum using ± 32 kHz quasi-peak deviation/50 μs pre-emphasis

Reference level = 10.00 dBm
Attenuation = 26 dB
Average = 50 sweeps
Centre frequency = 107.900 MHz
Resolution bandwidth = 3.00 kHz
Video bandwidth = 3.00 kHz
Span = 1.000 MHz
Sweep time = 3.33 s
Curves A: carrier reference
B: average
C: peak

FIGURE 3b
Plots of FM spectrum using ± 96 kHz quasi-peak deviation/50 μs pre-emphasis

Reference level = 10.00 dBm
Attenuation = 26 dB
Average = 50 sweeps
Centre frequency = 107.900 MHz
Resolution bandwidth = 3.00 kHz
Video bandwidth = 3.00 kHz
Span = 1.000 MHz
Sweep time = 3.33 s
Curves A: carrier reference
B: average
C: peak
5.1.7 Frequency: As required for specific test.

**NOTE 1** – RTCA/DO-195 specifies frequency modulation of the highest FM frequency in an intermodulation product with pink noise or ITU-R coloured noise and a peak frequency deviation of ±75 kHz. A stereo modulator is not used.
5.2 Test results:

The ICAO Annex 10 1998 receivers under test may or may not have in-band selectivity. Therefore, wanted signal frequencies have been selected at band-edge and mid-band in order to examine possible differences in results. However, data need not be taken at all specified test combinations if obvious data trends are detected.

5.3 ILS localizer receiver test procedures:

5.3.1 Interference thresholds:

5.3.1.1 With a localizer signal:

- An increase in the 2 δ (two standard deviations from the mean) value of the course-deflection current of at least 0.00465 DDM (4.5 µA) over the baseline 2δ value measured with no interfering signal present;
- the appearance of the warning flag for 1 s, whichever comes first.

5.3.1.2 With no localizer signal:

- Warning flag out of view for more than 1 s.
- This test is only carried out to verify correct receiver flag operation in accordance with RTCA/DO-195 MOPS.

5.3.1.3 Localizer test conditions:

a) Course deflection: 0.093 DDM (spot check at 0 DDM).


c) Frequency: as required for specific test.

NOTE 1 – The ICAO and RTCA/DO-195 reference signal levels are –86 dBm. The reference level derived in § 3.4 of Annex 1 of Recommendation ITU-R IS.1009 is –98 dBm. Results for this level are valid only if FM signal interference is sufficiently above the noise threshold to comprise the primary cause of failure.

5.3.2 Particulars for Type A1 transmitter interference test

a) Method of defining protection criteria: The protection ratio (dB) at a specified f is equal to the localizer signal level (dBm) minus the lowest level of unwanted signal (dBm) required to cause interference.

b) Frequencies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>floc</th>
<th>f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>108.10</td>
<td>108.10 + Δf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>110.10</td>
<td>110.10 + Δf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>111.95</td>
<td>111.95 + Δf</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where

\[ f_{loc} : \text{localizer frequency (MHz)} \]
\[ f : \text{unwanted signal frequency (MHz)} \]
\[ Δf : \text{frequency difference between the localizer signal and the FM signal (i.e. the intermodulation product)} \]

0, ±0.05, ±0.10, ±0.15, ±0.20 and ±0.30 MHz

c) Maximum Deviation of FM signals:

- for \( Δf = 0 \), deviation = ±32 kHz quasi-peak;
- for all other \( Δf \), deviation = ±96 kHz quasi-peak.

NOTE 1 – The unwanted signal is a simulated intermodulation product (i.e. a spurious emission).
– Spot check at $\Delta f = 0$ using 75 µs with ±75 kHz peak deviation. If results vary significantly from those using 50 µs with ±32 kHz quasi-peak deviation, testing should be duplicated using 75 µs with ±75 kHz peak deviation.

– Spot check at $\Delta f = \pm200$ kHz using 75 µs with ±225 kHz peak deviation. If results vary significantly from those using 50 µs with ±96 kHz quasi-peak deviation, testing should be duplicated using 75 µs with ±225 kHz peak deviation.

– The maximum deviation of ±32 kHz quasi-peak will likely maximize interference effects when the unwanted signal frequency equals the wanted signal frequency.

– Maximum deviation of ±96 kHz quasi-peak, is used to simulate the maximum bandwidth of a third order intermodulation product (i.e. $3 \times \pm32$ kHz), and will therefore tend to maximize interference effects when the unwanted signal frequency is off-set from the wanted signal frequency.

– The spectrum of an actual Type A1 signal will be complex depending upon the modulation of the contributing signals.

5.3.3 Particulars for Type A2 interference test:

a) Method of defining protection criteria: The protection ratio (dB) at a specified $f$ is equal to the localizer signal level (dBm) minus the lowest level of FM signal (dBm) required to cause interference.

b) Localizer frequency: 108.10 and 108.15 MHz.

c) FM frequency: 107.9 and 107.8 MHz.

**NOTE 1** – Data are taken with the unwanted signal modulated and then unmodulated. If the protection ratios are the same, then the unwanted signal is causing Type B2 interference; if the protection ratios with the modulation are higher, then the sideband energy from the unwanted signal is being received in the receiver passband, causing Type A2 interference. Testing should be stopped when the FM signal level is greater than or equal to +15 dBm.

5.3.4 Particulars for Type B1 interference test:

5.3.4.1 Intermodulation product coincident with localizer frequency:

a) Method of defining protection criteria: Minimum FM equi-signal level (dBm) required to cause interference at $\Delta f^3$:

$$\Delta^2 (\text{MHz})^2 = (f_{\text{oc}} - f_1)^2 (f_{\text{oc}} - f_2)$$

2-signal case

$$= (f_{\text{oc}} - f_1) (f_{\text{oc}} - f_2) (f_{\text{oc}} - f_3)$$

3-signal case

where

$f_{\text{loc}}$ : localizer frequency (MHz)

$f_1, f_2, f_3$ : FM frequencies (MHz) and $f_1 > f_2 > f_3$.

b) Localizer frequency: 108.1, 109.1, 110.1, and 111.9 MHz.

c) FM frequencies:

– as per Table 1 for 2-signal case: $2 f_1 - f_2 = f_{\text{loc}}$

– as per Table 2 for 3-signal case: $f_1 + f_2 - f_3 = f_{\text{loc}}$

**NOTE 1** – Only $f_1$ needs to be modulated when the calculated intermodulation product is coincident with desired localizer frequency.
### TABLE 1

List of intermodulation products on localizer frequencies for the two-signal case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequencies (MHz)</th>
<th>$\Delta f_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$f_1$</td>
<td>$F_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.9</td>
<td>107.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.5</td>
<td>106.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106.5</td>
<td>104.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103.5</td>
<td>98.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.1</td>
<td>88.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.9</td>
<td>106.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104.5</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.9</td>
<td>105.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105.5</td>
<td>100.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.1</td>
<td>90.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.9</td>
<td>103.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105.3</td>
<td>98.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101.9</td>
<td>91.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 2

List of intermodulation products on localizer frequencies for the three-signal case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequencies (MHz)</th>
<th>$\Delta f_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$f_1$</td>
<td>$f_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.9</td>
<td>107.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.5</td>
<td>106.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.1</td>
<td>105.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106.5</td>
<td>104.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104.5</td>
<td>100.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101.5</td>
<td>95.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.9</td>
<td>107.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106.5</td>
<td>103.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.9</td>
<td>107.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.9</td>
<td>105.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.5</td>
<td>104.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106.5</td>
<td>102.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104.5</td>
<td>98.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>98.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.9</td>
<td>107.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.5</td>
<td>105.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105.5</td>
<td>101.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101.5</td>
<td>100.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.3.4.2 Intermediation product off-set from localizer frequency:

a) Method of defining protection criteria: Minimum FM equi-signal level (dBm) required to cause interference.

However, for an offset frequency \( f \), the criterion as specified is the difference between the equisignal levels required at \( f \) and those required when \( \Delta f = 0 \) (i.e., the non-offset case).

b) Frequencies:

- For a 2-signal receiver intermodulation product of the form: \( \Delta f \),
  
  \[ \begin{align*}
  \text{Case 1: } & 2(105.5) - (102.9 + \Delta f) = 108.10 \text{ MHz where } \Delta f = 0.10, \Delta f = 0.20, \text{ and } \Delta f = 0.30 \text{ MHz}, \\
  \text{Case 2: } & 2(107.5) - (104.9 + \Delta f) = 110.10 \text{ MHz where } \Delta f = 0.15, \Delta f = 0.20, \text{ and } \Delta f = 0.30 \text{ MHz}.
\end{align*} \]

- For a 3-signal receiver intermodulation product of the form \( f_1, f_2, f_3 = f_{\text{loc}} \)
  
  \[ \begin{align*}
  \text{Case 1: } & 106.5 + 104.5 - (102.9 + \Delta f) = 108.10 \text{ MHz where } \Delta f = 0.10, \Delta f = 0.20, \text{ and } \Delta f = 0.30 \text{ MHz}.
\end{align*} \]

\[ \text{Case 2: } 2(107.5) - (104.9 + \Delta f) \text{ at } \Delta f = 0.10, \Delta f = 0.20, \text{ and } \Delta f = 0.30 \text{ MHz}.
\]

where: \( \Delta f = 0, \pm 0.05, \pm 0.10, \pm 0.15, \pm 0.20 \text{ and } \pm 0.30 \text{ MHz}. \)

**NOTE 1** – To maximize the interference effect of an off-set intermodulation product, the bandwidth of the intermodulation product must be maximized by modulating all FM signals.

**NOTE 2** – FM signals \( f_2 \) and \( f_3 \) should be modulated by ITU-R noise sources (see § 4.2) fed directly into the modulation inputs of the FM signal generator (i.e. simulating a monophonic signal).

**NOTE 3** – In Cases 2 and 3 of the three-signal offset intermodulation interference, care should be taken when interpreting the test results for \( \pm 0.3/0.2 \text{ MHz} \) offset because a two-signal offset intermodulation interference with a \( \pm 0.1/0.2 \text{ MHz} \) offset occurs simultaneously. Different frequencies should be selected to avoid this problem in future testing.

5.3.5 Particulars for Type B2 interference test:

a) Method of defining protection criteria: lowest FM signal level (dBm) required to cause interference. b) Localizer frequency: 108.1, 109.1, 110.1 and 111.9 MHz.

c) FM Frequency 107.9, 107.8, 107.7, 107.5, 107.3, 107.0, 106.0, 105.0, 104.0, 102.0, 100.0, 98.0, 93.0 and 88.0 MHz. Measurements will be discontinued for frequencies lower than that where the measured immunity level is greater than \(+15 \text{ dBm} \).

NOTE 1 – For distinction between Type A2 and Type B2 interference effects when using frequencies near 108 MHz, see Note 1 to § 5.3.3 c).

5.4 VOR receiver test procedures:

5.4.1 Interference thresholds:

5.4.1.1 With a VOR signal:

- An increase in the 2\( \Delta \) (two standard deviations from the mean) value of the course deflection current of at least 4.5 \( \mu \text{A} \) (0.3°) change in bearing indication over the baseline 2\( \Delta \) value measured with no interfering signal present;

- the appearance of the warning flag for 1 s, whichever comes first.

**NOTE 1** – For the interference threshold based on a change of the bearing indication, RTCA/DO-196
specifies a 0.5 change in bearing indication for the Type B2 test and a 1.0 change in bearing indication for the Type B1 test.

5.4.1.2 With no VOR signal:

- Warning flag out of view for more than 1 s.
- This test is only carried out to verify correct receiver flag operation in accordance with RTCA/DO-196 MOPS.

5.4.1.3 VOR test conditions:

a) Bearing indication: centring signal for an on-course indication of 000.
b) Signal level: −93, −79, −63 and −48 dBm and the no VOR signal case.
c) Frequency: as required for specific test.

NOTE 1 – RTCA/DO-196 tests the no wanted signal case in the Type B1/B2 interference tests.

NOTE 2 – The ICAO and RTCA/DO-196 reference signal levels are −79 dBm. The reference level derived in § 3.4 of Annex 1 of Recommendation ITU-R IS.1009 is −91 dBm. Results for this level are valid only if FM signal interference is sufficiently above the noise threshold to comprise the primary cause of failure.

5.4.2 Particulars for Type A1 transmitter interference test:

a) Method of defining protection criteria: the protection ratio (dB) at a specified $f$ is equal to the VOR signal level (dBm) minus the lowest level of FM signal (dBm) required to cause interference.

b) Frequencies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No</th>
<th>$f_{VOR}$</th>
<th>$f$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>108.20</td>
<td>108.20 + $\Delta f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>112.00</td>
<td>112.00 + $\Delta f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>117.95</td>
<td>117.95 + $\Delta f$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where:

- $f_{VOR}$: VOR frequency (MHz)
- $f$: unwanted signal frequency (MHz)
- $\Delta f$: frequency difference between the wanted signal and the unwanted signal (i.e. the intermodulation product). 0, ±0.05, ±0.1, ±0.15, ±0.20 and ±0.30 MHz

c) Deviation of unwanted signals: See § 5.3.2 c) for test conditions and comments.

5.4.3 Particulars for Type A2 interference test:

a) Method of defining protection criteria: The protection ratio (dB) at a specified $f$ is equal to the VOR signal level (dBm) minus the lowest level of FM signal (dBm) required to cause interference. This test should be performed once with the modulation on and off at the interference point to determine if A2 or B2 is the cause.

b) VOR frequency: 108.05 and 108.2 MHz.

c) FM frequency: 107.9 and 107.8 MHz.

**NOTE 1** – See Note 1 to § 5.3.3 c).
NOTE 2 – The A2 test may be omitted for the test condition where the VOR frequency is 108.2 MHz and the FM frequency is 107.8 MHz.

5.4.4 Particulars for Type B1 interference test:

5.4.4.1 Intermodulation product coincident with VOR frequency:

a) Method of defining protection criteria: Minimum FM equi-signal level (dBm) required to cause interference at $\Delta f^3$, 

$$\Delta f^3 (\text{MHz})^3 = (f_{\text{VOR}} - f_1)^2 (f_{\text{VOR}} - f_2)$$  

$$= (f_{\text{VOR}} - f_1) (f_{\text{VOR}} - f_2) (f_{\text{VOR}} - f_3)$$  

2-signal case  

3-signal case

where

$\begin{align*} 
  f_{\text{VOR}}: & \quad \text{VOR frequency (MHz)} \\
  f_1, f_2, f_3: & \quad \text{FM frequencies (MHz) and } f_1 > f_2 > f_3.
\end{align*}$

b) VOR frequencies: 108.2, 109.0, 110.0, 112.0, 115.0, 117.9 MHz.

c) FM frequencies:

- as per Table 3 for 2-signal case: $2 f_1 - f_2 - f_{\text{VOR}}$
- as per Table for 3-signal case: $f_1 + f_2 - f_3 - f_{\text{VOR}}$.

NOTE 1 – Only $f_1$ needs to be modulated when the calculated intermodulation product is coincident with desired VOR frequency.

NOTE 2 – The test precautions in § 4.3.10 also apply to the VOR receiver.

**TABLE 3**

List of intermodulation products on VOR frequencies for the two-signal case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequencies (MHz)</th>
<th>$\Delta f^3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$f_1$</td>
<td>$f_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.9</td>
<td>107.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.5</td>
<td>106.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106.5</td>
<td>104.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103.7</td>
<td>99.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101.7</td>
<td>95.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>88.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.5</td>
<td>106.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.9</td>
<td>105.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105.1</td>
<td>101.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.9</td>
<td>103.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105.5</td>
<td>99.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102.1</td>
<td>92.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.9</td>
<td>100.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102.1</td>
<td>89.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.9</td>
<td>97.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104.5</td>
<td>91.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 5.4.4.2 Intermodulation product off-set from VOR frequency:

a) Method of defining protection criteria: Minimum FM equi-signal level (dBm) required to cause interference. However, for an offset frequency, the criterion as specified is the difference between the equi-signal levels required at f and those required when $\Delta f = 0$ (i.e., the non-offset case).

b) Frequencies:

- For a 2-signal receiver intermodulation product of the form: $f_1 - f_2 = f_{\text{VOR}}$

  - **Case 1:** $2(105.7) - (103.2 + \Delta f) = 108.20$ MHz where $f^3 = 31.25$ at $\Delta f = 0$
  
  - **Case 2:** $2(107.9) - (103.8 + \Delta f) = 112.00$ MHz where $f^3 = 137.90$ at $\Delta f = 0$
  
  - **Case 3:** $2(107.9) - (97.9 + \Delta f) = 117.90$ MHz where $f^3 = 2000.00$ at $\Delta f = 0$

- For a 3-signal receiver intermodulation product of the form: $f_1 - f_2 - f_3 = f_{\text{VOR}}$

  - **Case 1:** $106.5 + 105.30 - (103.6 + \Delta f) = 108.20$ MHz where $f^3 = 22.67$ at $\Delta f = 0$
  
  - **Case 2:** $107.9 + 107.50 - (103.4 + \Delta f) = 112.00$ MHz where $f^3 = 158.70$ at $\Delta f = 0$
  
  - **Case 3:** $107.9 + 107.50 - (97.5 + \Delta f) = 117.90$ MHz where $f = 2122.00$ at $\Delta f = 0$

  where $\Delta f = 0$, ±0.05, ±0.10, ±0.15, ±0.20 and ±0.30 MHz.

**NOTE 1** – To maximize the interference effect of an off-set intermodulation product, the bandwidth of the intermodulation product must be maximized by modulating all FM signals.

**NOTE 2** – FM signals $f_2$ and $f_3$ should be modulated by ITU-R noise sources (see § 4.2) fed directly into the modulation inputs of the FM signal generator (i.e. simulating a monophonic signal).

**NOTE 3** – In Cases 2 and 3 of the three-signal offset intermodulation interference, care should be taken when interpreting the test results for ±0.3/0.2 MHz offset because a two-signal offset

---

**TABLE 4**

List of intermodulation products on VOR frequencies for the three-signal case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequencies (MHz)</th>
<th>$\Delta f^3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$f_1$</td>
<td>$f_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.9</td>
<td>107.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.7</td>
<td>106.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106.5</td>
<td>105.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103.5</td>
<td>99.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.5</td>
<td>97.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.5</td>
<td>106.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104.5</td>
<td>100.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.9</td>
<td>107.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.5</td>
<td>103.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103.5</td>
<td>99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.9</td>
<td>107.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102.1</td>
<td>101.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.9</td>
<td>107.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103.5</td>
<td>102.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
intermodulation interference with a ±0.1/0.2 MHz offset occurs simultaneously. Different frequencies should be selected to avoid this problem in future testing.

5.4.5 Particulars for Type B2 interference test:

a) Method of defining protection criteria: Lowest FM signal level (dBm) required to cause interference.

b) VOR frequency: 108.2, 110.0, 112.0, 115.0 and 117.9 MHz.

c) FM frequency: 107.9, 107.8, 107.7, 107.5, 107.3, 106.0, 105.0, 104.0, 100.0, 98.0, 93.0 and 88.0 MHz. Measurements will be discontinued for frequencies lower than that where the measured immunity level is greater than +15 dBm.

**NOTE 1** – Data are taken with the FM signal unmodulated, but spot checked using modulation.

**NOTE 2** – Note 1 to 5.3.3 c) for localizer receivers to VOR receivers.
**APPENDIX 1**

**TO ANNEX 1**

**Test equipment**

The following test equipment shown in Table 5 is suitable for the test set-up shown in Figs. 1a, 1b, and 1c.

**TABLE 5**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EQUIPMENT</th>
<th>NOTE</th>
<th>EQUIPMENT USED IN ATLANTIC CITY TESTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ITU-R noise source consisting of:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>white noise source</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITU-R BS.559 filter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITU-R BS.559 filter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM stereo generator with 50 and 75 µ pre-emphasis filters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF signal generator</td>
<td>Maximum output &gt; 8 dBm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Noise level &lt; 128 dBm/Hz</td>
<td>Hewlett Packard (HP) 8657B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF amplifier</td>
<td>The gain and noise figure of the amplifier must permit an output level of 30 dBm with a noise level ≤ –99 dBm/Hz. With an output of 8 dBm from the signal generator, this may be achieved with an amplifier gain of 22 dB and a noise figure 7 dB.</td>
<td>Mini circuits ZHL-1-50P3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum output ≥ 30 dBm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reverse isolation ≥ 35 dB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combiner</td>
<td>Insertion loss ≤ 5 dB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Isolation ≥ 20 dB</td>
<td>Eagle HPC300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navigation signal generator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band reject filter</td>
<td>Insertion loss ≤ 0.5 dB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rejection ≥ 18 dB</td>
<td>Sinclair FR20107 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.0 dB attenuator</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 dB bandwidth = 0.2 MHz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50Ω load</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0 dB attenuator</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mini circuits NAT-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test set conforming to ARINC 429</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital interface conforming to ARINC 429</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBM-compatible personal computer (used to control and interface with digital receiver under test)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analogue-to-digital converter</td>
<td></td>
<td>RLC SBX-C186EB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SBX-AIN-32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer used to control test set-up and record measured results</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hewlett Packard 9000/236</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


APPENDIX 2
TO ANNEX 1

Definitions

**Course deflection current:**
The output of the receiver which is fed to the pilots indicator and to the autopilot. For the ILS localizer receiver, it provides left/right guidance proportional to the DDM of the 90 Hz and 150 Hz signals for a given angular displacement from runway centerline. For a VOR receiver, it provides a left/right guidance proportional to the phase difference of two 30 Hz signals.

**DDM (Difference in Depth of Modulation):**
The depth of modulation is the ratio of the amplitude of the modulation of the 90 Hz or 150 Hz signal to the carrier amplitude. The DDM is the modulation depth of the stronger signal minus the modulation depth of the weaker signal.

**ICAO Annex 10:**

**Instrument Landing System:**
A radionavigation system specified in ICAO Annex 10 and agreed internationally as the current standard precision approach and landing aid for aircraft.

**ILS localizer:**
The component of an ILS localizer which provides guidance in the horizontal plane. The transmitter with its associated antenna system produces a composite field pattern amplitude modulated with 90 Hz and 150 Hz. The radiation field pattern is such that when an observer faces the localizer from the approach end of the runway, the depth of modulation of the radio carrier due to the 150 Hz tone predominates on the right-hand side and that due to the 90 Hz tone predominates on the left hand side. The DDM is zero on the centreline of the runway and the extended runway centreline.

**VHF omnidirectional range (VOR):**
A short range (up to approximately 370 km or 200 nautical miles) aid to navigation which provides a continuous and automatic presentation of bearing information from a known ground location.
APPENDIX B

Rec. ITU-R IS.1009-1

RECOMMENDATION ITU-R IS.1009-1

COMPATIBILITY BETWEEN THE SOUND-BROADCASTING SERVICE
IN THE BAND OF ABOUT 87-108 MHz AND THE
AERONAUTICAL SERVICES IN THE BAND 108-137 MHz

(Question ITU-R 1/12)

(1993-1995)

The ITU Radio communication Assembly,

Considering

a) that, in order to improve the efficiency of spectrum utilization, there is a need to refine the criteria used when assessing compatibility between the sound-broadcasting service in the band of about 87-108 MHz and the aeronautical services in the band 108-137 MHz;

b) that there is a need for a compatibility analysis method for identifying potential incompatibilities associated with a large broadcasting assignment plan;

c) that there is a need for a detailed, case-by-case compatibility analysis method to investigate potential incompatibility cases identified by a large scale analysis or for individual assessment of proposed broadcasting or aeronautical assignments;

d) that there is a need to continue the refinement of the compatibility criteria and assessment methods recognizing

that coordination has been effected since 1984 by other criteria and/or methods,

recommends

1 that the criteria given in Annex 1 be used for compatibility calculations;

2 that the method given in Annex 2 be used for predicting potential incompatibilities associated with a large broadcasting assignment plan;

3 that the techniques in Annex 3 be used for detailed, case-by-case compatibility calculations concerning potential interference cases identified by the method given in Annex 2 or concerning individual assessment of proposed assignments to broadcasting or aeronautical stations;

4 additionally, that results of practical verification of predicted compatibility situations as well as other relevant information may be used for coordination and to effect further refinement of the compatibility criteria, assessment method and techniques given in Annexes 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

Note from the Director – A list of selected documents that may be useful in studies of compatibility between the aeronautical radio navigation and radio communication services and the sound-broadcasting service is given below:

1. ITU conference documents:

Regional Administrative Conference for FM Sound Broadcasting in the VHF Band (Region 1 and


2. **Ex-CCIR documents (Düsseldorf, 1990):**

   Report 929-2 – Compatibility between the broadcasting service in the band of about 87-108 MHz and the aeronautical services in the band of 108-137 MHz.

   Report 1198 – Compatibility between the broadcasting service in the band 87.5-108 MHz and aeronautical services in the band 108-137 MHz.

   Report 927-2 – General considerations relative to harmful interference from the viewpoint of the aeronautical mobile services and the aeronautical radionavigation service.

   **NOTE 1** – Reports 929-2 and 1198 represent the culmination of work from:

   – Interim Working Party 8/12 (Annapolis, 1983)
   – Joint Interim Working Party 8-10/1, First Meeting (Geneva, 1984)
   – Joint Interim Working Party 8-10/1, Second Meeting (Rio de Janeiro, 1987)
   – Joint Interim Working Party 8-10/1, Third Meeting (Helsinki, 1988)

   and are contained in the following publication of the ex-CCIR (Düsseldorf, 1990):

   – Compatibility between the broadcasting service in the band of about 87-108 MHz and aeronautical services in the band 108-137 MHz.

3. **International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) documents:**


4. **Other documents:**


ANNEX 1

Interference mechanisms, system parameters and compatibility assessment criteria

CONTENTS

1 Background and introduction
2 Types of interference mechanisms
3 Compatibility assessment parameters
4 Compatibility assessment criteria

Appendix 1 – ILS localizer/VOR coverage and minimum field strengths (Extracted from ICAO Annex 10)

1. Background and introduction:

   Frequency modulation (FM) broadcasting service interference to instrument landing system (ILS) localizer, VHF omnidirectional radio range (VOR) and VHF communications (COM) equipment is a widely recognized problem among users of aviation facilities. In air/ground communication receivers, this interference problem ranges from distracting background audio to distorted and garbled reception of air traffic control signals. In airborne ILS localizer and VOR receivers, the interference problem ranges from distracting background audio to errors in course deviation and flag operation. The interference to these navigation receivers is thought to be the more serious problem, as an error in course deviation, especially during the critical approach and landing phase, is not as readily evident to the pilot as the disruption of communications.

   Interference to aircraft receivers varies with the make and model of the navigation and communication receiver. There is an increasing probability of harmful interference due to the growing need for additional aeronautical and broadcasting frequency assignments.

   This Annex describes:
   – interference mechanisms;
   – system parameters of the aeronautical radio navigation and radio communication systems affected;
   – system parameters of the FM broadcasting stations;
   – compatibility assessment criteria for Montreal receivers (see definitions in Annex 4);

2. Types of interference mechanisms:

   In general, from an ILS localizer and VOR receiver point of view, FM broadcasting transmission modulation can be regarded as noise. However, the frequencies 90 Hz and 150 Hz are specific, vulnerable frequencies for ILS localizer, and the frequencies 30 Hz and 9 960 Hz are specific, vulnerable frequencies for VOR because these frequencies provide critical guidance for the systems concerned and are therefore sensitive to interference.

   Notes from the Director:

   * For a description of the characteristics of FM broadcasting stations, attention is drawn to Report ITU-R BS. 1198.

   ** For a description of the ILS localizer, VOR and VHF communications systems, attention is drawn to Report ITU-R M.927.
2.1 **Type A interference:**

2.1.1 **Introduction:**
Type A interference is caused by unwanted emissions into the aeronautical band from one or more broadcasting transmitters.

2.1.2 **Type A1 interference:**
A single transmitter may generate spurious emissions or several broadcasting transmitters may intermodulate to produce components in the aeronautical frequency bands; this is termed Type A1 interference.

2.1.3 **Type A2 interference:**
A broadcasting signal may include non-negligible components in the aeronautical bands; this interference mechanism, which is termed Type A2 interference, will in practice arise only from broadcasting transmitters having frequencies near 108 MHz and will only interfere with ILS localizer/VOR services with frequencies near 108 MHz.

2.2 **Type B interference:**

2.2.1 **Introduction**
Type B interference is that generated in an aeronautical receiver resulting from broadcasting transmissions on frequencies outside the aeronautical band.

2.2.2 **Type B1 interference:**
Intermodulation may be generated in an aeronautical receiver as a result of the receiver being driven into non-linearity by broadcasting signals outside the aeronautical band; this is termed Type B1 interference. In order for this type of interference to occur, at least two broadcasting signals need to be present and they must have a frequency relationship which, in a non-linear process, can produce an intermodulation product within the wanted RF channel in use by the aeronautical receiver. One of the broadcasting signals must be of sufficient amplitude to drive the receiver into regions of non-linearity but interference may then be produced even though the other signal(s) may be of significantly lower amplitude.

Only third-order intermodulation products are considered; they take the form of:

\[ f_{\text{intermod}} = 2f_1 - f_2 \quad \text{two-signal case or} \]
\[ f_{\text{intermod}} = f_1 + f_2 - f_3 \quad \text{three-signal case} \]

where:

\[ f_{\text{intermod}} : \text{intermodulation product frequency (MHz).} \]
\[ f_1, f_2, f_3 : \text{broadcasting frequencies (MHz) with } f_1 \geq f_2 > f_3. \]

2.2.3 **Type B2 interference:**
Desensitization may occur when the RF section of an aeronautical receiver is subjected to overload by one or more broadcasting transmissions; this is termed Type B2 interference.

3. **Compatibility assessment parameters:**

3.1 **Introduction:**
This section identifies the parameters of ILS localizer, VOR and COM aeronautical transmitters and receivers relevant for a compatibility assessment.

3.2 **Characteristics of aeronautical systems:**
3.2.1 ILS localizer:

3.2.1.1 Designated operational coverage (see Note 1):

Figure 1 illustrates a typical designated operational coverage (DOC) for an ILS localizer front course based on ICAO Annex 10 (see Note 1). The DOC may also have back course coverage. Some administrations also use the ILS localizer as an auxiliary approach guidance system and the DOC may not be aligned with a runway.

**NOTE 1** – See definitions in Annex 4.

![Figure 1: Typical ILS localizer front course DOC](image)

3.2.1.2 Field strength:

The minimum field strength to be protected throughout the ILS localizer front course DOC (see § 3.1.3.3 of Appendix 1) is 32 dB(µV/m) (40 µV/m). If service is provided in the ILS localizer back course coverage, the field strength to be protected is also 32 dB(µV/m). In certain areas of the ILS localizer DOC, ICAO Annex 10 (see Note 1) requires a higher field strength to be provided in order to increase the received signal-to-noise ratio, thereby increasing system integrity. This is the case within the ILS localizer front course sector (see Note 2) from a range of 18.5 km (10 NM) up to runway touchdown point (see Note 2) where signals of 39-46 dB(µV/m) are required depending upon the Facility Performance Category (I, II, III) of the ILS involved (see § 3.1.3.3 of Appendix 1).

**NOTE 1** – The relevant part of ICAO Annex 10 is reproduced in Appendix 1.
3.2.1.3 **Frequencies:**
ILS localizer frequencies lie in the band 108-112 MHz. The 40 available channels occur as follows: 108.10, 108.15, 108.30, 108.35 MHz etc. to 111.70, 111.75, 111.90 and 111.95 MHz.

3.2.1.4 **Polarization:**
The ILS localizer signal is horizontally polarized.

3.2.2 **VOR:**

3.2.2.1 **Designated operational coverage:**
The DOC of a VOR can vary from one installation to another; for example, a terminal VOR may have a 74 km (40 NM) radius, and an enroute VOR may have a 370 km (200 NM) radius. Details can be obtained from the appropriate national Aeronautical Information Publication (see definitions in Annex 4) (AIP).

3.2.2.2 **Field strength:**
The minimum field strength to be protected throughout the DOC (see § 3.3.4.2 of Appendix 1) is 39 dB(V/m) (90 V/m). The nominal values of the effective radiated power, e.r.p., to achieve this field strength are given in Fig. 2.

3.2.2.3 **Frequencies:**
In the band 108-112 MHz, VOR frequencies are located between ILS localizer frequencies and occur as follows: 108.05, 108.20, 108.25, 108.40, 108.45 MHz etc. to 111.60, 111.65, 111.80 and 111.85 MHz. VOR frequencies occupy channels spaced at 50 kHz intervals in the band 112-118 MHz and occur as follows: 112.00, 112.05 ... 117.95 MHz.

3.2.2.4 **Polarization:**
The VOR signal is horizontally polarized.

3.2.3 **COM:**

3.2.3.1 **Designated operational coverage:**
The DOC of a COM facility can vary from one installation to another (from 9.3 km (5 NM) radius to 370 km (200 NM) radius). Details can be obtained from the Provider State (see definitions in Annex 4).

3.2.3.2 **Field strength:**
ICAO Annex 10 does not specify a minimum field strength provided by a ground-based COM transmitter, but in § 4.6.1.2 of Part I, it states that on a high percentage of occasions, the e.r.p. should be such as to provide a field strength of at least 38 dB (µV/m) (75 µV/m) within the DOC of the facility.

3.2.3.3 **Frequencies:**
COM frequencies occupy channels spaced at 25 kHz intervals in the band 118-137 MHz and occur as follows: 118 000, 118 025 ... 136 975 MHz.
3.2.4 Polarization:
The COM signal is vertically polarized.

3.3 Characteristics of FM broadcasting stations:

3.3.1 Maximum effective radiated power:
The most accurate available value of maximum e.r.p. should be used for compatibility calculations.

3.3.2 Horizontal radiation pattern:
The most accurate available information for horizontal radiation pattern (h.r.p.) should be used for compatibility calculations.

3.3.3 Vertical radiation pattern:
The most accurate available information for vertical radiation pattern (v.r.p.) should be used for compatibility calculations.

3.3.4 Spurious emission suppression:
In the North American experience, it has not generally been necessary to require the suppression of spurious emissions by more than 80 dB. Considering special circumstances within Region 1 and some

---

**Note 1** — Nominal VOR effective radiated power required to provide 39 dB (V/m) field strength (~107 dB(W/m²) power density) at various slant ranges/heights with a typical antenna array located 4.9 m (16 ft) above ground. These curves are based on extensive experience of a number of facilities and indicate the nominal effective radiated power to assure the specified power density on a high percentage of occasions taking into account propagation and typical ground/aircraft installation characteristics.

*Source:* ICAO Annex 10, Attachment C to Part I, Fig. C-13.
areas of Region 3, the values given in Table 1, for spurious emission suppression in the aeronautical band 108-137 MHz, are recommended for the case of radiated intermodulation products from co-sited broadcasting transmitters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum e.r.p. (dBW)</th>
<th>Suppression relative to maximum e.r.p. (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≥48</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 30</td>
<td>46 + maximum e.r.p. (dBW)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE 1** – Linear interpolation is used between maximum e.r.p. values of 30 and 48 dBW.

### 3.3.5 Frequencies:

The bands of operation may be found in the Radio Regulations. In Region 1 and certain parts of Region 3, the band is 87.5-108 MHz, with channels every 100 kHz (87.6, 87.7 ... 107.9 MHz). In Region 2, the band is 88-108 MHz, with channels every 200 kHz (88.1, 88.3 ... 107.9 MHz).

### 3.3.6 Polarization:

The polarization of an FM signal may be horizontal, vertical or mixed.

### 3.3.7 Free space field strength calculation for broadcasting signals:

The free space field strength is to be determined according to the following formula:

\[
E = 76.9 + P - 20 \log d + H + V
\]

where:

- \( E \): field strength (dB(V/m)) of the broadcasting signal
- \( P \): maximum e.r.p. (dBW) of broadcasting station
- \( d \): slant path distance (km) (see definition in Annex 4)
- \( H \): h.r.p. correction (dB)
- \( V \): v.r.p. correction (dB).

In the case of a broadcasting station with mixed polarization, the maximum e.r.p. to be used is the larger of the horizontal and vertical components. However, where both the horizontal and vertical components have equal values, the maximum e.r.p. to be used is obtained by adding 1 dB to the value of the horizontal component.

### 3.4 Receiver input power:

Assuming an aircraft antenna radiation pattern with no directivity, the field strengths of the broadcasting signal and of the aeronautical signal are to be converted to power at the input to an aeronautical receiver according to the following formulas:

**a)** for a broadcasting signal in the band 87.5-108.0 MHz:

\[
N = E - 118 - L_s - L(f) - L_a
\]

where:

- \( N \): broadcasting signal level (dBm) at the input to the aeronautical receiver
- \( E \): field strength (dB(V/m)) of the broadcasting signal
- \( L_s \): signal splitter loss of 3.5 dB
- \( L(f) \): antenna system frequency-dependent loss at broadcasting frequency \( f \) (MHz) of 1.2 dB per MHz below 108 MHz
- \( L_a \): antenna system fixed loss of 9 dB.
b) for an aeronautical signal and a Type A1 signal in the band 108-118 MHz:

\[ N_a = E_a - 118 - L_s - L_a \]  

(3)

where:

- \( N_a \): signal level (dBm) at the input to the aeronautical receiver
- \( E_a \): field strength (dB(V/m)) of the aeronautical or Type A1 signal.

Figure 3 illustrates how the ILS localizer minimum field strength of 32 dB(V/m) is converted to –98 dBm at the receiver input of a typical aircraft receiver installation using formula (3).

4. **Compatibility assessment criteria:**

4.1 **Standard interference thresholds:**

An interference threshold is the minimum power level of an interfering signal that causes an unacceptable degradation in receiver performance. In bench measurements and flight tests of ILS localizer and VOR receivers, it has been found that:

- the interference threshold based on a change in course deflection current (see definitions in Annex 4) is usually exceeded before the flag comes into view;

- a 1 to 3 dB increase in the interfering signal levels beyond the interference threshold levels will cause a gross change in course deflection current or cause the flag to appear.

Using simulated broadcasting signals, the interference thresholds in § 4.1.1 to 4.1.3 were used for the purpose of standardizing bench measurements for Type A and Type B interference and were chosen to be reasonable representations of typical operational situations.

4.1.1 **ILS localizer**

The interference thresholds for a wanted signal with a difference in depth of modulation (see definitions in Annex 4) (DDM) of 0.093 are:
– a change in the course deflection current of 7.5 A (see Note 1), or
– the appearance of the flag, whichever occurs first.

4.1.2 VOR

The interference thresholds with a wanted signal present are:

– a change of the bearing indication by 0.5° which corresponds to 7.5 A (see Note 1) course deflection current, or

– a change in the audio voltage level by 3 dB, or

– the appearance of the flag for more than 1 s.

NOTE 1 – For measurement of course deflection current, see § 4.2 of Annex 1 to Recommendation ITU-RIS. 1140.

4.1.3 COM

The interference thresholds for airborne COM receivers are as follows:

– with a wanted signal present, the interference threshold is a reduction to 6 dB in the (audio signal plus noise)-to noise ratio \((S + N)/N\), or

– with no wanted signal present, the interference should not operate the squelch.

4.2 Interference assessment criteria – Montreal ILS localizer and VOR receivers (see definitions in Annex 4)

4.2.1 Type A1 interference:

Table 2 gives the values of the protection ratio to be used. Type A1 interference need not be considered for frequency differences greater than 200 kHz.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency difference between wanted signal and spurious emission (kHz)</th>
<th>Protection ratio (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>-38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2.2 Type A2 interference:

Table 3 gives the values of the protection ratio to be used. Type A2 interference need not be considered for frequency differences greater than 300 kHz.

4.2.3 Type B1 interference:

4.2.3.1 Compatibility assessment formulas:

Taking account of tested ILS localizer and VOR receivers exhibiting poor immunity to Type B1 interference, the following formulas should be used to assess potential incompatibilities.

NOTE 1 – A potential incompatibility (see definitions in Annex 4) is identified when the relevant formula is satisfied.
a) Two-signal case: Montreal receiver

\[2 \{N_1 - 28 \log \max (1.0; f_A - f_1)\} + N_2 - 28 \log \max (1.0; f_A - f_2) + K - L_c > 0 \quad (4)\]

b) Three-signal case: Montreal receiver

\[N_1 - 28 \log \max (1.0; f_A - f_1) + N_2 - 28 \log \max (1.0; f_A - f_2) + N_3 - 28 \log \max (1.0; f_A - f_3) + K + 6 - L_c > 0 \quad (5)\]

where:

- \(N_1, N_2, N_3\) : broadcasting signal levels (dBm) at the input to the aeronautical receiver for broadcasting frequencies \(f_1, f_2\) and \(f_3\) respectively
- \(f_A\) : aeronautical frequency (MHz)
- \(f_1, f_2, f_3\) : broadcasting frequencies (MHz), \(f_1 > f_2 > f_3\)
- \(K = 140\) for ILS localizer and \(K = 133\) for VOR

\(L_c\) : correction factor (dB) to account for changes in the ILS localizer or VOR signal levels (see § 4.2.3.3).

### TABLE 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency difference between wanted signal and spurious emission (kHz)</th>
<th>Protection ratio (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>-41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>-59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>-68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**4.2.3.2 Frequency offset correction:**

Before applying formulas (4) and (5), a correction from Table 4 is applied to each signal level as follows:

\[N \text{ (corrected)} = N - \text{correction term}\]

Type B 1 interference need not be considered for frequency differences greater than 200 kHz.

### TABLE 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency difference between wanted signal and spurious emission (kHz)</th>
<th>Correction term (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2.3.3 **Correction factor to account for changes in Type B1 interference immunity resulting from changes in wanted signal levels:**

The following correction factor may be applied for ILS localizer and VOR, two and three-signal cases:

\[ L_c = N_A - N_{ref} \]  

(6)

where:

- \( L_c \): correction factor (dB) to account for changes in the wanted signal level
- \( N_A \): wanted signal level (dBm) at the input to the aeronautical receiver
- \( N_{ref} \): reference level (dBm) of the wanted signal at the input to the aeronautical receiver for the Type B 1 interference immunity formula

- = \(-89\) dBm for ILS localizer and
- = \(-82\) dBm for VOR.

4.2.3.4 **Trigger and cut-off values (see definitions in Annex 4):**

\[ \text{Trigger value (dBm)} = \frac{L_c - K}{3} + 20 \log \{ \max (1.0; f_A - f) \} \]  

(7)

\[ \text{Cut-off value (dBm)} = -56 + 20 \log \left( \frac{\max (0.4; 108.1 - f)}{0.4} \right) \]  

(8)

where:

- \( L_c \): correction factor (dB) taking into account the change in wanted signal level (see § 4.2.3.3)
- \( K = 146 \) for ILS localizer and 139 for VOR 3-signal cases and
- \( K = 140 \) for ILS localizer and 133 for VOR 2-signal cases.
- \( f_A \): aeronautical frequency (MHz)
- \( f \): broadcasting frequency (MHz)

Experience has shown that the use of lower cut-off values merely associates additional intermodulation products with each trigger value, but at lower levels of potential interference.

4.2.4 **Type B2 interference:**

For an assessment of Type B2 interference, the following empirical formula may be used to determine the maximum level of a broadcasting signal at the input to the airborne ILS localizer or VOR receiver to avoid potential interference:

\[ N_{\text{max}} = -20 + 20 \log \left( \frac{\max (0.4; f_A - f)}{0.4} \right) \]  

(9)

where:

- \( N_{\text{max}} \): maximum level (dBm) of the broadcasting signal at the input to the aeronautical receiver
- \( f \): broadcasting frequency (MHz)
- \( f_A \): aeronautical frequency (MHz).
For some combinations of frequency and wanted signal level, formula (9) assumes more stringent receiver immunity criteria than those of the ICAO Annex 10 1998 receiver as given in formula (13). To take into account of both Montreal and ICAO Annex 10 1998 receiver immunity characteristics, both formula (9) and formula (13) should be applied and the lower value of $N_{\text{max}}$ should be used.

No correction factor to account for improvement in immunity resulting from increases in wanted signal levels is applied in the above formula due to insufficient test data.

4.3 **Interference assessment criteria – ICAO Annex 10 1998 ILS localizer and VOR receivers**

4.3.1 **Type A1 interference (see Note 1):**
As for Montreal receivers, § 4.2.1.

4.3.2 **Type A2 interference (see Note 1):**
As for Montreal receivers, § 4.2.2.

**NOTE 1 –** Further A1 and A2 measurements need to be made before possible modifications to § 4.3.1 and 4.3.2 of this Recommendation can be considered.

4.3.3 **Type B1 interference:**

4.3.3.1 **Compatibility assessment formulas:**
The following formulae should be used to assess potential incompatibilities.

a) **Two-signal case**

$$2 \left\{ N_1 - 20 \log \frac{\max (0.4; 108.1 - f_1)}{0.4} \right\} +$$

$$N_2 - 20 \log \frac{\max (0.4; 108.1 - f_2)}{0.4} + K - L_c + S > 0$$

(10)

where:

$N_1, N_2$: broadcasting signal levels (dBm) at the input to the aeronautical receiver for broadcasting frequencies $f_1$ and $f_2$ respectively

$f_1, f_2$: broadcasting frequencies (MHz) $f_1, f_2$

$K = 78$ for ILS localizer and VOR

$L_c$: correction factor (dB) to account for changes in wanted signal levels (see § 4.3.3.3)

$S$: 3 dB margin to take into account of the fact that the ICAO Annex 10 1998 receiver immunity criteria equations do not provide comprehensive compatibility assessment formulae.

b) **Three-signal case**
where:

- \( f_1, f_2, f_3 \): broadcasting frequencies (MHz) \( f_1 \geq f_2 > f_3 \)
- \( N_1, N_2, N_3 \): broadcasting signal levels (dBm) at the input to the aeronautical receiver for broadcasting frequencies \( f_1, f_2 \) and \( f_3 \) respectively
- \( K = 78 \) for ILS localizer and VOR
- \( L_c \): correction factor (dB) to account for changes in wanted signals, (see § 4.3.3.3)
- \( S = 3 \) dB margin to take into account of the fact that the ICAO Annex 10 1998 receiver immunity criteria equations do not provide comprehensive compatibility assessment formulae.

4.3.3.2 Frequency offset correction:

Before applying formulae (10) and (11), a correction from Table 5 is applied to each signal as follows:

\[
N_{\text{corrected}} = N - \text{correction term}
\]

Type B1 interference need not be considered for frequency differences greater than 150 kHz; in such cases, signal levels would be so high that type B2 interference would occur.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency difference between wanted signal and intermodulation product (kHz)</th>
<th>Correction term (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.3.3 Correction factor to account for changes in immunity resulting from changes in wanted signal levels:

The correction factor, \( L_c \), described in § 4.2.3.3 for Montreal receivers but with \( N_{\text{ref}} = -86 \) dBm for ILS localizer and -79 dBm for VOR, is to be used.

4.3.3.4 Trigger and cut-off values (see definitions in Annex 4):

\[
\text{Trigger value (dBm)} = \frac{L_c - K - S}{3} + 20 \log \frac{\max (0.4; 108.1 - f)}{0.4} \text{ dBm}
\]
where:

- $L_c$: correction factor (dB) (see § 4.3.3.3)
- $K = 78$ for ILS localizer and VOR for 2-signal cases and $K = 84$ for ILS localizer and VOR for 3-signal cases
- $f$: broadcasting frequency (MHz)
- $S$: 3 dB margin to take into account of the fact that the ICAO Annex 10 1998 receiver immunity criteria equations do not provide comprehensive compatibility assessment formulae.

The cut-off value is the same as for Montreal receivers described in equation (8).

### 4.3.4 Type B2 Interference:

For an assessment of type B2 interference, the following empirical formula may be used to determine the maximum level of a broadcasting signal at the input to the airborne ILS localizer or VOR receiver to avoid potential interference:

$$N_{max} = \min\left(15; -10 + 20 \log \frac{\max\left(0.4; \frac{108.1 - f}{0.4}\right) + L_c - S}{0.4}\right)$$  

where:

- $N_{max}$: maximum level (dBm) of the broadcasting signal at the input to the aeronautical receiver
- $f$: broadcasting frequency (MHz)
- $S$: 3 dB margin to take into account of the fact that the ICAO Annex 10 1998 receiver immunity criteria equations do not provide comprehensive compatibility assessment formulae
- $L_c$: correction factor (dB) to account for changes in the wanted signal level.
- $N_A$: wanted signal level (dBm) at the input to the aeronautical receiver
- $N_{ref}$: reference level (dBm) of the wanted signal at the input to the aeronautical receiver for the type B2 interference immunity formula

$$L_c = \max(0; 0.5(N_A - N_{ref}))$$

$$N_A = -86 \text{ dBm for ILS localizer}$$

$$N_A = -79 \text{ dBm for VOR}.$$  

### 4.4 Interference assessment criteria – ICAO Annex 10 1998 COM receivers:

Type A1 and Type B1 intermodulation interference to COM receivers cannot be caused to COM frequencies above 128.5 MHz. Type A2 interference cannot be caused to any COM service frequency. There were little data available on aircraft COM antenna characteristics which could be used to develop a formula to convert field strength to receiver input power.

### 4.4.1 Compatibility assessment formulas:

ICAO has specified in its Annex 10, Part I (§ 4.7.3) that:

- after 1 January 1995, all new installations of COM receiving systems shall meet new interference immunity performance standards;
- after 1 January 1998, all COM receiving systems shall meet new interference immunity performance standards.

#### 4.4.1.1 Type B1 interference:

ICAO Annex 10 states that the COM receiving system “shall provide satisfactory performance in the presence of two signal, third-order intermodulation products caused by VHF FM broadcast signals having levels at the receiver input of $-5 \text{ dBm}$”.

#### 4.4.1.2 Type B2 interference:

ICAO Annex 10 states that the COM receiving system “shall not be desensitized in the presence of VHF FM broadcast signals having levels at the receiver input of $-5 \text{ dBm}$”.
APPENDIX 1 TO ANNEX 1

ILS localizer/VOR coverage and minimum field strengths


The following extract pertains to the ILS localizer:

“3.1.3.3 Coverage:

3.1.3.3.1 The localizer shall provide signals sufficient to allow satisfactory operation of a typical aircraft installation within the localizer and glide path coverage sectors. The localizer coverage sector shall extend from the centre of the localizer antenna system to distances of:

46.3 km (25 NM) within 10° from the front course line;
31.5 km (17 NM) between 10° and 35° from the front course line;
18.5 km (10 NM) outside of 35° if coverage is provided;

except that, where topographical features dictate or operational requirements permit, the limits may be reduced to 33.3 km (18 NM) within the 10° sector and 18.5 km (10 NM) within the remainder of the coverage when alternative navigational facilities provide satisfactory coverage within the intermediate approach area. The localizer signals shall be receivable at the distances specified at and above a height of 600 m (2000 ft) above the elevation of the threshold, or 300 m (1000 ft) above the elevation of the highest point within the intermediate and final approach areas, whichever is the higher. Such signals shall be receivable to the distances specified, up to a surface extending outward from the localizer antenna and inclined at 7° above the horizontal.

3.1.3.3.2 In all parts of the coverage volume specified in 3.1.3.3.1 above, other than as specified in 3.1.3.3.1, 3.1.3.3.2 and 3.1.3.3.3 below, the field strength shall be not less than 40 μV/m (−114 dBW/m²).

Note. – This minimum field strength is required to permit satisfactory operational usage of ILS localizer facilities.

3.1.3.3.2.1 For Facility Performance Category I localizers, the minimum field strength on the ILS glide path and within the localizer course sector from a distance of 18.5 km (10 NM) to a height of 60 m (200 ft) above the horizontal plane containing the threshold shall be not less than 90μ V/m (−107 dBW/m²)

3.1.3.3.2.2 For Facility Performance Category II localizers, the minimum field strength on the ILS glide path and within the localizer course sector shall be not less than 100 μV/m (−106 dBW/m²) at a distance of 18.5 km (10 NM) increasing to not less than 200 μV/m (−100 dBW/m²) at a height of 15 m (50 ft) above the horizontal plane containing the threshold.

3.1.3.3.2.3 For Facility Performance Category III localizers, the minimum field strength on the ILS glide path and within the localizer course sector shall be not less than 100 μV/m (−106 dBW/m²) at a distance of 18.5 km (10 NM), increasing to not less than 200 μV/m (−100 dBW/m²) at 6 m (20 ft) above the horizontal plane containing the threshold. From this point to a further point 4 m (12 ft) above the runway centre line, and 300 m (1000 ft) from the threshold in the direction of the localizer, and thereafter at a height of 4 m (12 ft) along the length of the runway in the direction of the localizer, the field strength shall be not less than 100 μV/m (−106 dBW/m²).

Note. – The field strengths given in 3.1.3.3.2.2 and 3.1.3.3.2.3 above are necessary to provide the signal-to-noise ratio required for improved integrity.
3.1.3.3.3 **Recommendation.** Above 7°, the signals should be reduced to as low a value as practicable.

**Note 1** – The requirements in 3.1.3.3.1, 3.1.3.3.2.1, 3.1.3.3.2.2 and 3.1.3.3.2.3 above are based on the assumption that the aircraft is heading directly toward the facility.

**Note 2** – Guidance material on significant airborne receiver parameters is given in 2.2.2 and 2.2.4 of Attachment C to Part I.

3.1.3.3.4 When coverage is achieved by a localizer using two radio frequency carriers, one carrier providing a radiation field pattern in the front course sector and the other providing a radiation field pattern outside that sector, the ratio of the two carrier signal strengths in space within the front course sector to the coverage limits specified at 3.1.3.3.1 above shall not be less than 10 dB.

The following extract pertains to the VOR:

“3.3.3. **Polarization and pattern accuracy:**

3.3.3.1 The emission from the VOR shall be horizontally polarized. The vertically polarized component of the radiation shall be as small as possible.

**Note.** – It is not possible at present to state quantitatively the maximum permissible magnitude of the vertically polarized component of the radiation from the VOR. (Information is provided in the Manual on Testing of Radio Navigation Aids (Doc 8071) as to flight checks that can be carried out to determine the effects of vertical polarization on the bearing accuracy.)

3.3.3.2 The accuracy of the bearing information conveyed by the horizontally polarized radiation from the VOR at a distance of approximately 4 wavelengths for all elevation angles between 0 and 40°, measured from the centre of the VOR antenna system, shall be within 2°.

3.3.4. **Coverage:**

3.3.4.1 The VOR shall provide signals such as to permit satisfactory operation of a typical aircraft installation at the levels and distances required for operational reasons and up to an elevation angle of 40°.

3.3.4.2 **Recommendation.** – The field strength or power density in space of VOR signals required to permit satisfactory operation of a typical aircraft installation at the minimum service level at the maximum specified service radius should be 90 µV/m or –107 dBW/m².”
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General assessment method
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1. Introduction:

The purpose of this Annex is to provide an assessment method for the analysis of compatibility between stations of the aeronautical radio navigation services and stations in a large broadcasting assignment plan. The techniques given in Annex 3 may be used to carry out a more detailed analysis, or to verify the results obtained from an analysis.

1.1 Philosophy of the general assessment method:

The central objective of the General Assessment Method (GAM) is to calculate all significant potential incompatibilities within an aeronautical volume at a number of defined calculation points or test points (see Note 1). For a particular set of broadcasting and aeronautical frequency combinations, the maximum potential incompatibility associated with a particular aeronautical service is identified in the form of a protection margin.

An extension of the compatibility assessment method contained in the Geneva Agreement, 1984, is needed because of subsequent refinement of the compatibility criteria and identification of the need for a more thorough assessment method. In addition, because of the need to identify and examine potential incompatibilities associated with a large assignment plan, it is necessary to develop an assessment method suitable for automated implementation in an efficient manner.

The GAM is based upon the need to protect the aeronautical radionavigation service at specified minimum separation distances (see Note 1) from broadcasting station antennas, depending on the aeronautical service (ILS or VOR) (see Note 1) and the particular use made of that service.

Note 1 – See definitions in Annex 4.

1.2 ILS localizer:

When assessing compatibility with an ILS localizer the GAM is based on a number of fixed test points, supplemented by an additional test point for each broadcasting station within the Designated Operational Coverage (DOC) (see definitions in Annex 4) of the ILS.

1.3 VOR:

The DOCs employed in the VOR service are large and consequently there is likely to be a large number of broadcasting stations located within each VOR DOC. The GAM assesses compatibility with VOR by generating a test point above each broadcasting station inside the DOC and taking account of broadcasting stations outside the DOC.

2. Location and height of ILS and VOR test points:

2.1 ILS test points:
2.1.1 Fixed test points:

For each of the fixed test points shown in Fig. 4, the minimum height, distance from the localizer site and the bearing relative to the extended runway centre line are given in Table 6.

The fixed test points A, E, F, G and H have minimum heights (see also § 3.2.1) of 0, 0, 150, 300 and 450 m, respectively, above the ILS localizer site elevation. These values represent a glide path with a slope of 3. All other fixed test points have minimum heights of 600 m.

2.1.2 Test points related to broadcasting stations:

If the broadcasting station is within the shaded zone in Fig. 4:

– an additional test point is generated having the geographic coordinates of the broadcasting station and the same height as the broadcasting antenna.

If the broadcasting station is within or below the ILS DOC but outside the shaded zone in Fig. 4, an additional test point is generated having the geographic coordinates of the broadcasting station. The minimum height of the test point is the greater of:

– 600 m above the ILS localizer site; or
– 150 m above the broadcasting antenna.
### TABLE 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points on or above the extended runway centre line</th>
<th>Points off the extended runway centre line (all at height of 600 m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identification</td>
<td>Distance (km)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>21.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>33.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>46.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2.2 VOR test points:

**2.2.1 Test points related to broadcasting stations that are inside the DOC:**

A test point is located at the geographic coordinates of the broadcasting station, at a minimum height which is the greatest of:

- 600 m above local terrain (approximated as 600 m above the site height of the broadcasting station), or
- 300 m above the antenna of the broadcasting station, or
- the height derived from Fig. 5 to which is added the height of the VOR site.

#### FIGURE 5

Distance versus test point height above VOR site

This curve is derived from ICAO documentation (see § 3.2.2.2 of Annex 1).
2.2.2 **Test points related to broadcasting stations that are outside the DOC:**

Broadcasting stations which are outside the DOC but no more than 3 km from the boundary of the DOC are treated as in § 2.2.1. For stations more than 3 km outside the DOC, but within the distance limits specified in § 3.1.2, a test point is generated at the nearest point on the boundary of the DOC, and at a minimum height which is the greatest of:

- 600 m above mean sea level, or
- the broadcasting antenna height above mean sea level, or
- the height derived from Fig. 5 to which is added the height of the VOR site.

Test points on the boundary of the DOC which are separated by less than 250 m are regarded as co-located.

2.2.3 **Additional test points:**

Additional test points within the DOC may be specified to cover a particular use of a VOR, for instance where it is used as a landing aid, or where a service is required at an elevation angle of less than 0° (see also § 3.2.3.2).

### 3. Application of general assessment method:

#### 3.1 **General:**

The compatibility criteria are contained in Annex 1.

#### 3.1.1 **Test point selection:**

Test points are selected in accordance with the criteria set out in § 2.

#### 3.1.2 **Broadcasting stations to be included in the analysis at a test point:**

Broadcasting stations are included in the analysis at a test point:

- if there is a line-of-sight path (see definitions in Annex 4) from the broadcasting antenna to the test point and if the calculated signal level is greater than the B1 cut-off value (§ 4.2.3.4 of Annex 1);
- if the free-space field strength (§ 3.3.7 of Annex 1) is at least the value which can cause Type A1 or A2 or B2 incompatibility (§ 4.2 and 4.3 of Annex 1) subject to a maximum separation distance of 125 km in the A1 and B2 cases.

#### 3.1.3 **Compatibility calculations:**

In order to assess the compatibility of the set of broadcasting stations which meet the conditions of § 3.1.2 at any selected test point (see § 3.1.1), it is necessary to:

- calculate the free-space field strength (§ 3.3.7 of Annex 1) from each of the broadcasting stations at the test point taking account of the slant path distance (see definitions in Annex 4), the maximum e.r.p. and the antenna characteristics (see § 4);
- calculate the ILS or VOR signal level (see § 3.2.2.3 and 3.2.3.2);
- calculate the input power to an aeronautical receiver using § 3.4 of Annex 1.

Taking into account the frequency and type (ILS or VOR) of the aeronautical service and the information obtained above, the compatibility for each type of interference may be assessed as in § 3.1.3.1 to 3.1.3.4.

#### 3.1.3.1 **Type A1 interference:**

The frequencies of the two and three component intermodulation products which can be generated by any sub-set of co-sited broadcasting stations are calculated. Any product for which the frequency falls within 200 kHz of the aeronautical frequency is examined further to determine if its field
strength is sufficient to cause Type A1 interference, taking account of the criteria in § 4.2.1 of Annex 1.

To assess A1 compatibility with ICAO Annex 10 1998 aeronautical receivers, the criteria in § 4.3.1 of Annex 1 should be used.

3.1.3.2 Type A2 interference:
Each of the broadcasting stations (identified as in § 3.1.2) is examined to determine if its frequency falls within 300 kHz of the aeronautical frequency and, if so, if its field strength is sufficient to cause Type A2 interference, taking account of the criteria in § 4.2.2 of Annex 1.

To assess A2 compatibility with ICAO Annex 10 1998 aeronautical receivers, the criteria in § 4.3.2 of Annex 1 should be used.

3.1.3.3 Type B1 interference:
The frequencies of the two and three component intermodulation products which can be generated by any sub-set of broadcasting stations (identified as in § 3.1.2) which contains at least one component reaching the trigger value (see § 4.2.3.4 of Annex 1) and for which all components are above the cut-off value (see definitions in Annex 4) (see § 4.2.3.4 of Annex 1) at the input to the aeronautical receiver are calculated. Any product whose frequency falls within 200 kHz of the aeronautical frequency is examined further to determine if the sum (dBm) of the powers at the input to the aeronautical receiver (see § 3.4 of Annex 1) is sufficient to cause Type B1 interference, taking account of the criteria in § 4.2.3 of Annex 1.

To assess B1 compatibility with ICAO Annex 10 1998 aeronautical receivers, the criteria in § 4.3.3 of Annex 1 should be used.

3.1.3.4 Type B2 interference:
Each of the broadcasting stations (identified as in § 3.1.2) is examined to determine if its power at the input to the aeronautical receiver (see § 3.4 of Annex 1) (see Note 1) is sufficient to cause Type B2 interference, taking account of the criteria in § 4.2.4 of Annex 1.

To assess B2 compatibility with ICAO Annex 10 1998 aeronautical receivers, the criteria in § 4.3.4 of Annex 1 should be used.

**NOTE 1** – The term “equivalent input power” is used to mean “the power at the input of an aeronautical receiver after taking into account any frequency dependent terms”.

### 3.2 Special considerations regarding compatibility assessments:

#### 3.2.1 Test point heights greater than the minimum values:

To ensure that all potential Type B1 interference situations are considered, additional calculations for greater test point heights should be carried out, subject to the test point height not exceeding:

- the maximum height of the DOC, or
- the maximum height at which the trigger value can be achieved.

A more detailed explanation of this matter and the reasons for its restriction to Type B1 interference are given in § 7 of Appendix 1.

#### 3.2.2 ILS:

**3.2.2.1 Fixed test points:**

The slant path distance between the broadcasting antenna and a test point is used in field-strength calculations. However, this is subject to the following minimum value:

- 150 m if the broadcasting station is within the shaded zone in Fig. 4, or
3.2.2.2 **Test points related to broadcasting stations:**

If the broadcasting station is within the shaded zone in Fig. 4:

- additional calculations are made for a horizontal separation distance of 150 m, using the maximum value of the e.r.p. and the height specified in § 2.1.2.

If the broadcasting station is within or below the ILS DOC but outside the shaded zone in Fig. 4:

- additional calculations are made for a test point location above the broadcasting station for the height specified in § 2.1.2. The relevant maximum vertical radiation pattern correction derived from § 4.4 is applied.

3.2.2.3 **Calculation of ILS field strength:**

If sufficient information about the ILS installation is known, the two-ray method in § 3.2.2.3.1 may be used. If the required information is not available, the ILS interpolation method given in § 3.2.2.3.2 may be used.

3.2.2.3.1 **Two-ray method:**

Appendix 3 provides the details of a method which may be used to obtain an accurate prediction of the ILS field strength. To use this method some detailed information about the ILS installation must be known and the required information is listed in Appendix 3. At test points A and E (see Table 6), the minimum field strength, 32 dB( V/m) (see § 3.2.1.2 of Annex 1), is used.

3.2.2.3.2 **ILS interpolation method:**

The following linear interpolation method can be used for heights greater than 60 m above the ILS localizer site.

From the centre of the localizer antenna system to a distance (see Note 1) of 18.5 km, and for angles no more than 10° from the front course line, the field strength is 39 dB(µV/m).

**NOTE 1 –** Within § 3.2.2.3.2, the distances used are calculated in the horizontal plane through the ILS localizer site. From the centre of the localizer antenna system to a distance of 31.5 km and for angles greater than 10° but no more than 35° each side of the front course line (see Fig 1), the ILS field strength, $E_{ILS}$ is given by:

$$E_{ILS} = 39 - \frac{d}{4.5} \text{ dB}(\mu V/m)$$

where:

$d$: distance (km) from the ILS localizer site to the test point.

From a distance of 18.5 km to a distance of 46.3 km, and for angles no more than 10° from the front course line, the ILS field strength, $E_{ILS}$, is given by:

$$E_{ILS} = 39 - \frac{d - 18.5}{4} \text{ dB}(\mu V/m)$$

For heights below 60 m, the minimum field strength, 32 dB( V/m), is used.

The values for ILS localizer field strength used in this interpolation method are the minimum values specified in ICAO Annex 10 (see also Appendix 1 to Annex 1) and since variations below these minima are not permitted, there is no requirement for a safety margin.
3.2.3.1 **Additional test points:**

The slant path distance between the antenna of the broadcasting station and any additional test point (see § 2.2.3) is used in field-strength calculations. However, this is subject to a minimum value of 300 m.

3.2.3.2 **Calculation of VOR field strength at test points:**

For test points with elevation angles greater than 0° and less than 2.5°, the following formula is applicable for installations where the VOR transmitting antenna is no more than 7 m above ground level:

\[
E_{VOR} = E_{MIN} + \max(20 \log(\theta D_{MX} / D_{TP}); 0)
\]

where:

- \( E_{MIN} \): ICAO minimum field strength (39 dB(V/m))
- \( D_{MX} \): specified range of VOR (km) in the direction of the test point
- \( D_{TP} \): slant path distance (km) from VOR transmitter site to test point
- \( \theta \): elevation angle (degrees) of the test point with respect to the VOR antenna, given by:

\[
\theta = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\left[H_{TP} - H_{VOR} - (D_{TP} / 4.1)^2 \right]}{\left[1000 D_{TP}\right]}\right)
\]

where:

- \( H_{TP} \): test point height (m) above sea level
- \( H_{VOR} \): VOR antenna height (m) above sea level.

For elevation angles which exceed the value of 2.5°, the field strength is calculated using the elevation angle of 2.5°.

For installations where the VOR transmitting antenna is more than 7 m above ground level, or where there is a requirement for a service at elevation angles of less than 0°, the minimum value of VOR field strength (39 dB(V/m)) is to be used for all test points.

The method described above is an interpolation method based on a minimum field strength value and therefore there is no requirement for a safety margin.

3.2.4 **Calculation of Type A1 potential interference:**

Spurious emissions, except radiated intermodulation products, should, as a general measure, be kept at such a low level that there will be no incompatibility to be considered further in the compatibility analysis. Hence A1 calculations are made only for the case of radiated intermodulation products from co-sited broadcasting stations.

Because the e.r.p. of the intermodulation product may not be known, the Type A1 interference margin is calculated indirectly by taking account of the unwanted field-strength value at a test point for each of the transmissions from co-sited broadcasting stations, together with the relevant A1 suppression value for each of these transmitters.

The Type A1 interference margin is calculated as:

\[
IM = \max((E_i - S_i), ..., (E_N - S_N)) + FR - EW
\]
3.2.2.3

Horizontal broadcasting station antenna corrections

The value of A1 interference margin (dB) is given by:

\[ IM = \text{A1 interference margin (dB)} \]

\[ N = \text{number of intermodulation components (N = 2 or 3)} \]

\[ E_i = \text{unwanted field strength (dB(\mu V/m)) of broadcasting transmission i at the test point} \]

\[ S_i = \text{A1 suppression (dB) of broadcasting transmitter i} \]

\[ PR = \text{protection ratio (dB) appropriate for frequency difference between the intermodulation product and the aeronautical frequencies (see Table 2)} \]

\[ E_a = \text{field strength (dB(\mu V/m)) of the aeronautical signal at the test point (at least 32 dB(\mu V/m) for ILS and 39 dB(\mu V/m) for VOR).} \]

In a case where the A1 suppression value for a broadcasting transmitter is known, this value should be used when calculating compatibility.

3.2.5 Calculation of Type B1 potential interference:

To ensure that worst-case B1 results are obtained for broadcasting stations which are sited close to one another, any broadcasting station within 3 km of a test point is regarded as being beneath that test point (see also Appendix 1).

3.2.6 Calculation of Type B2 potential interference:

In the calculation of Type B2 potential interference, no allowance for the level of the aeronautical signal is made and thus the minimum values of 32 and 39 dB (\mu V/m) for ILS and VOR respectively are used.

3.2.7 Multiple interference:

In principle, the combined effect of multiple sources of potential interference to an aeronautical service at a given test point should be taken into account. However, within the GAM:

- the use of a free-space calculation method normally provides an over-estimate of any broadcasting field strength;
- the use of the calculation methods given in § 3.2.2.3 and 3.2.3.2, for ILS localizer and VOR, respectively, normally provides an under-estimate of any aeronautical field strength.

Therefore, it is not considered necessary to take multiple interference into account in the GAM.

However, in the case of A1 compatibility calculations, when the frequency difference between the wanted signal and the spurious emission is either 0 or 50 kHz, the protection ratio should be increased by 3 dB to provide a safety margin.

4. Broadcasting station antenna corrections:

4.1 General:

Account is taken of the directional properties of broadcasting station transmitting antennas when calculating field-strength values (§ 3.3.7 of Annex 1).

4.2 Polarization discrimination:

No account is taken of any polarization discrimination between broadcasting and aeronautical radio navigation transmissions (except as indicated in § 3.3.7 of Annex 1).

4.3 Horizontal radiation pattern:

For a broadcasting station which has a directional antenna, the horizontal radiation pattern (h.r.p.) data are specified at 10 intervals, starting from true north. The h.r.p. correction, \( H \) (dB), is given by:

\[ H = (\text{e.r.p. in the relevant direction}) - (\text{maximum e.r.p.}) \]
4.4 **Vertical radiation pattern correction:**

Vertical radiation pattern (v.r.p.) corrections are applied only for elevation angles above the horizontal plane through the broadcasting antenna.

Broadcasting antennas vary from a simple antenna such as a dipole, as often used at low power stations, to the more complex multi-tiered antenna normally used at high power stations.

In a case where the actual antenna aperture is not known, Table 7 is used to relate the maximum e.r.p. to the vertical aperture and is based upon a statistical analysis of operational practice.

The v.r.p. corrections described in § 4.4.1 and 4.4.2 apply to both horizontally and vertically polarized transmissions and the limiting values quoted take account of the worst-case slant path.

**TABLE 7**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum e.r.p. (dBW)</th>
<th>Vertical aperture in wavelengths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e.r.p. ≥ 44</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 ≤ e.r.p. &lt; 44</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 ≤ e.r.p. &lt; 37</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e.r.p. &lt; 30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.4.1 **V.r.p. corrections for vertical apertures of two or more wavelengths:**

In order to model the envelope of the vertical radiation pattern of antennas with apertures of two or more wavelengths, the v.r.p. correction, \( V \) (dB), is calculated by using the following formula:

\[
V = -20 \log (\pi A \sin \theta)
\]

where:

- \( A \): vertical aperture (wavelengths)
- \( \theta \): elevation angle (relative to the horizontal).

It should be noted that for small elevation angles this expression can produce positive values for \( V \). In such cases, \( V \) is set to 0 dB (i.e., no v.r.p. correction is applied).

For large elevation angles, \( V \) is limited to a value of \(-14 \) dB, that is, \( 0 \leq V \leq -14 \) dB.

Where the actual maximum v.r.p. correction is known, this should be used as the limiting value in place of \(-14 \) dB.

4.4.2 **V.r.p. corrections for vertical apertures of less than two wavelengths:**

When using low gain antennas (those with vertical apertures of less than two wavelengths) the values in Table 8 characterize the envelope of the v.r.p.

For intermediate angles linear interpolation is used.

**TABLE 8**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elevation angle (degrees)</th>
<th>v.r.p. correction (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.4.3 *V.r.p. corrections for spurious emissions in the band 108-118 MHz:*

The v.r.p. corrections given in § 4.4.1 and 4.4.2 are also applied to spurious emissions in the band 108-118 MHz.

4.5 *Combination of horizontal and vertical radiation patterns*

The relevant values, in dB, of the h.r.p. and v.r.p. corrections are added arithmetically subject to a maximum combined correction of –20 dB, or the maximum v.r.p. correction, whichever is larger. At elevation angles above 45°, no h.r.p. corrections are made.
APPENDIX 1

TO ANNEX 2

Location of test points with maximum interference potential

An explanation of the GAM

This Appendix is a clarification of the inter-relationship between test point location and local maxima of interference potential in relation to the GAM.

1. **Aircraft at the same height as a broadcasting station antenna:**

   Consider the situation of an aircraft flying near a broadcasting station. If the aircraft flies at the same height as the broadcasting antenna, the maximum value of broadcasting field strength perceived by the aircraft will be at the point of nearest approach. In the case of an omni directional broadcasting antenna, the points of maximum field strength lie on a circle centred on the antenna.

2. **Aircraft at a greater height than a broadcasting station antenna:**

   If the aircraft flies at a constant altitude on a radial line towards and over the site of a broadcasting antenna, the point of maximum field strength is vertically above the antenna (see Appendix 2 to Annex 2).

3. **Relationship between vertical and horizontal separation distances:**

   If the maximum value of v.r.p. correction for the broadcasting antenna is $-14$ dB, the maximum value of field strength achieved for a vertical separation of $y$ m is the same as that for a separation of $5y$ m in the horizontal plane through the broadcasting antenna (where the v.r.p. correction is 0 dB).

4. **Location of maximum interference potential:**

   For A1, A2 and B2 calculations, the vertical separation and horizontal separation concepts are equivalent because the broadcasting signals have a common source location. In the B1 case, the contributing sources are generally not co-sited and the location of the maximum interference potential may not be immediately obvious if the horizontal separation concept is used.

   However, if the vertical separation concept is used, the point of maximum interference potential is above one or other of the broadcasting antennas (see Appendix 2 to Annex 2).

   Thus, a unique pair (or trio) of points has been defined for a worst-case calculation without having to rely on a very large number of calculation points on some form of three-dimensional grid.

5. **Test points for VOR:**

   In the GAM, this direct approach is used for VOR compatibility calculations and is extended by means of additional test points situated at (or near) the DOC boundary to ensure that broadcasting stations outside the DOC are properly taken into account.

6. **Test points for ILS:**

   In contrast to the VOR situation, relatively few broadcasting stations are situated inside or below an ILS DOC. In consequence it is easier to demonstrate that compatibility has been fully evaluated by using a set of fixed test points to supplement test points generated above or near any broadcasting stations inside the DOC.

   Test points inside the shaded zone in Fig. 4 are chosen to permit assessment of compatibility from ground level upwards and the test point heights chosen represent a glide path with a slope of $3^\circ$.

7. **Effect of increased test point height:**

   Calculations of 2 or 3 component Type B1 potential interference give worst-case results at the
minimum test point height for any given sub-set of broadcasting stations which are within line-of-sight of the test point. However, at greater test point heights it is possible for additional broadcasting stations to become line-of-sight to the test point and further calculations are needed to determine if these stations can contribute to a Type B1 potential interference. The maximum value of any potential interference occurs at the minimum height for which all relevant broadcasting stations are within line-of-sight of the test point. The greatest height which needs to be considered is the lower of:

- the maximum height of the DOC, or
- the maximum height at which the signal level from a broadcasting station achieves the trigger value.
APPENDIX 2
TO ANNEX 2

Considerations regarding maximum field strength and interference potential

1. Maximum field strength:

Consider an aircraft flying on a path at constant altitude along a radial towards a broadcasting station with the aircraft height greater than that of the broadcasting antenna (see Fig. 6).

In the following:

\[ P: \text{ e.r.p. (dBW)} \]
\[ h: \text{ height difference (km)} \]
\[ d: \text{ slant path distance (km)} \]
\[ \theta: \text{ elevation angle, relative to the horizontal at the broadcasting antenna} \]
\[ V: \text{ v.r.p. correction (dB).} \]

At any point T, the field strength \( E \) (dB(µV/m)) (Note 1) is given by (see § 3.3.7 of Annex 1):

\[ E = 76.9 + P - 20 \log d + V \]

**NOTE 1** – For simplicity, it is assumed that there is no h.r.p. correction.

The v.r.p. correction is modelled as \(-20 \log (\pi A \sin \theta)\), where \( A \) is the vertical aperture of the antenna, in wavelengths, subject to a maximum value of correction for high values of

**FIGURE 6**

Aircraft path above a broadcasting antenna

1.1 At low values of (where \( V \) is between 0 and its maximum value),

\[ E = 76.9 + P - 20 \log d - 20 \log (\pi A \sin \theta) \]

but \( d=h/\sin \theta \)

therefore

\[ E = 76.9 + P - 20 \log \left( \frac{h \pi A \sin \theta}{\sin \theta} \right) = 76.9 + P - 20 \log (h \pi A) \]
Thus the field-strength value is constant.

1.2. At larger values of \( V \) (where \( V \) has reached its maximum value), that is near the broadcasting station (the zone shown shaded in Fig. 6), the v.r.p. correction remains constant at its maximum value.

Thus:

\[
E = 76.9 + P - 20 \log d + \text{constant}
\]

The maximum value of field strength is achieved when \( d \) reaches its minimum value (=\( h \)), directly above the broadcasting antenna.

2. **Maximum Type B1 interference potential:**

Consider an aircraft flying on a path at a constant altitude above the line joining two broadcasting antennas (see Fig. 7).

Outside the shaded areas, the field-strength values are constant (as described in § 1.1), their sum is constant and therefore the Type B1 interference potential is also constant.

Inside each shaded area, the field-strength value from the nearer transmitter increases to a local maximum directly above its antenna (as described in § 1.2).

In the GAM, both local maxima are examined thus permitting the worst case to be identified.

Similar reasoning applies to the three station case.
APPENDIX 3
TO ANNEX 2

Prediction of ILS field strength using two-ray geometry

This model uses two-ray geometry over a smooth spherical earth. It is a requirement of this method that the ground in the vicinity of the reflection point is a reasonable approximation to a smooth earth.

For an ILS localizer signal, the area in which the reflection takes place will be on (or very near to) the airport itself and in this area the ground is likely to be substantially flat and thus a good approximation to the required conditions.

The elements needed to make the calculation are:

- maximum e.r.p. of the ILS localizer installation;
- slant path distance between the ILS localizer antenna and the test point;
- horizontal radiation pattern of the ILS localizer antenna;
- bearing of the test point;
- height of the ILS localizer antenna above ground level (a.g.l.);
- height of the ILS localizer site above mean sea level (a.m.s.l.);
- height of the test point a.m.s.l.

Because the maximum elevation angle which needs to be considered within any ILS DOC is 7° (see Fig. 1), there is no need to include the vertical radiation pattern of the ILS localizer antenna in the calculation.

In the case of a path of less than a few hundred kilo metres, it is a reasonable approximation to assume that the Earth may be represented as a parabola with heights measured on the y-axis and distances on the x-axis (see Fig. 8).

Under these circumstances, the difference in path length, $\Delta$ (m), between the direct path and that involving a reflection is given by:

$$\Delta = \frac{2 h_1 \left[ h_2 - h_p - \left( \frac{D}{4.1} \right)^2 \right]}{1000 D} \text{ m}$$

where:

- $D$: horizontal distance (km) from the ILS localizer site to the test point
- $h_1$: ILS transmitting antenna height (m) above the reflecting plane
- $h_2$: test point height (m) a.m.s.l.
- $h_p$: height of the reflection plane (m) a.m.s.l. (equal to the ILS localizer site height)

and reference should be made to Note 1 on Fig. 8.
Note 1 – The effect of the Earth’s curvature in the region between the transmitter site and the reflection point is neglected in this approximation.

Tx: ILS localizer transmitting antenna  
T: test point  
d: slant path distance (km)  
X: curved earth height difference (m), (identified for information only);  
\[ X = \left( \frac{D}{4.1} \right)^2 \]

At the reflection angles involved, the Earth has a reflection coefficient very close to \(-1\) and the correction factor, \(C\), due to the summation of the two signal components is given by:

\[ C = 10 \log \left( 2 - 2 \cos \left( \frac{2 \pi \Delta}{\lambda} \right) \right) \]  (26)

where:
\[ \lambda \] wavelength (m), of the ILS signal.

The reflection zone is close to the transmitter site and if the latter is a few hundred metres from the end of the runway then the reflection zone will be between these two points. Care must be taken when determining the height of the ILS transmitting antenna above the reflection zone in the case where the ground is sloping. This means that an accurate ground profile is required in order to obtain accurate field strength results. For greatest accuracy, the reflection plane should be drawn through the ground slope in the reflection zone with the heights above the reflection plane recalculated appropriately.

The predicted field strength, \(E\) (dB (\(\mu\)V/m)), is given by:

\[ E = 76.9 + P - 20 \log d + C + H \]

where:
\[ P\]: e.r.p. (dBW) of the ILS localizer installation  
d: slant path distance (km)  
C: correction (dB) given in equation (26)  
H: h.r.p correction for the ILS localizer transmitting antenna in the direction of the test point.

An allowance of 8 dB is to be made to provide a safety margin, but the field strength value calculated as in § 3.2.2.3.2 is taken as a lower limit.

The field strength, \(E_{\text{ILS}}\) (dB(V/m)), to be used in compatibility calculations is thus:

\[ E_{\text{ILS}} = \max (E - 8; \text{value from } \text{§ } 3.2.2.3.2) \]
ANNEX 3

Detailed compatibility assessment and practical verification

1. Introduction:

The General Assessment Method (GAM) predicts more potential incompatibilities to the aeronautical radio navigation service than may occur in practice. However, the results of correlation tests show that when measured data are used in a compatibility analysis, the calculated results match closely with practical experience. Thus, the use of measured data will improve the accuracy of a compatibility analysis.

As an extension to the GAM, a detailed, case-by-case analysis may be conducted using parameters derived from models with increased degrees of accuracy. These models may be used individually or in combination. They approach practical experience when the calculated values of individual parameters approximate more closely to measured values. The advantage of this modelling approach is that it provides opportunities for an efficient compatibility analysis and that it can provide accurate results, thus avoiding the need for extensive flight measurements and their associated practical difficulties.

2. Matters requiring special attention:

2.1 Prediction of broadcasting field strengths:

In the GAM the prediction of broadcasting field strengths is based on free-space propagation. However, measurements have shown that free-space propagation predictions may lead to a significant overestimation in a case where both the transmitting and receiving antennas are at low heights (for example, less than 150 m) above the ground.

In general, it is not possible to perform calculations which are more realistic than those based on free-space propagation because sufficient information is not readily available about the propagation path between the broadcasting station antenna and the test point. In particular, information about the ground profile along this path is required. However, where this information is available, for example from a terrain data bank, then more realistic field strength calculations may be made. For the reasons given earlier, it is to be expected that the field strength values calculated by a more detailed method, in particular for propagation paths with a restricted ground clearance, will be significantly lower than the values given using free-space propagation only. Under those circumstances, more detailed field strength calculation methods will result in a significant reduction in potential incompatibility.

2.2 Test point considerations:

When undertaking a detailed compatibility analysis for any test point at which the GAM has indicated a potential incompatibility, care should be taken to check the validity of the test point in relation to the aeronautical service volume. Because the GAM generates test points automatically, it is possible that some test points will coincide with locations where, in accordance with published aeronautical documentation:
a aircraft are not able to fly because of natural or man-made obstructions;
- aircraft are not permitted to fly because of specific flight restrictions;
- pilots are advised not to use the aeronautical navigation facility because it is known to give unreliable results in a particular area.

In addition, there can be circumstances where the test points generated by the GAM lie below and therefore outside the service volume of a VOR. This is particularly likely to occur with lower power VOR installations.

2.3 Considerations for coordinated stations:

A very large number of aeronautical and broadcasting stations have been coordinated between administrations using compatibility criteria other than those contained in Annex 1. In particular, in Region 1 and certain countries in Region 3, the Geneva 1984 criteria have been widely used for many years. Calculations made using the GAM with the B1 interference criteria for the Montreal receiver given in Annex 1 will show less potential interference than calculations made using the Geneva 1984 criteria in most cases; however, there will be cases where more potential interference will be calculated. The frequency ranges for aeronautical and broadcasting stations where more potential interference may be calculated are shown shaded in Fig. 9. Because some worst-case assumptions are an inherent part of the GAM, it is to be expected that in a large majority of the cases where the GAM indicates more potential interference, a more detailed compatibility assessment, taking account of the proposals in this Annex, will show that in practice there will be no reduction in compatibility. In particular, the use of realistic aeronautical and broadcasting field strengths, rather than minimum or free-space values, respectively, will provide a significant reduction in calculated potential interference.

**FIGURE 9**

Spectrum chart for VHF/FM and ILS/VOR bands

The frequency range within which the Montreal receiver may show more potential B1 interference than the GE84 receiver is shown shaded.

There may be cases where the more detailed analysis is not able to restore the compatibility to the values previously calculated. If the incompatibilities are confirmed, for example by flight tests, the relevant administration(s) must take the necessary steps to ensure compatibility.

2.4 Consideration of operating stations:

Because the GAM is intended to calculate all significant potential incompatibilities within an aeronautical service volume, a number of worst-case assumptions were included. There is thus likely to be an over-estimation of potential interference and it may be found that the GAM indicates potential interference in situations where the relevant aeronautical and broadcasting stations are all operating and no interference problem appears to exist in practice. Such situations should be examined as they may provide useful information which will lead to an improvement of the assessment method.

3. Multiple interference:

In a case where measured values, or reasonably accurate predictions of the wanted and unwanted field strengths are available, account must be taken of multiple intermodulation products, for each interference mode. This may be done by using the power sum of the individual interference margins, IM, at a given test point.
The total interference margin, \( IM \) (dB), is given by:

\[
IM = 10 \log \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} 10^{(IM_i / 10)} \right)
\]

where:

- \( N \): number of individual interference margins
- \( IM_i \): value of \( i \)th interference margin.

4. **Detailed compatibility assessment**

Tests have shown that as predicted values for data are replaced by measured values, the results of compatibility calculations approach closer to those found in practice. When all data values in the analysis are replaced by measured values, the results of compatibility calculations compare closely with the results from correlation flight tests.

Thus in a detailed, case-by-case compatibility assessment, the most accurate data values available should be used. In particular, the accuracy of compatibility calculations will be improved by:

- replacing the predicted horizontal radiation pattern for a broadcasting antenna with the pattern measured for the antenna as installed;
- replacing the predicted vertical radiation pattern for a broadcasting antenna (see Annex 2, § 4) with the pattern measured for the antenna as installed;
- in the case of ILS, calculate the wanted signal level by the two-ray method of § 3.2.2.3.1 rather than by the interpolation method of § 3.2.2.3.2;
- replacing the predicted horizontal radiation pattern for the ILS localizer transmitting antenna with the measured pattern for the antenna as installed.

Further improvements to the accuracy of the compatibility calculations will be obtained by:

- replacing predicted levels of broadcasting signals with values measured during flight trials;
- replacing predicted levels of aeronautical signals with values measured during flight trials.

In the latter case, it has been found possible to measure ILS field strengths along the centre line of the runway and make use of a predicted or measured horizontal radiation pattern for the ILS localizer antenna to obtain accurate values for field strengths at locations off the extended runway centre line. This avoids the need to make extensive measurements throughout the ILS DOC.

5. **Practical verification process**

Verification of the results of compatibility assessment calculations may be obtained by:

- measuring the levels of broadcasting signals at the input to an aeronautical receiver;
- measuring the level of an aeronautical signal at the input to its receiver;
- using an aeronautical receiver with characteristics which have been measured by bench tests, taking into account an adequate range of broadcasting and aeronautical signal levels and frequencies and taking into account the difference between these measured characteristics and those used in the theoretical calculations;
- using an aircraft receiving antenna with a radiation pattern and frequency response which have been measured and taking into account the difference between these measured characteristics and those used in the theoretical calculations.

It is particularly important to use an aircraft receiving antenna with measured characteristics if it is desired to make an accurate comparison between predicted field strength values for broadcasting stations and the levels of their signals at the input to an aeronautical receiver.
6. **Summary:**

Improved accuracy may be obtained from a compatibility assessment calculation by using more accurate data, for example:

- measured broadcasting antenna horizontal radiation patterns;
- measured broadcasting antenna vertical radiation patterns;
- an improved prediction of the ILS field strength;
- a measured ILS localizer transmitting antenna horizontal radiation pattern.

Verification of a compatibility assessment calculation may be obtained by using:

- measured levels of broadcasting signals;
- measured levels of aeronautical signals;
- an aeronautical receiver with measured characteristics;
- an aircraft receiving antenna with measured radiation pattern and frequency response characteristics.
ANNEX 4

Definitions

Aeronautical Information Publication (AIP):
A document published by a Provider State describing, among other things, the characteristics and DOC of aeronautical facilities.

Antenna corrections:
These are the reductions in effective radiated power (e.r.p.) on specified azimuthal bearings and elevation angles relative to the value of e.r.p. in the direction of maximum radiation. They are normally specified as horizontal and vertical corrections in dB.

COM:
A two-way (air-ground) radio communication system operating in the band 118-137 MHz.

Course deflection current:
The output of the receiver which is fed to the pilot’s indicator and to the autopilot. For the ILS localizer receiver, it provides left/right guidance proportional to the DDM of the 90 Hz and 150 Hz signals for a given angular displacement from runway centre line. For a VOR receiver, it provides left/right guidance proportional to the phase difference of two 30 Hz signals.

Course line:
It is the projection onto the horizontal plane of the path that an aircraft would fly while following an ILS localizer receiver indicator showing zero course deflection (i.e. DDM = 0). For normal ILS approaches, the course line should be identical to the extended runway centre line (see Fig. 1).

Course sector:
A sector in the horizontal plane originating from the ILS localizer antenna, containing the course line and limited by the full scale fly-left and full scale fly-right deflection of the ILS localizer receiver indicator. Full scale indicator deflection is equivalent to 150 µA course deflection current (DDM 0.155).

Cut-off value:
The minimum power level of a broadcasting signal at the input to an aeronautical receiver to which this signal is considered to form a potential source of Type B1 interference.

Designated Operational Coverage (DOC):
The volume inside which the aeronautical service operational requirements are met; this is the coverage volume promulgated in aeronautical documents.

Difference in Depth of Modulation (DDM):
The depth of modulation is the ratio of the amplitude of the modulation of the 90 Hz or 150 Hz signal to the carrier amplitude. The DDM is the modulation depth of the stronger signal minus the modulation depth of the weaker signal.

Distance and distance calculation:
Where two locations are separated by more than 100 km, then the distance between them is calculated as the shorter great-circle ground distance. For distances less than 100 km, the height of the broadcasting transmitter antenna and the height of the test point are taken into account and if there is a line-of-sight path between them, the slant path distance is calculated.
Effective Earth radius:
An effective Earth radius of 4/3 times the true value is used for distance calculations.

Elevation angle:
The angle relative to the horizontal between two locations (positive above horizontal), using the effective Earth radius value defined above (see Fig. 6).

Flag:
A visual warning device which is displayed in the pilot’s indicator associated with an ILS localizer or VOR receiver, indicating when the receiver is inoperative, not operating satisfactorily or when the signal level or the quality of the received signal falls below acceptable values.

Front course sector:
The course sector which encompasses the runway. The width of the front course sector is adjusted between 3° and 6° (normally 5°) so that the distance between a full scale fly-left deflection and a full scale fly-right deflection of an ILS localizer receiver indicator would equate to a width of approximately 210 m at the runway threshold (see Fig. 1).

Future immunity aeronautical receivers:
Receivers which at least meet the immunity to Type B interference as specified in ICAO Annex 10. As of 1 January 1998, all receivers in use shall be considered to have this degree of immunity. These receivers are also referred to as 1998 ICAO Annex 10 receivers.

Glide path:
The descent profile for a runway, normally 3°, provided by an ILS glide path transmitter and antenna system operating in the band 329.3-335.0 MHz.

ICAO Annex 10:

Instrument Landing System (ILS):
A radio navigation system specified in ICAO Annex 10 and agreed internationally as the current standard precision approach and landing aid for aircraft.

ILS localizer:
The component of an ILS which provides guidance in the horizontal plane. The transmitter with its associated antenna system produces a composite field pattern amplitude modulated with 90 Hz and 150 Hz. The radiation field pattern is such that when an observer faces the localizer from the approach end of the runway, the depth of modulation of the radio frequency carrier due to the 150 Hz tone predominates on the right-hand side and that due to the 90 Hz tone predominates on the left-hand side. The DDM is zero on the centre line of the runway and the extended runway centre line.

Line-of-sight:
Unobstructed path between two locations using the effective Earth radius defined above.

Minimum separation distances:
Minimum horizontal and vertical separation distances defining a zone around a broadcasting antenna within which aircraft would not normally fly.
Montreal aeronautical receivers:
An ILS localizer or VOR receiver whose characteristics are defined by the equations specified in § 4.2 of Annex 1. (These characteristics were agreed at the 1992 meeting of Task Group 12/1 in Montreal.) The term encompasses receivers previously termed “current immunity” and “poor immunity”.

Potential incompatibility:
A potential incompatibility is considered to occur when the agreed protection criteria are not met at a test point.

Provider state:
The authority responsible for the provision of aeronautical services for a country or other specified area.

Runway threshold:
The beginning of that portion of the runway usable for landing.

Runway touchdown point:
A point on a runway defining the start of the surface where the aircraft wheels may make contact with the ground, normally inset from the runway threshold.

Slant path distance:
The shortest distance between two points above the Earth's surface (e.g., between a broadcasting antenna and a test point).

Test point:
A point for which a compatibility calculation is made. It is completely described by the parameters of geographical position and height.

Trigger value:
The minimum value of a FM broadcasting signal which, when applied to the input of an aeronautical receiver, is capable of initiating the generation of a third order intermodulation product of sufficient power to represent potential interference.

VHF Omnidirectional Radio Range (VOR):
A short range (up to approximately 370 km or 200 nautical miles) aid to navigation which provides aircraft with a continuous and automatic presentation of bearing information from a known ground location.
ATTACHMENT 1 TO CHAPTER 1
FLIGHT INSPECTION AIRCRAFT

1. GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS:

1.1 The following desirable characteristics should be found in a flight inspection aircraft:

a) reliable, efficient type equipped and certified for IFR operations;

b) sufficient carrying capacity for the flight crew, as well as all necessary electronic and recording equipment and spares. It may also be necessary to have additional capacity to transport ground personnel and equipment;

c) sufficient range and endurance to complete a normal mission without reserving;

d) aerodynamically stable throughout its speed range, but particularly at speeds encountered during flight inspection;

e) low noise and vibration levels;

f) low electrical noise characteristics to minimize interference with received signals; e.g. propeller modulation of the received signal must be as low as possible;

g) stable electrical system of adequate capacity to operate the required electronic equipment in addition to the aircraft equipment;

h) reasonably wide-speed and altitude range to enable flight inspection to be conducted, where possible, under the conditions encountered by users. Good low-speed characteristics are essential where theodolite tracking by ground observers is carried out;

i) suitable for future modifications or expansion of equipment to allow for inspection of additional aids or to increase accuracy or processing speed on existing facilities;

j) aircraft cabin environmental control equipment that minimizes the adverse effects of temperature and humidity on the sensitive test equipment used in flight inspection systems and maintains a comfortable environment for the crew; and

k) equipped with an autopilot to reduce crew workload.

1.2 A variety of aircraft having the above characteristics have been successfully used for flight inspection work. Some States are using the smaller, more versatile jet aircraft, of the type usually referred to as “business jets”, for medium- and high-altitude inspection of radio navigation facilities.

2. AIRCRAFT INSTRUMENTATION

2.1 The flight inspection aircraft contains a full range of navigation equipment as required for instrument flying. Additional equipment must be provided for the monitoring and recording of the received navigation signals. The navigation receivers may be used for both navigation and flight inspection. Special flight inspection receivers installed in addition to those used for navigation are preferable because of their special accuracy requirements.

2.2 When navigation receivers are shared between the pilot and observer, the control of the receiver during flight inspection should be with the technician/engineer.

2.3 Inspection of PAR requires no special equipment on board. The aircraft plays a passive role as a reflector of electromagnetic signals. Flight inspection procedures and Standards, particularly those relating
to strength of signal return, are usually related to aircraft effective size as a reflector.

**System block diagram and description**

2.4 The flight inspection equipment as shown in Figure I-1-1 comprises:

   a) flight inspection receivers with associated antennas;
   b) position-fixing system;
   c) equipment for data display and processing; and
   d) equipment for data recording.

2.5 Flight inspection receivers provide both navigation information as in standard aircraft equipment and flight inspection information. Special care has to be taken concerning the location of antennas of the flight inspection receivers in order to avoid interference problems and to optimize the error budget of the test equipment.

2.6 The position-fixing system provides reference position (navigation) information in order to determine the navigation accuracy of the facility. Parts of the position-fixing system may be shared with standard aircraft equipment.

2.7 Data generated from the flight inspection receivers and the position-fixing system are to be displayed and processed. The processing may be performed either on-line or after completion of an inspection. One important element of data processing is the comparison of ground facility navigation and reference position (navigation) information.

2.8 A recording medium is required for documentation of raw data and inspection results.

2.9 Calibration equipment may be connected to the flight inspection equipment.

3. **ANTENNAS**

3.1 Calibration and extensive testing to verify performance are normally required for antennas used to inspect navigation aid coverage.

3.2 Calibration of the antenna system gain is required for antennas used to measure field strength and should be considered early in the installation planning stage. Antenna system gain characteristics (including all feed cables, switches and power splitters) must be determined in order to measure the field strength accurately. The characteristics must be measured over the range of frequencies to be used and at the aircraft orientations experienced during the measurement procedures. These antenna gain characteristics must then be applied either in real-time as data is input and displayed, or post-processed to generate the final report data.

3.3 The above methods may be used to correct absolute or relative field strength measurements, however, there are some flight inspection applications for which gain errors cannot be corrected. These place additional constraints on the achieved airborne antenna patterns. An example is course structure measurements for localizer, glide path, and VOR, for which the contributing multipath errors may propagate to the aircraft from a widely different azimuth than the desired direct signal. In this case, variations in gain from an omni-directional pattern will affect the measured amplitude of the course structure, with or without aircraft attitude variations, and flight measurements, by differing aircraft types, will vary. Flight inspection organizations should make every reasonable effort to achieve omni directional antenna patterns — this is particularly important for Category II and III measurements.

**Antenna measurement techniques**

3.4 Many techniques, including mathematical modeling, reduced-scale modeling, full-scale ground testing and flight testing, are available for optimizing the location of antennas and characterizing their gain in a given location on an aircraft. The complexity and cost are generally proportional to the number of azimuth and elevation angles to be measured as well as the accuracy required of the measurements. The
overall cost is reduced if a combination of modeling and ground testing is used to establish expected performance; flight testing would then be used as the final confirmation stage.

3.5 Flight test techniques capable of full azimuth or lower hemisphere characterization with high accuracy are now available through many flight test ranges, these should be the preferred methods used to provide confirmation of antenna patterns. Procedures that provide ongoing confirmation of antenna performance are still required and some form of ramp-based check should be established.

3.6 Consideration should also be given to characterizing the localizer antenna pattern over the FM broadcast band (88–107.9 MHz), if the aircraft is to be used in resolving electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) problems from FM broadcast stations. A separate broadband antenna may be fitted if the aircraft is to be used for general interference investigation.

**Installation considerations**

3.7 Antenna installation can affect the flight inspection measurements and the operational use of the aircraft in many ways. The following are a few examples:

- a) Propeller modulation effects can interfere with the received ILS localizer signal over a range of engine power settings. This can severely limit the use of the aircraft for flight inspection. Improving the antenna location is the best solution to this problem.

- b) Physical movement of other antennas, such as the weather radar, may affect the signal received from a glide path antenna located nearby. The weather radar may have to be parked in a known orientation to obtain proper glide path operation.

- c) Cross-coupling between aircraft transmitter antennas and receiving antennas can easily occur. Care must be taken to ensure adequate separation between potential interfering sources, such as VHF communications antennas and VOR/ILS localizer antennas.

- d) Aircraft structures must be taken into account when selecting antenna locations. The mounting of antennas near discontinuities in material types should be avoided if a good ground plane is required. Metallic support rods stowed inside a composite material nose cone can act as re-radiators affecting the performance of a nearby antenna.

- e) When one antenna is used to feed two or more receivers there is potential for receiver inter-action resulting in an uncalibrated change to the antenna system gain. It is recommended that separate antennas be provided for the flight inspection receivers. Testing is recommended when a shared antenna must be used to ensure that tuning the second receiver over the band does not affect the signal level reaching the receiver used for coverage measurements.

- f) Changes in aircraft attitude will affect the relative positions of the antenna and tracking reference if the aircraft measuring antennas are not located at the same point as the reference for the tracking system as seen from the ground. Certain flight inspection systems correct this by using software and inputs from the aircraft navigation sensors.

- g) The position of the phase centre for some types of antennas will vary according to the direction of arrival of the signals. Measurements have shown that the effective phase centre may move outside the physical area of the antenna. This change in position of the phase centre should be included in any correction algorithms which may be used.

4. **FLIGHT INSPECTION RECEIVERS AND RADIO COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT:**

4.1 Flight inspection receivers are to be of the highest quality in order to obtain the accuracy required for flight inspection purposes and should provide additional measurement outputs specific to flight inspection. A dual set of receivers is preferable to reduce statistical errors.
4.2 Flight inspection receivers include an AGC measurement. The AGC information allows the determination of the field strength if the receiver and antenna characteristic is taken into account. Further components have to be added like a temperature control for the receiver or a further dedicated receiver if the stability of the flight inspection receiver AGC output is not sufficient.

4.3 Flight inspection receivers used for the calibration of pulsed navigation facilities, such as DME and radars, provide the video signal of these facilities.

4.4 A VHF radio is included in the flight inspection equipment in order to allow independent communication between the flight inspector and the ground crew, without affecting the pilot.

5. DATA PROCESSING, DISPLAY AND RECORDING:

5.1 Modern flight inspection equipment includes a computer, which is used to read the data from the position-fixing sensors or system and from the flight inspection receivers. The computer processes data in order to compare the facility navigation information and the position reference information. The computer has the capability of determining facility parameters, e.g. ILS localizer course width, alignment, etc.

5.2 The comparison of facility navigation information and position reference information may be performed with an analog solution, if the flight inspection system does not include a computer for calculating the results. The facility parameters have to be calculated manually in this case.

5.3 All relevant information like facility navigation information, reference information, facility error and additional receiver information, such as field strength, is displayed on board the flight inspection aircraft for the operator. Data may be displayed on analog or digital instruments as well as on computer screens.

5.4 Chart recorders or printers are to be used for the documentation of flight inspection results. All data are annotated properly either by the operator or automatically by the data-processing system.

5.5 All raw data and computed data are recorded in electronic format on tapes or disks, if possible. This enables a later post-processing, if a specific investigation is required.

6. REGULATORY ASPECTS:

6.1 Integration of the systems in the aircraft must not affect the Airworthiness Certificate of the aircraft. Every modification has to be recorded in the technical documentation of the aircraft, along with the approvals of the manufacturer and of the certification authority concerned.

6.2 Particular operating instructions should be registered in flight and exploitation manuals. If this integration entails any performance limitations or operational restrictions for the aircraft, they should appear clearly in the corresponding documents.

6.3 The integration of a flight inspection system results from the best compromise taking into account airworthiness constraints.

Figure I-1-1. Block diagram for flight inspection equipment
ATTACHMENT 2 TO CHAPTER 1

DOCUMENTATION AND DATA RECORDING

1. FLIGHT INSPECTION REPORTS

The flight inspection report serves as the basic means of documentation and dissemination of the results of each flight inspection. The flight inspector in charge is responsible for initiating the report and ensuring that it clearly records the results of each parameter measured, along with an assessment of the conformance of the facility performance to the required standards. This assessment will normally involve an analysis of the data recordings and a review of the computer-aided analysis carried out on the data gathered during the inspection. Flight inspection reports should allow for “before” and “after” results to be entered into routine documentation of the adjustments made to the facilities.

2. FLIGHT INSPECTION DATA RECORDINGS:

The flight inspection data recordings serve as a record of the raw signal information used to assess ground facility performance. The recording medium may be a strip chart or electronic files of sampled data. Data recordings are normally archived and maintained on file with the flight inspection reports. This data should be made available to engineering and maintenance personnel for solving site problems and for assessing trends in facility or equipment performance.

3. FLIGHT INSPECTION SYSTEM CALIBRATION:

Many of the components in a typical flight inspection system, as well as secondary or transfer standards, such as signal generators, must be calibrated on a periodic basis to ensure measurements are made with the required accuracy. Records of the calibration results (including the specific test equipment used) must be retained to ensure the calibration is traceable back to national measurement standards. The flight inspection organization shall ensure policies and procedures are in place to track the calibration status of equipment and recall equipment for calibration at the established intervals.

4. GROUND FACILITY DATA:

Facility data sheets or computer files serve as a useful tool in providing the inspector and the flight inspection system with accurate information regarding facility survey data, facility and equipment types, frequencies, etc. Such information is normally prepared at the time of commissioning and revised as necessary to maintain current data. Its purpose is best served if the data are made part of a file to be carried in the aircraft or loaded into the flight inspection system.

5. RETENTION OF FLIGHT INSPECTION REPORTS AND DATA:

Each flight inspection organization is responsible for ensuring that sufficient historical data are retained to legally establish the trends in facility performance over a reasonable interval of time. As a minimum, all commissioning inspection reports and data recordings should be retained in the facility file along with reports and data recordings from the last five periodic inspections. All special flight inspections carried out during this time period should be retained on file.

6. GROUND TEST REPORTS:

It is recommended that the initial performance of a navigation aid facility be established through a formal proof of performance (POP) test and report. The facility is normally handed over to the ground maintenance staff once a commissioning flight inspection is complete. It is normal practice that maintenance staff be certified to maintain the navigation aid in accordance with prescribed policies and procedures. These policies and procedures will normally specify what ground documentation and reports are required and the period for which they must be retained. It is recommended that the POP test report and reports on the implementation of modifications to the facility be retained throughout the life of the facility. Reports on routine maintenance actions should be maintained for a minimum of one year.
7. GROUND CALIBRATION REPORT

Many of the components in a typical navigation aid system, as well as secondary or transfer standards, such as signal generators, must be calibrated on a periodic basis to ensure a facility is operating as intended. Reports of the calibration results (including the specific test equipment used) must be retained to ensure that measurements are traceable back to national calibration standards. The responsible maintenance organization shall ensure policies and procedures are in place to track the calibration status of equipment and recall equipment for calibration at the established intervals.
ATTACHMENT 3 TO CHAPTER 1

INTERFERENCE ISSUES

1. INTERFERENCE EFFECTS:

Interference to a navigation aid can manifest itself in many ways. A VOR receiver may appear to operate normally but indicate a solid bearing to an adjacent co-channel facility. A localizer deviation signal may become erratic while FM broadcast is heard on the receiver audio output. The glide path signal may be lost momentarily as an aircraft passes over an industrial facility. A GNSS receiver used for position fixing may lose track of satellites due to interference. Interference may be caused by not providing adequate separation between facilities on the same frequency. Ground-based non-aeronautical services such as FM broadcast stations may be the cause. Interference may originate on board the aircraft due to a poor avionics installation or from carry-on equipment. There are many possible sources and the probability of interference occurring is increasing as the frequency spectrum becomes more congested.

2. INTERFERENCE SOURCES:

Note.—The following sources account for most of the problems affecting radio navigation or radio communications receivers.

GROUND-BASED AERONAUTICAL SOURCES

2.1 Aeronautical facilities are engineered, installed and maintained to avoid causing interference to users of other aeronautical facilities. The service volumes of aeronautical facilities are protected from co-channel and adjacent channel interference by using frequency coordination procedures based on minimum and maximum field strengths and protection criteria promulgated primarily in Annex 10. In-band interference is usually caused by malfunctioning transmitters, frequency coordination problems and receiver operation outside the protected service volume of the aeronautical facility. The use of signal generators on operational aeronautical frequencies during avionics testing can cause interference problems.

GROUND-BASED NON-AERONAUTICAL SOURCES

2.2 These sources include broadcast transmitters and emitters such as industrial, scientific and medical (ISM) equipment and power lines. RF emitters are normally licensed and must comply with ITU Radio Regulations and domestic regulations. Malfunctioning transmitters and unintentional emitters are the cause of many interference problems.

FM BROADCAST TRANSMITTERS

2.3 The FM broadcast services operating in the 88–107.9 MHz band can be a major source of interference in the adjacent VHF band 108–137 MHz, affecting ILS, VOR and VHF communications receivers. Two general types of interference can occur. The first is caused by FM broadcast emissions that fall inside the aeronautical band, such as intermodulation products generated when multiple FM transmitters feed one antenna or out-of-band emissions from stations operating at the upper edge of the FM band. The second type is generated within the navigation receiver in response to FM broadcast emissions that fall outside the aeronautical band. These are usually intermodulation or receiver desensitization effects caused by high-level signals outside the aeronautical band.

2.4 Annex 10, Volume I, Chapter 3, 3.1.4 and 3.3.8, and associated guidance material in Attachment C, contains FM immunity performance criteria for ILS and VOR receivers. Additional ITU-R material is provided in Appendices 1 and 2 to this manual. The ICAO Hand-book for Evaluation of Electromagnetic Compatibility between ILS and FM Broadcasting Stations Using Flight Test* provides guidance on conducting flight tests of this kind of interference.

*Available from the ICAO Air Navigation Bureau upon request (English only).
TV BROADCAST TRANSMITTERS:

2.5 Harmonics, intermodulation products and spurious emissions of TV video and audio carriers may cause interference to DME, VHF communications, VOR and ILS receivers, and GNSS.

LAND MOBILE TRANSMITTERS:

2.6 In-band interference can be caused by spurious emissions from a single transmitter or by radiated intermodulation products created at a co-sited facility. VHF communications frequencies are often affected because a fixed/mobile service band lies immediately above 137 MHz. The mobile satellite service (MSS) operating in the band adjacent to the GNSS band or the fixed service (FS) operating in the GNSS band in some States can cause interference to GNSS receivers.

CABLE TELEVISION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS:

2.7 These CATV systems distribute TV broadcasting signals on ILS and VHF communications frequencies. Most CATV systems use coaxial cables, which can leak RF signals and cause in-band interference.

INDUSTRIAL, SCIENTIFIC AND MEDICAL (ISM) SYSTEMS:

2.8 Specific radio frequency bands (e.g. centred at 13.56, 27.12 and 40.98 MHz) are allocated for the operation of ISM equipment. In-band interference to VHF communications, VOR and ILS localizer receivers may be caused by the radiation of harmonics of the ISM frequencies from malfunctioning or inadequately shielded ISM equipment. The interfering signal sweeps repetitively through a portion of the VHF aeronautical band affecting several aeronautical frequencies. The most common ISM interference sources are industrial equipment such as plastic welders.

POWER LINE DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS:

2.9 Power line carrier (PLC) systems inject signals into power lines for monitoring and control purposes. ADF receivers can experience in-band interference because some PLC systems operate within the NDB band and PLC signals can radiate from power lines.

2.10 Corona noise and gap discharges from malfunctioning electrical equipment such as high-voltage bus-bars, switchgear, and insulators, can generate broadband impulsive-type noise, which can interfere with ILS localizer, VOR and VHF communications receivers in over-flying, low-altitude aircraft.

OTHER GROUND-BASED NON-AERONAUTICAL SOURCES:

2.11 Low/medium/high frequency (LF/MF/HF) transmitters can cause co-channel and adjacent channel interference to ADF and HF communications receivers. High-power military radar may generate harmonic and spurious emission levels high enough to cause in-band and out-of-band interference to on-board pulse-type systems such as GNSS receivers. Radiated emissions from most information technology equipment (ITE) are regulated domestically. Malfunctioning ITE can cause in-band interference. Radiation of ITE clock frequency signals and their harmonics can interfere with VHF communications, ILS localizer, VOR and other receivers.

AIRBORNE EQUIPMENT SOURCES:

2.12 On-board aeronautical transmitters may cause in-band interference to aircraft receivers through harmonics of the intentional emissions or harmonics of local oscillator frequencies being conducted between units. Potential problems associated with portable electronic device installations on-board aircraft should normally be identified and resolved during airworthiness testing.

3. GENERAL METHODS FOR DETECTING AND RESOLVING INTERFERENCE PROBLEMS:

3.1 There are many possible approaches to detecting and resolving interference problems. They all
should be considered as tools to be applied when required.

**EMC EVENT-REPORTING SYSTEM**

3.2 An interference problem is often first observed by users of the navigation aid. Therefore, pilot and ATC reports are the first step in identifying the nature and approximate locations of where it occurs. The reporting system should be used to establish a point-of-contact between the users who observed the interference and the agency charged with resolving such occurrences.

**GROUND MONITORING:**

3.3 The increasing pollution of the electromagnetic environment at or near airports is a major concern to many States. It can be a particular problem near major airports with a large number of aeronautical systems. The local electromagnetic environment tends to be more congested by the many ground-based non-aeronautical interference sources. Ground-based monitoring systems to detect interference events are being developed.

3.4 The protection of the integrity of the signal-inspace against degradation, which can arise from extraneous radio interference falling within the ILS frequency band, must be considered. This is particularly important where the ILS is used for Category II and III approach and landing operations. It is necessary, therefore, to periodically confirm that the radio environment at each Category II/III runway does not constitute a hazard.

**TECHNICAL CONFIRMATION OF THE INTERFERENCE:**

3.5 Ground and/or airborne test equipment deployment to obtain technical measurements will depend on how and where the interference manifests itself.

3.6 Most flight inspection aircraft can readily record the effects of the interference on receiver AGC, cross-pointer, flag and audio signals, as well as determine the aircraft position and altitude when interference is observed. Confirmation of the interference characteristics and location by the flight inspection service is a second step toward solving the problem. More detailed information can be obtained about the relative signal levels and the frequencies being received at the aircraft antenna if the flight inspection aircraft is equipped with a spectrum analyser or field strength metre. Recording of the audio channel of the affected receiver, spectrum analyser or field strength meter is useful in identifying the interference source through its unique demodulated audio characteristics. A simple test such as inserting a suitable RF filter ahead of the receiver can often assist in identifying whether an interference source is in-band or out-of-band.

3.7 Confirmation of a suspected interference source can be achieved by switching the suspected source on and off several times and noting the resulting effects on the affected receiver.

3.8 It should be noted that there will be cases where the ground test equipment or the flight inspection aircraft may not be able to detect/confirm reported interference problems because:

   a) the receiver systems used in the air or on the ground (i.e. receiver, antenna, and cable system) may have significantly different performance characteristics from those receiver systems reported to have experienced interference;

   b) interference is intermittent and may not be occurring during the investigative flight test; or

   c) it may be difficult to find a ground observation point which corresponds to the interference conditions seen in the air.

**SPECIALIZED ELECTROMAGNETIC INTERFERENCE (EMI) TROUBLESHOOTING METHODS:**

3.9 Specialized equipment and computer simulation will likely be required if a source of interference cannot be readily identified. Many States have invested considerable time and effort on hardware and
software techniques to resolve EMI problems. These techniques include:

   a)   databases of potential interference sources;
   b)   EMC analysis software;
   c)   interference simulators;
   d)   special ground or airborne data acquisition systems;
   e)   interference direction-finding systems; and
   f)   antenna calibration techniques.

**INTERFERENCE INVESTIGATION:**

3.10 It may be helpful, in resolving the more difficult interference problems, to form an investigative team consisting of personnel representing (as required) flight inspection services, the State spectrum regulatory agency, aeronautical spectrum management and aeronautical facility engineering/maintenance. This team could seek input from the affected users and the owner/operator of the potential interference source, develop and implement test plans, analyse results and make recommendations for resolving interference problems.